

mines that such reconstruction is not required as a result of improper operation and maintenance of the project by the non-Federal interest.

(b) *COST SHARING.*—The non-Federal share of the costs for the reconstruction of a flood control project authorized by this section shall be the same non-Federal share that was applicable to construction of the project. The non-Federal interest shall be responsible for operation and maintenance and repair of a project for which reconstruction is undertaken under this section.

(c) *RECONSTRUCTION DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “reconstruction”, as used with respect to a project, means addressing major project deficiencies caused by long-term degradation of the foundation, construction materials, or engineering systems or components of the project, the results of which render the project at risk of not performing in compliance with its authorized project purposes. In addressing such deficiencies, the Secretary may incorporate current design standards and efficiency improvements, including the replacement of obsolete mechanical and electrical components at pumping stations, if such incorporation does not significantly change the scope, function, and purpose of the project as authorized.

(d) *ELIGIBLE PROJECTS.*—The following flood control projects are eligible for reconstruction under this section:

- (1) Clear Creek Drainage and Levee District, Illinois.
- (2) Fort Chartres and Ivy Landing Drainage District, Illinois.
- (3) Prairie Du Pont Levee and Sanitary District, including Fish Lake Drainage and Levee District, Illinois.
- (4) Cairo, Illinois Mainline Levee, Cairo, Illinois.
- (5) Goose Pond Pump Station, Cairo, Illinois.
- (6) Cottonwood Slough Pump Station, Alexander County, Illinois.
- (7) 10th and 28th Street Pump Stations, Cairo, Illinois.
- (8) Flood control levee projects in Brookport, Shawneetown, Old Shawneetown, Golconda, Rosiclare, Harrisburg, and Reevesville, Illinois.
- (9) City of St. Louis, Missouri.
- (10) Missouri River Levee Drainage District, Missouri.

(e) *JUSTIFICATION.*—The reconstruction of a project authorized by this section shall not be considered a separable element of the project.

(f) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated \$50,000,000 to carry out this section.

SEC. 5071. ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION.

(a) *EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION.*—Section 519(c)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2654) is amended by striking “2004” and inserting “2010”.

(b) *MAXIMUM FEDERAL SHARE.*—Section 519(c)(3) of such Act (114 Stat. 2654) is amended by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

(c) *IN-KIND SERVICES.*—Section 519(g)(3) of such Act (114 Stat. 2655) is amended by inserting before the period at the end of the first sentence “if such services are provided not more than 5 years before the date of initiation of the project or activity”.

(d) *MONITORING.*—Section 519 of such Act (114 Stat. 2654) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h) *MONITORING.*—The Secretary shall develop an Illinois River basin monitoring program to support the plan developed under subsection (b). Data collected under the monitoring program shall incorporate data provided by the State of Illinois and shall be publicly accessible through electronic means, including on the Internet.”.

SEC. 5072. PROMONTORY POINT THIRD-PARTY REVIEW, CHICAGO SHORELINE, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.

(a) *REVIEW.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall conduct a third-party review of the Promontory Point feature of the project for storm damage reduction and shoreline erosion protection, Lake Michigan, Illinois, from Wilmette, Illinois, to the Illinois-Indiana State line, authorized by section 101(a)(12) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3664), at a cost not to exceed \$450,000.

(2) *JOINT REVIEW.*—The Buffalo and Seattle Districts of the Corps of Engineers shall jointly conduct the review under paragraph (1).

(3) *STANDARDS.*—The review under paragraph (1) shall be based on the standards under part 68 of title 36, Code of Federal Regulations (or any successor regulation).

(b) *CONTRIBUTIONS.*—The Secretary may accept funds from a State or political subdivision of a State to conduct the review under paragraph (1).

(c) *TREATMENT.*—The review under paragraph (1) shall not be considered to be an element of the project referred to in paragraph (1).

(d) *EFFECT OF SECTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect the authorization for the project referred to in paragraph (1).

SEC. 5073. KASKASKIA RIVER BASIN, ILLINOIS, RESTORATION.

(a) *KASKASKIA RIVER BASIN DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “Kaskaskia River Basin” means the Kaskaskia River, Illinois, its backwaters, its side channels, and all tributaries, including their watersheds, draining into the Kaskaskia River.

(b) *COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.*—

(1) *DEVELOPMENT.*—The Secretary shall develop, as expeditiously as practicable, a comprehensive plan for the purpose of restoring, preserving, and protecting the Kaskaskia River Basin.

(2) *TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE APPROACHES.*—The comprehensive plan shall provide for the development of new technologies and innovative approaches—

(A) to enhance the Kaskaskia River as a transportation corridor;

(B) to improve water quality within the entire Kaskaskia River Basin;

(C) to restore, enhance, and preserve habitat for plants and wildlife;

(D) to ensure aquatic integrity of side channels and backwaters and their connectivity with the mainstem river;

(E) to increase economic opportunity for agriculture and business communities; and

(F) to reduce the impacts of flooding to communities and landowners.

(3) *SPECIFIC COMPONENTS.*—The comprehensive plan shall include such features as are necessary to provide for—

(A) the development and implementation of a program for sediment removal technology, sediment characterization, sediment transport, and beneficial uses of sediment;

(B) the development and implementation of a program for the planning, conservation, evaluation, and construction of measures for fish and wildlife habitat conservation and rehabilitation, and stabilization and enhancement of land and water resources in the Kaskaskia River Basin;

(C) the development and implementation of a long-term resource monitoring program for the Basin;

(D) a conveyance study of the Kaskaskia River floodplain from Vandalia, Illinois, to Carlyle Lake to determine the impacts of existing and future waterfowl improvements on flood stages, including detailed surveys and mapping information to ensure proper hydraulic and hydrological analysis;

(E) the development and implementation of a computerized inventory and analysis system for the Basin;

(F) the development and implementation of a systemic plan for the Basin to reduce flood impacts by means of ecosystem restoration projects; and

(G) the study and design of necessary measures to reduce ongoing headcutting and restore the aquatic environment of the Basin that has been degraded by the headcutting that has occurred above the existing grade control structure.

(4) *CONSULTATION.*—The comprehensive plan shall be developed by the Secretary in consultation with appropriate Federal agencies, the State of Illinois, and the Kaskaskia River Watershed Association.

(5) *REPORT TO CONGRESS.*—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report containing the comprehensive plan.

(6) *ADDITIONAL STUDIES AND ANALYSES.*—After submission of a report under paragraph (5), the Secretary shall conduct studies and analyses of projects related to the comprehensive plan that are appropriate and consistent with this subsection.

(c) *GENERAL PROVISIONS.*—

(1) *WATER QUALITY.*—In carrying out activities under this section, the Secretary's recommendations shall be consistent with applicable State water quality standards.

(2) *PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.*—In developing the comprehensive plan under subsection (b), the Secretary shall implement procedures to facilitate public participation, including providing advance notice of meetings, providing adequate opportunity for public input and comment, maintaining appropriate

records, and making a record of the proceedings of meetings available for public inspection.

(d) **CRITICAL PROJECTS AND INITIATIVES.**—If the Secretary, in cooperation with appropriate Federal agencies and the State of Illinois, determines that a project or initiative for the Kaskaskia River Basin will produce independent, immediate, and substantial benefits, the Secretary may proceed with the implementation of the project.

(e) **COORDINATION.**—The Secretary shall integrate activities carried out under this section with ongoing Federal and State programs, projects, and activities, including the following:

(1) Farm programs of the Department of Agriculture.

(2) Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (State of Illinois) and Conservation 2000 Ecosystem Program of the Illinois department of natural resources.

(3) Conservation 2000 Conservation Practices Program and the Livestock Management Facilities Act administered by the Illinois department of agriculture.

(4) National Buffer Initiative of the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

(5) Nonpoint source grant program administered by the Illinois environmental protection agency.

(6) Other programs that may be developed by the State of Illinois or the Federal Government, or that are carried out by nonprofit organizations, to carry out the objectives of the Kaskaskia River Basin Comprehensive Plan.

(f) **IN-KIND SERVICES.**—The Secretary may credit the cost of in-kind services provided by the non-Federal interest for an activity carried out under this section toward not more than 80 percent of the non-Federal share of the cost of the activity. In-kind services shall include all State funds expended on programs that accomplish the goals of this section, as determined by the Secretary. The programs may include the Kaskaskia River Conservation Reserve Program, the Illinois Conservation 2000 Program, the Open Lands Trust Fund, and other appropriate programs carried out in the Kaskaskia River Basin.

(g) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated \$20,000,000 to carry out this section.

SEC. 5074. SOUTHWEST ILLINOIS.

(a) **SOUTHWEST ILLINOIS DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “Southwest Illinois” means the counties of Madison, St. Clair, Monroe, Randolph, Perry, Franklin, Jackson, Union, Alexander, Pulaski, and Williamson, Illinois.

(b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.**—The Secretary may establish a program to provide environmental assistance to non-Federal interests in Southwest Illinois.

(c) **FORM OF ASSISTANCE.**—Assistance provided under this section may be in the form of design and construction assistance for water-related environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development projects in Southwest Illinois, including projects for wastewater treatment and related facilities, water supply and related facilities, and surface water resource protection and development.

(d) *OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.*—*The Secretary may provide assistance for a project under this section only if the project is publicly owned.*

(e) *PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Before providing assistance under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest to provide for design and construction of the project to be carried out with the assistance.*

(2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*Each partnership agreement for a project entered into under this subsection shall provide for the following:*

(A) *PLAN.*—*Development by the Secretary, in consultation with appropriate Federal and State officials, of a facilities or resource protection and development plan, including appropriate engineering plans and specifications.*

(B) *LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURES.*—*Establishment of such legal and institutional structures as are necessary to ensure the effective long-term operation of the project by the non-Federal interest.*

(3) *COST SHARING.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Federal share of the cost of a project under this section—*

(i) *shall be 75 percent; and*

(ii) *may be provided in the form of grants or reimbursements of project costs.*

(B) *CREDIT FOR WORK.*—*The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of design work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.*

(C) *CREDIT FOR INTEREST.*—*In case of a delay in the funding of the non-Federal share of a project that is the subject of an agreement under this section, the non-Federal interest shall receive credit for reasonable interest incurred in providing the non-Federal share.*

(D) *CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY.*—*The non-Federal interest shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal share of project costs (including all reasonable costs associated with obtaining permits necessary for the construction, operation, and maintenance of the project on publicly owned or controlled land), but the credit may not exceed 25 percent of total project costs.*

(E) *OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.*—*The non-Federal share of operation and maintenance costs for projects constructed with assistance provided under this section shall be 100 percent.*

(f) *APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS.*—*Nothing in this section shall be construed to waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise apply to a project to be carried out with assistance provided under this section.*

(g) *NONPROFIT ENTITIES.*—*In accordance with section 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b(b)), for any*

project carried out under this section, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity with the consent of the affected local government.

(h) *CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.*—Not more than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to administer projects under this section at Federal expense.

(i) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$40,000,000.

SEC. 5075. CALUMET REGION, INDIANA.

Section 219(f)(12) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 335; 117 Stat. 1843) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$30,000,000” and inserting the following:

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—\$100,000,000”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) *CREDIT.*—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of planning and design work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.”; and

(3) by aligning the remainder of the text of subparagraph (A) (as designated by paragraph (1) of this section) with subparagraph (B) (as added by paragraph (2) of this section).

SEC. 5076. FLOODPLAIN MAPPING, MISSOURI RIVER, IOWA.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall provide assistance for a project to develop maps identifying 100- and 500-year flood inundation areas in the State of Iowa, along the Missouri River.

(b) *REQUIREMENTS.*—Maps developed under the project shall include hydrologic and hydraulic information and shall accurately portray the flood hazard areas in the floodplain. The maps shall be produced in a high resolution format and shall be made available to the State of Iowa in an electronic format.

(c) *PARTICIPATION OF FEMA.*—The Secretary and the non-Federal interests for the project shall work with the Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency to ensure the validity of the maps developed under the project for flood insurance purposes.

(d) *FORMS OF ASSISTANCE.*—In carrying out the project, the Secretary may enter into contracts or cooperative agreements with the non-Federal interests or provide reimbursements of project costs.

(e) *FEDERAL SHARE.*—The Federal share of the cost of the project shall be 50 percent.

(f) *LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to modify the prioritization of map updates or the substantive requirements of the Federal Emergency Management Agency flood map modernization program authorized by section 1360 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 4101).

(g) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$3,000,000.

SEC. 5077. PADUCAH, KENTUCKY.

The Secretary shall complete a feasibility report for rehabilitation of the project for flood damage reduction, Paducah, Kentucky,

authorized by section 4 of the Flood Control Act of June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1217), and, if the Secretary determines that the project is feasible, the Secretary may carry out the project at a total cost of \$3,000,000.

SEC. 5078. SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY.

Section 531 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3773; 113 Stat. 348; 117 Stat. 142) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(i) **CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.**—Not more than 10 per cent of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to administer projects under this section at Federal expense.”.

SEC. 5079. WINCHESTER, KENTUCKY.

Section 219(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 114 Stat. 2763A–219) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(41) **WINCHESTER, KENTUCKY.**—Wastewater infrastructure, Winchester, Kentucky.”.

SEC. 5080. BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA.

Section 219(f)(21) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 336; 114 Stat. 2763A–220) is amended by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$35,000,000”.

SEC. 5081. CALCASIEU SHIP CHANNEL, LOUISIANA.

The Secretary shall expedite completion of a dredged material management plan for the Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana, and may take interim measures to increase the capacity of existing disposal areas, or to construct new confined or beneficial use disposal areas, for the channel.

SEC. 5082. EAST ATCHAFALAYA BASIN AND AMITE RIVER BASIN REGION, LOUISIANA.

(a) **EAST ATCHAFALAYA BASIN AND AMITE RIVER BASIN REGION DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “East Atchafalaya Basin and Amite River Basin Region” means the following parishes and municipalities in the State of Louisiana: Ascension, East Baton Rouge, East Feliciana, Iberville, Livingston, Pointe Coupee, St. Helena, West Baton Rouge, and West Feliciana.

(b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.**—The Secretary may establish a program to provide environmental assistance to non-Federal interests in the East Atchafalaya Basin and Amite River Basin Region.

(c) **FORM OF ASSISTANCE.**—Assistance provided under this section may be in the form of design and construction assistance for water-related environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development projects in the East Atchafalaya Basin and Amite River Basin Region, including projects for wastewater treatment and related facilities, water supply and related facilities, environmental restoration, and surface water resource protection and development.

(d) **OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary may provide assistance for a project under this section only if the project is publicly owned.

(e) **PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Before providing assistance under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a partnership agreement

with a non-Federal interest to provide for design and construction of the project to be carried out with the assistance.

(2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—Each partnership agreement of a project entered into under this subsection shall provide for the following:

(A) *PLAN.*—Development by the Secretary, in consultation with appropriate Federal and State officials, of a facilities or resource protection and development plan, including appropriate engineering plans and specifications.

(B) *LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURES.*—Establishment of such legal and institutional structures as are necessary to ensure the effective long-term operation of the project by the non-Federal interest.

(3) *COST SHARING.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Federal share of the cost of a project under this section—

(i) shall be 75 percent; and

(ii) may be provided in the form of grants or reimbursements of project costs.

(B) *CREDIT FOR WORK.*—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of design work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

(C) *CREDIT FOR INTEREST.*—In case of a delay in the funding of the non-Federal share of a project that is the subject of an agreement under this section, the non-Federal interest shall receive credit for reasonable interest incurred in providing the non-Federal share.

(D) *CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY.*—The non-Federal interest shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal share of project costs (including all reasonable costs associated with obtaining permits necessary for the construction, operation, and maintenance of the project on publicly owned or controlled land), but the credit may not exceed 25 percent of total project costs.

(E) *OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.*—The non-Federal share of operation and maintenance costs for projects constructed with assistance provided under this section shall be 100 percent.

(f) *APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise apply to a project to be carried out with assistance provided under this section.

(g) *NONPROFIT ENTITIES.*—In accordance with section 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b(b)), for any project carried out under this section, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity with the consent of the affected local government.

(h) *CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.*—Not more than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section may be

used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to administer projects under this section at Federal expense.

(i) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$40,000,000.

SEC. 5083. INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK PROJECT, LOUISIANA.

Not later than July 1, 2008, the Secretary shall—

(1) issue a final environmental impact statement relating to the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock project, Louisiana; and

(2) develop and maintain a transportation mitigation program relating to that project in coordination with—

(A) St. Bernard Parish;

(B) Orleans Parish;

(C) the Old Arabi Neighborhood Association; and

(D) other interested parties.

SEC. 5084. LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LOUISIANA.

For purposes of carrying out section 121 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1273), the Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana, basin stakeholders conference convened by the Environmental Protection Agency, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and United States Geological Survey on February 25, 2002, shall be treated as being a management conference convened under section 320 of such Act (33 U.S.C. 1330).

SEC. 5085. SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA REGION, LOUISIANA.

(a) **DEFINITION OF SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA REGION.**—In this section, the term “Southeast Louisiana Region” means any of the following parishes and municipalities in the State of Louisiana:

(1) Orleans.

(2) Jefferson.

(3) St. Tammany.

(4) Tangipahoa.

(5) St. Bernard.

(6) St. Charles.

(7) St. John.

(8) Plaquemines.

(b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.**—The Secretary may establish a program to provide environmental assistance to non-Federal interests in the Southeast Louisiana Region.

(c) **FORM OF ASSISTANCE.**—Assistance provided under this section may be in the form of design and construction assistance for water-related environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development projects in the Southeast Louisiana Region, including projects for wastewater treatment and related facilities, water supply and related facilities, environmental restoration, and surface water resource protection and development (including projects to improve water quality in the Lake Pontchartrain basin).

(d) **OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary may provide assistance for a project under this section only if the project is publicly owned.

(e) **PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Before providing assistance under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a partnership agreement

with a non-Federal interest to provide for design and construction of the project to be carried out with the assistance.

(2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—Each partnership agreement for a project entered into under this subsection shall provide for the following:

(A) *PLAN.*—Development by the Secretary, in consultation with appropriate Federal and State officials, of a facilities or resource protection and development plan, including appropriate engineering plans and specifications.

(B) *LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURES.*—Establishment of such legal and institutional structures as are necessary to ensure the effective long-term operation of the project by the non-Federal interest.

(3) *COST SHARING.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Federal share of the cost of a project under this section—

(i) shall be 75 percent; and

(ii) may be provided in the form of grants or reimbursements of project costs.

(B) *CREDIT FOR WORK.*—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of design work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

(C) *CREDIT FOR INTEREST.*—In case of a delay in the funding of the non-Federal share of the costs of a project that is the subject of an agreement under this section, the non-Federal interest shall receive credit for reasonable interest incurred in providing the non-Federal share.

(D) *CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY.*—The non-Federal interest shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal share of project costs (including all reasonable costs associated with obtaining permits necessary for the construction, operation, and maintenance of the project on publicly owned or controlled land), but the credit may not exceed 25 percent of total project costs.

(E) *OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.*—The non-Federal share of operation and maintenance costs for projects constructed with assistance provided under this section shall be 100 percent.

(f) *APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise apply to a project to be carried out with assistance provided under this section.

(g) *NONPROFIT ENTITIES.*—In accordance with section 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b(b)), for any project carried out under this section, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity with the consent of the affected local government.

(h) *CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.*—Not more than 10 percent of amounts made available to carry out this section may be

used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to administer projects under this section at Federal expense.

(i) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$17,000,000.

SEC. 5086. WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LOUISIANA.

(a) **MODIFICATION OF STUDY.**—The study for the project for waterfront and riverine preservation, restoration, and enhancement, Mississippi River, West Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana, being carried out under Committee Resolution 2570 of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives adopted July 23, 1998, is modified to add West Feliciana Parish and East Baton Rouge Parish to the geographic scope of the study.

(b) **CONSTRUCTION.**—The Secretary may, upon completion of the study, participate in the ecosystem restoration, navigation, flood damage reduction, and recreation components of the project.

(c) **CREDIT.**—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of design work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

(d) **EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION.**—Section 517(5) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 345) is amended to read as follows:

“(5) Mississippi River, West Baton Rouge, West Feliciana, and East Baton Rouge Parishes, Louisiana, project for waterfront and riverine preservation, restoration, and enhancement modifications.”.

(e) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$10,000,000.

SEC. 5087. CHARLESTOWN, MARYLAND.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may carry out a project for nonstructural flood damage reduction and ecosystem restoration at Charlestown, Maryland.

(b) **LAND ACQUISITION.**—The flood damage reduction component of the project may include the acquisition of private property from willing sellers.

(c) **JUSTIFICATION.**—Any nonstructural flood damage reduction project to be carried out under this section that will result in the conversion of property to use for ecosystem restoration and wildlife habitat shall be justified based on national ecosystem restoration benefits.

(d) **USE OF ACQUIRED PROPERTY.**—Property acquired under this section shall be maintained in public ownership for ecosystem restoration and wildlife habitat.

(e) **ABILITY TO PAY.**—In determining the appropriate non-Federal cost share for the project, the Secretary shall determine the ability of Cecil County, Maryland, to participate as a cost-sharing non-Federal interest in accordance with section 103(m) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)).

(f) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated \$2,000,000 to carry out this section.

SEC. 5088. ST. MARY’S RIVER, MARYLAND.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall carry out the project for shoreline protection, St. Mary’s River, Maryland, under section 3 of

the Act entitled “An Act authorizing Federal participation in the cost of protecting the shores of publicly owned property”, approved August 13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g).

(b) *USE OF FUNDS.*—In carrying out the project under subsection (a), the Secretary shall use funds made available for such project under Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006 (Public Law 109–103).

SEC. 5089. MASSACHUSETTS DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITES.

The Secretary may cooperate with Massachusetts in the management and long-term monitoring of aquatic dredged material disposal sites within the State and is authorized to accept funds from the State to carry out such activities.

SEC. 5090. ONTONAGON HARBOR, MICHIGAN.

The Secretary shall conduct a study of shore damage in the vicinity of the project for navigation, Ontonagon Harbor, Ontonagon County, Michigan, authorized by section 101 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1176) and reauthorized by section 363 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3730), to determine if the damage is the result of a Federal navigation project, and, if the Secretary determines that the damage is the result of a Federal navigation project, the Secretary shall carry out a project to mitigate the damage under section 111 of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i).

SEC. 5091. CROOKSTON, MINNESOTA.

The Secretary shall conduct a study for a project for emergency streambank protection along the Red Lake River in Crookston, Minnesota, and, if the Secretary determines that the project is feasible, the Secretary may carry out the project under section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r); except that the maximum amount of Federal funds that may be expended for the project shall be \$6,500,000.

SEC. 5092. GARRISON AND KATHIO TOWNSHIP, MINNESOTA.

(a) *PROJECT DESCRIPTION.*—Section 219(f)(61) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (114 Stat. 2763A–221) is amended—

(1) in the paragraph heading by striking “AND KATHIO TOWNSHIP” and inserting “, CROW WING COUNTY, MILLE LACS COUNTY, MILLE LACS INDIAN RESERVATION, AND KATHIO TOWNSHIP”;

(2) by striking “\$11,000,000” and inserting “\$17,000,000”;

(3) by inserting “, Crow Wing County, Mille Lacs County, Mille Lacs Indian Reservation established by the treaty of February 22, 1855 (10 Stat. 1165),” after “Garrison”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following: “Such assistance shall be provided directly to the Garrison-Kathio-West Mille Lacs Lake Sanitary District, Minnesota, except for assistance provided directly to the Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe at the discretion of the Secretary.”

(b) *PROCEDURES.*—In carrying out the project authorized by such section 219(f)(61), the Secretary may use the cost sharing and contracting procedures available to the Secretary under section 569 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 368).

SEC. 5093. ITASCA COUNTY, MINNESOTA.

The Secretary shall carry out a project for flood damage reduction, Trout Lake and Canisteo Pit, Itasca County, Minnesota, without regard to normal policy considerations.

SEC. 5094. MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA.

(a) CONVEYANCE.—The Secretary shall convey to the city of Minneapolis by quitclaim deed and without consideration all right, title, and interest of the United States to the property known as the War Department (Fort Snelling Interceptor) Tunnel in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

(b) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING PROVISIONS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United States Code, shall not apply to the conveyance under this section.

SEC. 5095. NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 569 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 368) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a) by striking “Benton, Sherburne,” and inserting “Beltrami, Hubbard, Wadena,”;

(2) by striking the last sentence of subsection (e)(3)(B);

(3) by striking subsection (g) and inserting the following:

“(g) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—In accordance with section 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this section, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity with the consent of the affected local government.”;

(4) in subsection (h) by striking “\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$54,000,000”; and

(5) by adding at the end the following:

“(i) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not more than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to administer projects under this section at Federal expense.”.

(b) BIWABIK, MINNESOTA.—The Secretary shall reimburse the non-Federal interest for the project for environmental infrastructure, Biwabik, Minnesota, carried out under section 569 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 368), for planning, design, and construction costs that were incurred by the non-Federal interest with respect to the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project and that were in excess of the non-Federal share of the cost of the project if the Secretary determines that the costs are appropriate.

SEC. 5096. WILD RICE RIVER, MINNESOTA.

The Secretary shall expedite the completion of the general re-evaluation report, authorized by section 438 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2640), for the project for flood protection, Wild Rice River, Minnesota, authorized by section 201 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1825), to develop alternatives to the Twin Valley Lake feature, and upon the completion of such report, shall construct the project at a total cost of \$20,000,000.

SEC. 5097. MISSISSIPPI.

Section 592(g) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 380; 117 Stat. 1837) is amended by striking “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$110,000,000”.

SEC. 5098. HARRISON, HANCOCK, AND JACKSON COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI.

In carrying out projects for the protection, restoration, and creation of aquatic and ecologically related habitats located in Harrison, Hancock, and Jackson Counties, Mississippi, under section 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326), the Secretary shall accept any portion of the non-Federal share of the cost of the projects in the form of in-kind services and materials.

SEC. 5099. MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MISSOURI AND ILLINOIS.

As a part of the operation and maintenance of the project for the Mississippi River (Regulating Works), between the Ohio and Missouri Rivers, Missouri and Illinois, authorized by the first section of an Act entitled "Making appropriations for the construction, repair, and preservation of certain public works on rivers and harbors, and for other purposes", approved June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 630), the Secretary may carry out activities necessary to restore and protect fish and wildlife habitat in the middle Mississippi River system. Such activities may include modification of navigation training structures, modification and creation of side channels, modification and creation of islands, and studies and analysis necessary to apply adaptive management principles in design of future work.

SEC. 5100. ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.

Section 219(f)(32) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 337) is amended—

- (1) by striking "a project" and inserting "projects";*
 - (2) by striking "\$15,000,000" and inserting "\$35,000,000";*
- and*
- (3) by inserting "and St. Louis County" before ", Missouri".*

SEC. 5101. ST. LOUIS REGIONAL GREENWAYS, ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may participate in the ecosystem restoration, recreation, and flood damage reduction components of the St. Louis Regional Greenways Proposal of the Metropolitan Park and Recreation District, St. Louis, Missouri, dated March 31, 2004.

(b) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall coordinate with appropriate representatives in the vicinity of St. Louis, Missouri, including the Metropolitan Park and Recreation District, the city of St. Louis, St. Louis County, and St. Charles County.

(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out this section.

SEC. 5102. MISSOULA, MONTANA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may participate in the ecosystem restoration, flood damage reduction, and recreation components of the Clark Fork River Revitalization Project, Missoula, Montana.

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated \$5,000,000 to carry out this section.

SEC. 5103. ST. MARY PROJECT, GLACIER COUNTY, MONTANA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Bureau of Reclamation, shall conduct all necessary studies, develop an emergency response plan, provide technical and planning and de-

sign assistance, and rehabilitate and construct the St. Mary Diversion and Conveyance Works project located within the exterior boundaries of the Blackfeet Reservation in the State of Montana, at a total cost of \$153,000,000.

(b) **FEDERAL SHARE.**—The Federal share of the total cost of the project under this section shall be 75 percent.

(c) **PARTICIPATION BY BLACKFEET TRIBE AND FORT BELKNAP INDIAN COMMUNITY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), no construction shall be carried out under this section until the earlier of—

(A) the date on which Congress approves the reserved water rights settlements of the Blackfeet Tribe and the Fort Belknap Indian Community; and

(B) January 1, 2011.

(2) **EXCEPTION.**—Paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to construction relating to—

(A) standard operation and maintenance; or

(B) emergency repairs to ensure water transportation or the protection of life and property.

(3) **REQUIREMENT.**—The Blackfeet Tribe shall be a participant in all phases of the project authorized by this section.

SEC. 5104. LOWER PLATTE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, NEBRASKA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may cooperate with and provide assistance to the Lower Platte River natural resources districts in the State of Nebraska to serve as non-Federal interests with respect to—

(1) conducting comprehensive watershed planning in the natural resource districts;

(2) assessing water resources in the natural resource districts; and

(3) providing project feasibility planning, design, and construction assistance for water resource and watershed management in the natural resource districts, including projects for environmental restoration and flood damage reduction.

(b) **FUNDING.**—

(1) **FEDERAL SHARE.**—The Federal share of the cost of carrying out an activity described in subsection (a)(1) shall be 75 percent.

(2) **NON-FEDERAL SHARE.**—The non-Federal share of the cost of carrying out an activity described in subsection (a) may be provided in cash or in kind.

(c) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry out this section \$12,000,000.

SEC. 5105. HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS AREA, NEW JERSEY.

Section 324 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4849; 110 Stat. 3779) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “design” and inserting “planning, design,”; and

(B) by striking “Hackensack Meadowlands Development” and all that follows through “Plan for” and inserting

“New Jersey Meadowlands Commission for the development of an environmental improvement program for”;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in the subsection heading by striking “REQUIRED”;

(B) by striking “shall” and inserting “may”;

(C) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following:

“(1) Restoration and acquisitions of significant wetlands and aquatic habitat that contribute to the Meadowlands ecosystem.”;

(D) in paragraph (2) by inserting “and aquatic habitat” before the period at the end; and

(E) by striking paragraph (7) and inserting the following:

“(7) Research, development, and implementation for a water quality improvement program, including restoration of hydrology and tidal flows and remediation of hot spots and other sources of contaminants that degrade existing or planned sites.”;

(3) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking “non-Federal sponsor” and inserting “non-Federal interest”; and

(B) by inserting before the last sentence the following: *“The non-Federal interest may also provide in-kind services not to exceed the non-Federal share of the total project cost.”;*

(4) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e);

(5) by inserting after subsection (c) the following:

“(d) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of a project to be carried out under the program developed under subsection (a) the cost of design work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.”; and

(6) in subsection (e) (as redesignated by paragraph (4) of this subsection) by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”.

SEC. 5106. ATLANTIC COAST OF NEW YORK.

(a) DEVELOPMENT OF PROGRAM.—Section 404(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4863) is amended—

(1) by striking “processes” and inserting “and related environmental processes”;

(2) by inserting after “Atlantic Coast” the following: “(and associated back bays)”;

(3) by inserting after “actions” the following: “; environmental restoration or conservation measures for coastal and back bays,”; and

(4) by adding at the end the following: *“The plan for collecting data and monitoring information included in such annual report shall be coordinated with and agreed to by appropriate agencies of the State of New York.”.*

(b) ANNUAL REPORTS.—Section 404(b) of such Act is amended—

(1) by striking “INITIAL PLAN.—Not later than 12 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the” and inserting “ANNUAL REPORTS.—The”;

(2) by striking “initial plan for data collection and monitoring” and inserting “annual report of data collection and monitoring activities”; and

(3) by striking the last sentence.

(c) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Section 404(c) of such Act (113 Stat. 341) is amended by striking “and an additional total of \$2,500,000 for fiscal years thereafter” and inserting “\$2,500,000 for fiscal years 2000 through 2004, and \$7,500,000 for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2004.”

(d) **TSUNAMI WARNING SYSTEM.**—Section 404 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4863) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) **TSUNAMI WARNING SYSTEM.**—There is authorized to be appropriated \$800,000 for the Secretary to carry out a project for a tsunami warning system, Atlantic Coast of New York.”

SEC. 5107. COLLEGE POINT, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK.

In carrying out section 312 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4639), the Secretary shall give priority to work in College Point, New York City, New York.

SEC. 5108. FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK.

The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project for ecosystem restoration, Flushing Bay and Creek, New York City, New York, the cost of design and construction work carried out by the non-Federal interest before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

SEC. 5109. HUDSON RIVER, NEW YORK.

The Secretary may participate with the State of New York, New York City, and the Hudson River Park Trust in carrying out activities to restore critical marine habitat, improve safety, and protect and rehabilitate critical infrastructure with respect to the Hudson River. There is authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out this section.

SEC. 5110. MOUNT MORRIS DAM, NEW YORK.

As part of the operation and maintenance of the Mount Morris Dam, New York, the Secretary may make improvements to the access road for the dam to provide safe access to a Federal visitor’s center.

SEC. 5111. NORTH HEMPSTEAD AND GLEN COVE NORTH SHORE WATERSHED RESTORATION, NEW YORK.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may participate in the ecosystem restoration, navigation, flood damage reduction, and recreation components of the North Hempstead and Glen Cove North Shore watershed restoration, New York.

(b) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out this section.

SEC. 5112. ROCHESTER, NEW YORK.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may participate in the ecosystem restoration, navigation, flood damage reduction, and recreation components of the Port of Rochester waterfront revitalization project, Rochester, New York.

(b) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out this section.

SEC. 5113. NORTH CAROLINA.

(a) *ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.*—The Secretary shall establish a program to provide environmental assistance to non-Federal interests in the State of North Carolina.

(b) *FORM OF ASSISTANCE.*—Assistance provided under this section may be in the form of design and construction assistance for environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development projects in North Carolina, including projects for—

- (1) wastewater treatment and related facilities;
- (2) combined sewer overflow, water supply, storage, treatment, and related facilities;
- (3) drinking water infrastructure including treatment and related facilities;
- (4) environmental restoration;
- (5) stormwater infrastructure; and
- (6) surface water resource protection and development.

(c) *OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.*—The Secretary may provide assistance for a project under this section only if the project is publicly owned.

(d) *PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Before providing assistance under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest to provide for design and construction of the project to be carried out with the assistance.

(2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—Each partnership agreement for a project entered into under this subsection shall provide for the following:

(A) *PLAN.*—Development by the Secretary, in consultation with appropriate Federal and State officials, of a facilities development plan or resource protection plan, including appropriate plans and specifications.

(B) *LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURES.*—Establishment of such legal and institutional structures as are necessary to ensure the effective long-term operation of the project by the non-Federal interest.

(3) *COST SHARING.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Federal share of the cost of a project under this section—

(i) shall be 75 percent; and

(ii) may be provided in the form of grants or reimbursements of project costs.

(B) *CREDIT FOR WORK.*—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project, in an amount not to exceed 6 percent of the total construction costs of the project, the cost of design work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

(C) *CREDIT FOR INTEREST.*—In case of a delay in the funding of the non-Federal share of the costs of a project that is the subject of an agreement under this section, the non-Federal interest shall receive credit for reasonable interest incurred in providing the non-Federal share.

(D) *CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY.*—The non-Federal interest shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal share of project costs (including all reasonable costs associated with obtaining permits necessary for the construction, operation, and maintenance of the project on publicly owned or controlled land).

(E) *OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.*—The non-Federal share of operation and maintenance costs for projects constructed with assistance provided under this section shall be 100 percent.

(e) *APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise apply to a project to be carried out with assistance provided under this section.

(f) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$13,000,000.

SEC. 5114. STANLY COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.

Section 219(f)(64) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (114 Stat. 2763A-221) is amended by inserting “water and” before “wastewater”.

SEC. 5115. JOHN H. KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, NORTH CAROLINA.

The Secretary shall expedite the completion of the calculations necessary to negotiate and execute a revised, permanent contract for water supply storage at John H. Kerr Dam and Reservoir, North Carolina, among the Secretary and the Kerr Lake Regional Water System and the city of Henderson, North Carolina.

SEC. 5116. CINCINNATI, OHIO.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary may undertake the ecosystem restoration and recreation components of the Central Riverfront Park Master Plan, dated December 1999, at a total cost of \$30,000,000.

(b) *CREDIT.*—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of planning, design, and construction work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

SEC. 5117. OHIO RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) *OHIO RIVER BASIN.*—The term “Ohio River Basin” means the Ohio River, its backwaters, its side channels, and all tributaries (including their watersheds) that drain into the Ohio River and encompassing areas of any of the States of Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Illinois, New York, and Virginia.

(2) *COMPACT.*—The term “Compact” means the Ohio River Watershed Sanitation Commission flood and pollution control compact between the States of Indiana, West Virginia, Ohio, Kentucky, Pennsylvania, New York, Illinois, and Virginia, to

which consent was given by Congress pursuant to the Act of July 11, 1940 (54 Stat. 752) and that was chartered in 1948.

(b) ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary may provide planning, design, and construction assistance to the Compact for the improvement of the quality of the environment in and along the Ohio River Basin.

(c) PRIORITIES.—In providing assistance under this section, the Secretary shall give priority to reducing or eliminating the presence of organic pollutants in the Ohio River Basin through the renovation and technological improvement of the organic detection system monitoring stations along the Ohio River in the States of Indiana, Ohio, West Virginia, Kentucky, and Pennsylvania.

(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$2,500,000.

SEC. 5118. TOUSSAINT RIVER NAVIGATION PROJECT, CARROLL TOWNSHIP, OHIO.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The costs of operation and maintenance activities for the Toussaint River Federal navigation project, Carroll Township, Ohio, that are carried out in accordance with section 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577) and relate directly to the presence of unexploded ordnance, shall be carried out at Federal expense.

(b) CALCULATION OF TOTAL COSTS.—The Secretary shall not consider the additional costs of dredging due to the presence of unexploded ordnance when calculating the costs of the project referred to in subsection (a) for the purposes of section 107(b) of such Act (33 U.S.C. 577(b)).

SEC. 5119. STATEWIDE COMPREHENSIVE WATER PLANNING, OKLAHOMA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide technical assistance for the development of updates of the Oklahoma comprehensive water plan.

(b) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—Technical assistance provided under subsection (a) may include—

(1) acquisition of hydrologic data, groundwater characterization, database development, and data distribution;

(2) expansion of surface water and groundwater monitoring networks;

(3) assessment of existing water resources, surface water storage, and groundwater storage potential;

(4) numerical analysis and modeling necessary to provide an integrated understanding of water resources and water management options;

(5) participation in State planning forums and planning groups;

(6) coordination of Federal water management planning efforts; and

(7) technical review of data, models, planning scenarios, and water plans developed by the State.

(c) ALLOCATION.—The Secretary shall allocate, subject to the availability of appropriations, \$6,500,000 to provide technical assistance and for the development of updates of the Oklahoma comprehensive water plan.

(d) COST SHARING REQUIREMENT.—The non-Federal share of the total cost of any activity carried out under this section—

(1) shall be 25 percent; and

(2) may be in the form of cash or any in-kind services that the Secretary determines would contribute substantially toward the conduct and completion of the activity assisted.

SEC. 5120. FERN RIDGE DAM, OREGON.

The Secretary may treat all work carried out for emergency corrective actions to repair the embankment dam at the Fern Ridge Lake project, Oregon, as a dam safety project. The cost of work carried out may be recovered in accordance with section 1203 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 467n; 100 Stat. 4263).

SEC. 5121. ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

Section 219(f)(66) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (114 Stat. 2763A–221) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting the following:

“(A) IN GENERAL.—\$20,000,000”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.”; and

(3) by aligning the remainder of the text of subparagraph (A) (as designated by paragraph (1) of this section) with subparagraph (B) (as added by paragraph (2) of this section).

SEC. 5122. CLINTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

Section 219(f)(13) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 335) is amended by striking “\$1,000,000” and inserting “\$2,000,000”.

SEC. 5123. KEHLY RUN DAMS, PENNSYLVANIA.

Section 504(a)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 338; 117 Stat. 1842) is amended by striking “Dams” and inserting “Dams No. 1–5”.

SEC. 5124. LEHIGH RIVER, LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.

The Secretary shall use existing water quality data to model the effects of the Francis E. Walter Dam, at different water levels, to determine its impact on water and related resources in and along the Lehigh River in Lehigh County, Pennsylvania. There is authorized to be appropriated \$500,000 to carry out this section.

SEC. 5125. NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA.

Section 219(f)(11) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 335) is amended by striking “and Monroe” and inserting “Northumberland, Union, Snyder, Luzerne, and Monroe”.

SEC. 5126. UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN, PENNSYLVANIA AND NEW YORK.

(a) **STUDY AND STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT.**—Section 567(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3787; 114 Stat. 2662) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by inserting “and carry out” after “develop”; and

(2) in paragraph (2) by striking “\$10,000,000.” and inserting “\$20,000,000, of which the Secretary may utilize not more

than \$5,000,000 to design and construct feasible pilot projects during the development of the strategy to demonstrate alternative approaches for the strategy. The total cost for any single pilot project may not exceed \$500,000. The Secretary shall evaluate the results of the pilot projects and consider the results in the development of the strategy.”.

(b) **PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.**—Section 567(c) of such Act (114 Stat. 2662) is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading by striking “COOPERATION” and inserting “PARTNERSHIP”; and

(2) in the first sentence—

(A) by inserting “and carrying out” after “developing”; and

(B) by striking “cooperation” and inserting “cost-sharing and partnership”.

(c) **IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGY.**—Section 567(d) of such Act (114 Stat. 2663) is amended—

(1) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following: “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary”;

(2) in the second sentence of paragraph (1) (as so designated)—

(A) by striking “implement” and inserting “carry out”; and

(B) by striking “implementing” and inserting “carrying out”;

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) **PRIORITY PROJECT.**—In carrying out projects to implement the strategy, the Secretary shall give priority to the project for ecosystem restoration, Cooperstown, New York, described in the Upper Susquehanna River Basin—Cooperstown Area Ecosystem Restoration Feasibility Study, dated December 2004, prepared by the Corps of Engineers and the New York State department of environmental conservation.”; and

(4) by aligning the remainder of the text of paragraph (1) (as designated by paragraph (1) of this subsection) with paragraph (2) (as added by paragraph (3) of this subsection).

(d) **CREDIT.**—Section 567 of such Act (110 Stat. 3787; 114 Stat. 2662) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) **CREDIT.**—The Secretary shall credit toward the non-Federal share of the cost of a project under this section—

“(1) in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), the cost of design and construction work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project; and

“(2) the cost of in-kind services and materials provided for the project by the non-Federal interest.”.

SEC. 5127. CANO MARTIN PENA, SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO.

The Secretary shall review a report prepared by the non-Federal interest concerning flood protection and environmental restoration for Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico, and, if the Secretary determines that the report meets the evaluation and design standards of the Corps of Engineers and that the project is feasible, the Secretary may carry out the project at a total cost of \$150,000,000.

SEC. 5128. LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH CAROLINA.

Section 219(f)(25) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 336; 114 Stat. 2763A-220; 117 Stat. 1838) is amended by striking “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$60,000,000”.

SEC. 5129. CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX TRIBE, AND TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT RESTORATION, SOUTH DAKOTA.

(a) DISBURSEMENT PROVISIONS OF STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA AND CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE AND LOWER BRULE SIOUX TRIBE TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT RESTORATION TRUST FUNDS.—Section 602(a)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 386) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) in clause (i) by inserting “and the Secretary of the Treasury” after “Secretary”; and

(B) by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following:

“(ii) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—On notification in accordance with clause (i), the Secretary of the Treasury shall make available to the State of South Dakota funds from the State of South Dakota Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Trust Fund established under section 603 to be used to carry out the plan for terrestrial wildlife habitat restoration submitted by the State of South Dakota after the State certifies to the Secretary of the Treasury that the funds to be disbursed will be used in accordance with section 603(d)(3) and only after the Trust Fund is fully capitalized.”; and

(2) in subparagraph (B) by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following:

“(ii) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—On notification in accordance with clause (i), the Secretary of the Treasury shall make available to the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe and the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe funds from the Cheyenne River Sioux Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Trust Fund and the Lower Brule Sioux Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration Trust Fund, respectively, established under section 604, to be used to carry out the plans for terrestrial wildlife habitat restoration submitted by the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe and the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, respectively, to after the respective tribe certifies to the Secretary of the Treasury that the funds to be disbursed will be used in accordance with section 604(d)(3) and only after the Trust Fund is fully capitalized.”.

(b) INVESTMENT PROVISIONS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE RESTORATION TRUST FUND.—Section 603 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 388; 114 Stat. 2664) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) INVESTMENTS.—

“(1) ELIGIBLE OBLIGATIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Treasury shall invest the amounts deposited under subsection (b) and the interest earned on those amounts only in interest-bearing obligations of the United States issued directly to the Fund.

“(2) INVESTMENT REQUIREMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall invest the amounts in the Fund in accordance with the requirements of this paragraph.

“(B) SEPARATE INVESTMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST.—

“(i) PRINCIPAL ACCOUNT.—The amounts deposited in the Fund under subsection (b) shall be credited to an account within the Fund (referred to in this paragraph as the ‘principal account’) and invested as provided in subparagraph (C).

“(ii) INTEREST ACCOUNT.—The interest earned from investing amounts in the principal account of the Fund shall be transferred to a separate account within the Fund (referred to in this paragraph as the ‘interest account’) and invested as provided in subparagraph (D).

“(iii) CREDITING.—The interest earned from investing amounts in the interest account of the Fund shall be credited to the interest account.

“(C) INVESTMENT OF PRINCIPAL ACCOUNT.—

“(i) INITIAL INVESTMENT.—Each amount deposited in the principal account of the Fund shall be invested initially in eligible obligations having the shortest maturity then available until the date on which the amount is divided into 3 substantially equal portions and those portions are invested in eligible obligations that are identical (except for transferability) to the next-issued publicly issued Treasury obligations having a 2-year maturity, a 5-year maturity, and a 10-year maturity, respectively.

“(ii) SUBSEQUENT INVESTMENT.—As each 2-year, 5-year, and 10-year eligible obligation matures, the principal of the maturing eligible obligation shall also be invested initially in the shortest-maturity eligible obligation then available until the principal is reinvested substantially equally in the eligible obligations that are identical (except for transferability) to the next-issued publicly issued Treasury obligations having 2-year, 5-year, and 10-year maturities.

“(iii) DISCONTINUANCE OF ISSUANCE OF OBLIGATIONS.—If the Department of the Treasury discontinues issuing to the public obligations having 2-year, 5-year, or 10-year maturities, the principal of any maturing eligible obligation shall be reinvested substantially equally in eligible obligations that are identical (except for transferability) to the next-issued publicly issued Treasury obligations of the maturities longer than 1 year then available.

“(D) INVESTMENT OF INTEREST ACCOUNT.—

“(i) BEFORE FULL CAPITALIZATION.—Until the date on which the Fund is fully capitalized, amounts in the interest account of the Fund shall be invested in eligible obligations that are identical (except for transferability) to publicly issued Treasury obligations that have maturities that coincide, to the maximum extent

practicable, with the date on which the Fund is expected to be fully capitalized.

“(ii) *AFTER FULL CAPITALIZATION.*—On and after the date on which the Fund is fully capitalized, amounts in the interest account of the Fund shall be invested and reinvested in eligible obligations having the shortest maturity then available until the amounts are withdrawn and transferred to fund the activities authorized under subsection (d)(3).

“(E) *PAR PURCHASE PRICE.*—The price to be paid for eligible obligations purchased as investments of the principal account shall not exceed the par value of the obligations so that the amount of the principal account shall be preserved in perpetuity.

“(F) *HIGHEST YIELD.*—Among eligible obligations having the same maturity and purchase price, the obligation to be purchased shall be the obligation having the highest yield.

“(G) *HOLDING TO MATURITY.*—Eligible obligations purchased shall generally be held to their maturities.

“(3) *ANNUAL REVIEW OF INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES.*—Not less frequently than once each calendar year, the Secretary of the Treasury shall review with the State of South Dakota the results of the investment activities and financial status of the Fund during the preceding 12-month period.

“(4) *AUDITS.*—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The activities of the State of South Dakota (referred to in this subsection as the ‘State’) in carrying out the plan of the State for terrestrial wildlife habitat restoration under section 602(a) shall be audited as part of the annual audit that the State is required to prepare under the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133 (or a successor circulation).

“(B) *DETERMINATION BY AUDITORS.*—An auditor that conducts an audit under subparagraph (A) shall—

“(i) determine whether funds received by the State under this section during the period covered by the audit were used to carry out the plan of the State in accordance with this section; and

“(ii) include the determination under clause (i) in the written findings of the audit.

“(5) *MODIFICATION OF INVESTMENT REQUIREMENTS.*—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—If the Secretary of the Treasury determines that meeting the requirements under paragraph (2) with respect to the investment of a Fund is not practicable, or would result in adverse consequences for the Fund, the Secretary shall modify the requirements, as the Secretary determines to be necessary.

“(B) *CONSULTATION.*—Before modifying a requirement under subparagraph (A), the Secretary of the Treasury shall consult with the State regarding the proposed modification.”;

(2) in subsection (d)(2) by inserting “of the Treasury” after “Secretary”; and

(3) by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

“(f) *ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.*—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of the Treasury to pay expenses associated with investing the Fund and auditing the uses of amounts withdrawn from the Fund—

“(1) \$500,000 for each of fiscal years 2006 and 2007; and

“(2) such sums as are necessary for each subsequent fiscal year.”

(c) *INVESTMENT PROVISIONS FOR CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE AND LOWER BRULE SIOUX TRIBE TRUST FUNDS.*—Section 604 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 389; 114 Stat. 2665) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) *INVESTMENTS.*—

“(1) *ELIGIBLE OBLIGATIONS.*—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Treasury shall invest the amounts deposited under subsection (b) and the interest earned on those amounts only in interest-bearing obligations of the United States issued directly to the Funds.

“(2) *INVESTMENT REQUIREMENTS.*—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Treasury shall invest the amounts in each of the Funds in accordance with the requirements of this paragraph.

“(B) *SEPARATE INVESTMENTS OF PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST.*—

“(i) *PRINCIPAL ACCOUNT.*—The amounts deposited in each Fund under subsection (b) shall be credited to an account within the Fund (referred to in this paragraph as the ‘principal account’) and invested as provided in subparagraph (C).

“(ii) *INTEREST ACCOUNT.*—The interest earned from investing amounts in the principal account of each Fund shall be transferred to a separate account within the Fund (referred to in this paragraph as the ‘interest account’) and invested as provided in subparagraph (D).

“(iii) *CREDITING.*—The interest earned from investing amounts in the interest account of each Fund shall be credited to the interest account.

“(C) *INVESTMENT OF PRINCIPAL ACCOUNT.*—

“(i) *INITIAL INVESTMENT.*—Each amount deposited in the principal account of each Fund shall be invested initially in eligible obligations having the shortest maturity then available until the date on which the amount is divided into 3 substantially equal portions and those portions are invested in eligible obligations that are identical (except for transferability) to the next-issued publicly issued Treasury obligations having a 2-year maturity, a 5-year maturity, and a 10-year maturity, respectively.

“(ii) *SUBSEQUENT INVESTMENT.*—As each 2-year, 5-year, and 10-year eligible obligation matures, the principal of the maturing eligible obligation shall also be invested initially in the shortest-maturity eligible obligation then available until the principal is reinvested substantially equally in the eligible obligations that are

identical (except for transferability) to the next-issued publicly issued Treasury obligations having 2-year, 5-year, and 10-year maturities.

“(iii) DISCONTINUATION OF ISSUANCE OF OBLIGATIONS.—If the Department of the Treasury discontinues issuing to the public obligations having 2-year, 5-year, or 10-year maturities, the principal of any maturing eligible obligation shall be reinvested substantially equally in eligible obligations that are identical (except for transferability) to the next-issued publicly issued Treasury obligations of the maturities longer than 1 year then available.

“(D) INVESTMENT OF INTEREST ACCOUNT.—

“(i) BEFORE FULL CAPITALIZATION.—Until the date on which each Fund is fully capitalized, amounts in the interest account of the Fund shall be invested in eligible obligations that are identical (except for transferability) to publicly issued Treasury obligations that have maturities that coincide, to the maximum extent practicable, with the date on which the Fund is expected to be fully capitalized.

“(ii) AFTER FULL CAPITALIZATION.—On and after the date on which each Fund is fully capitalized, amounts in the interest account of the Fund shall be invested and reinvested in eligible obligations having the shortest maturity then available until the amounts are withdrawn and transferred to fund the activities authorized under subsection (d)(3).

“(E) PAR PURCHASE PRICE.—The price to be paid for eligible obligations purchased as investments of the principal account shall not exceed the par value of the obligations so that the amount of the principal account shall be preserved in perpetuity.

“(F) HIGHEST YIELD.—Among eligible obligations having the same maturity and purchase price, the obligation to be purchased shall be the obligation having the highest yield.

“(G) HOLDING TO MATURITY.—Eligible obligations purchased shall generally be held to their maturities.

“(3) ANNUAL REVIEW OF INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES.—Not less frequently than once each calendar year, the Secretary of the Treasury shall review with the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe and the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe (referred to in this subsection as the ‘Tribes’) the results of the investment activities and financial status of the Funds during the preceding 12-month period.

“(4) AUDITS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The activities of the Tribes in carrying out the plans of the Tribes for terrestrial wildlife habitat restoration under section 602(a) shall be audited as part of the annual audit that the Tribes are required to prepare under the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133 (or a successor circulation).

“(B) DETERMINATION BY AUDITORS.—An auditor that conducts an audit under subparagraph (A) shall—

“(i) determine whether funds received by the Tribes under this section during the period covered by the audit were used to carry out the plan of the appropriate Tribe in accordance with this section; and

“(ii) include the determination under clause (i) in the written findings of the audit.

“(5) MODIFICATION OF INVESTMENT REQUIREMENTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If the Secretary of the Treasury determines that meeting the requirements under paragraph (2) with respect to the investment of a Fund is not practicable, or would result in adverse consequences for the Fund, the Secretary shall modify the requirements, as the Secretary determines to be necessary.

“(B) CONSULTATION.—Before modifying a requirement under subparagraph (A), the Secretary of the Treasury shall consult with the Tribes regarding the proposed modification.”; and

(2) by striking subsection (f) and inserting the following:

“(f) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—There are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of the Treasury to pay expenses associated with investing the Funds and auditing the uses of amounts withdrawn from the Funds—

“(1) \$500,000 for each of fiscal years 2006 and 2007; and

“(2) such sums as are necessary for each subsequent fiscal year.”.

SEC. 5130. EAST TENNESSEE.

(a) **EAST TENNESSEE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “East Tennessee” means the counties of Blount, Knox, Loudon, McMinn, Monroe, and Sevier, Tennessee.

(b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.**—The Secretary may establish a program to provide environmental assistance to non-Federal interests in East Tennessee.

(c) **FORM OF ASSISTANCE.**—Assistance provided under this section may be in the form of design and construction assistance for water-related environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development projects in East Tennessee, including projects for wastewater treatment and related facilities, water supply and related facilities, environmental restoration, and surface water resource protection and development.

(d) **OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary may provide assistance for a project under this section only if the project is publicly owned.

(e) **PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Before providing assistance under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest to provide for design and construction of the project to be carried out with the assistance.

(2) **REQUIREMENTS.**—Each partnership agreement for a project entered into under this subsection shall provide for the following:

(A) **PLAN.**—Development by the Secretary, in consultation with appropriate Federal and State officials, of a facilities or resource protection and development plan, including appropriate engineering plans and specifications.

(B) *LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURES.*—*Establishment of such legal and institutional structures as are necessary to ensure the effective long-term operation of the project by the non-Federal interest.*

(3) *COST SHARING.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Federal share of the cost of a project under this section—*

(i) shall be 75 percent; and

(ii) may be provided in the form of grants or reimbursements of project costs.

(B) *CREDIT FOR WORK.*—*The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of design work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.*

(C) *CREDIT FOR INTEREST.*—*In case of a delay in the funding of the non-Federal share of a project that is the subject of an agreement under this section, the non-Federal interest shall receive credit for reasonable interest incurred in providing the non-Federal share of the project cost.*

(D) *CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY.*—*The non-Federal interest shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal share of project cost (including all reasonable costs associated with obtaining permits necessary for the construction, operation, and maintenance of the project on publicly owned or controlled land), but the credit may not exceed 25 percent of total project costs.*

(E) *OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.*—*The non-Federal share of operation and maintenance costs for projects constructed with assistance provided under this section shall be 100 percent.*

(f) *APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS.*—*Nothing in this section shall be construed to waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise apply to a project to be carried out with assistance provided under this section.*

(g) *NONPROFIT ENTITIES.*—*In accordance with section 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this section, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity with the consent of the affected local government.*

(h) *CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.*—*Not more than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to administer projects under this section at Federal expense.*

(i) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—*There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$40,000,000.*

SEC. 5131. FRITZ LANDING, TENNESSEE.

The Secretary shall—

(1) conduct a study of the Fritz Landing Agricultural Spur Levee, Tennessee, to determine the extent of levee modifications that would be required to make the levee and associated drainage structures consistent with Federal standards;

- (2) design and construct such modifications; and
 (3) after completion of such modifications, incorporate the levee into the project for flood control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, authorized by the Act entitled “An Act for the control of floods on the Mississippi River and its tributaries, and for other purposes”, approved May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534–539).

SEC. 5132. J. PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TENNESSEE.

The Secretary shall plan, design, and construct a trail system at the J. Percy Priest Dam and Reservoir, Tennessee, authorized by section 4 of the Act entitled “An Act authorizing the construction of certain public works on rivers and harbors for flood control, and for other purposes”, approved June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1217), and adjacent public property, including design and construction of support facilities. In carrying out such improvements, the Secretary is authorized to use funds made available by the State of Tennessee from any Federal or State source, or both.

SEC. 5133. NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary may participate in the ecosystem restoration, recreation, navigation, and flood damage reduction components of the Nashville Riverfront Concept Plan, dated February 2007.

(b) *COORDINATION.*—In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall coordinate with appropriate representatives in the vicinity of Nashville, Tennessee, including the Nashville Parks and Recreation Department, the city of Nashville, and Davidson County.

(c) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated \$10,000,000 to carry out this section.

SEC. 5134. NONCONNAH WEIR, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE.

The project for flood control, Nonconnah Creek, Tennessee and Mississippi, authorized by section 401 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4124) and modified by section 334 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2611), is modified to authorize the Secretary—

(1) to reconstruct, at Federal expense, the weir originally constructed in the vicinity of the mouth of Nonconnah Creek; and

(2) to make repairs and maintain the weir in the future so that the weir functions properly.

SEC. 5135. TENNESSEE RIVER PARTNERSHIP.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—As part of the operation and maintenance of the project for navigation, Tennessee River, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, and Kentucky, authorized by the first section of the River and Harbor Act of July 3, 1930 (46 Stat. 927), the Secretary may enter into a partnership with a nonprofit entity to remove debris from the Tennessee River in the vicinity of Knoxville, Tennessee, by providing a vessel to such entity, at Federal expense, for such debris removal purposes.

(b) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$500,000.

SEC. 5136. TOWN CREEK, LENOIR CITY, TENNESSEE.

The Secretary shall design and construct the project for flood damage reduction designated as Alternative 4 in the Town Creek, Lenoir City, Loudon County, Tennessee, feasibility report of the

Nashville district engineer, dated November 2000, under the authority of section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), notwithstanding section 1 of the Flood Control Act of June 22, 1936 (33 U.S.C. 701a; 49 Stat. 1570). The non-Federal share of the cost of the project shall be subject to section 103(m) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)).

SEC. 5137. UPPER MISSISSIPPI EMBAYMENT, TENNESSEE, ARKANSAS, AND MISSISSIPPI.

The Secretary may participate with non-Federal and nonprofit entities to address issues concerning managing groundwater as a sustainable resource through the Upper Mississippi Embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi, and to coordinate the protection of groundwater supply and groundwater quality of the Embayment with local surface water protection programs. There is authorized to be appropriated \$5,000,000 to carry out this section.

SEC. 5138. TEXAS.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.**—The Secretary shall establish a program to provide environmental assistance to non-Federal interests in the State of Texas.

(b) **FORM OF ASSISTANCE.**—Assistance provided under this section may be in the form of planning, design, and construction assistance for water-related environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development projects in Texas, including projects for water supply, storage, treatment, and related facilities, water quality protection, wastewater treatment, and related facilities, environmental restoration, and surface water resource protection, and development, as identified by the Texas Water Development Board.

(c) **OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary may provide assistance for a project under this section only if the project is publicly owned.

(d) **PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.**—Before providing assistance under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest.

(e) **COST SHARING.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Federal share of the cost of the project under this section—

(A) shall be 75 percent; and

(B) may be provided in the form of grants or reimbursements of project costs.

(2) **IN-KIND SERVICES.**—The non-Federal share may be provided in the form of materials and in-kind services, including planning, design, construction, and management services, as the Secretary determines to be compatible with, and necessary for, the project.

(3) **CREDIT FOR WORK.**—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of design work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

(4) **CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY.**—The non-Federal interest shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal share of project costs.

(5) *OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.*—The non-Federal share of operation and maintenance costs for projects constructed with assistance provided under this section shall be 100 percent.

(f) *APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise apply to a project to be carried out with assistance provided under this section.

(g) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$40,000,000.

SEC. 5139. BOSQUE RIVER WATERSHED, TEXAS.

(a) *COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.*—The Secretary, in consultation with appropriate Federal, State, and local entities, shall develop, as expeditiously as practicable, a comprehensive plan for development of new technologies and innovative approaches for restoring, preserving, and protecting the Bosque River watershed within Bosque, Hamilton, McLennan, and Erath Counties, Texas. The Secretary, in cooperation with the Secretary of Agriculture, may carry out activities identified in the comprehensive plan to demonstrate practicable alternatives for stabilization and enhancement of land and water resources in the basin.

(b) *SERVICES OF NONPROFIT INSTITUTIONS AND OTHER ENTITIES.*—In carrying out subsection (a), the Secretary may utilize, through contracts or other means, the services of nonprofit institutions and such other entities as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(c) *NON-FEDERAL SHARE.*—

(1) *CREDIT.*—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of planning, design, and construction work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

(2) *DEVELOPMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.*—The non-Federal share of the cost of development of the plan under subsection (a) shall be 25 percent.

(3) *OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.*—The non-Federal share of the cost of operation and maintenance for measures constructed with assistance provided under this section shall be 100 percent.

(d) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$10,000,000.

SEC. 5140. DALLAS COUNTY REGION, TEXAS.

(a) *DALLAS COUNTY REGION DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “Dallas County region” means the city of Dallas, and the municipalities of DeSoto, Duncanville, Lancaster, Wilmer, Hutchins, Balch Springs, Cedar Hill, Glenn Heights, and Ferris, Texas.

(b) *ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.*—The Secretary may establish a program to provide environmental assistance to non-Federal interests in the Dallas County region.

(c) *FORM OF ASSISTANCE.*—Assistance provided under this section may be in the form of design and construction assistance for water-related environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development projects in the Dallas County region, including projects for wastewater treatment and related facilities, water sup-

ply and related facilities, environmental restoration, and surface water resource protection and development.

(d) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may provide assistance for a project under this section only if the project is publicly owned.

(e) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest to provide for design and construction of the project to be carried out with the assistance.

(2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agreement for a project entered into under this subsection shall provide for the following:

(A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary, in consultation with appropriate Federal and State officials, of a facilities or resource protection and development plan, including appropriate engineering plans and specifications.

(B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURES.—Establishment of such legal and institutional structures as are necessary to ensure the effective long-term operation of the project by the non-Federal interest.

(3) COST SHARING.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of the cost of a project under this section—

(i) shall be 75 percent; and

(ii) may be provided in the form of grants or reimbursements of project costs.

(B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost design work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

(C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a delay in the funding of the non-Federal share of a project that is the subject of an agreement under this section, the non-Federal interest shall receive credit for reasonable interest incurred in providing the non-Federal share.

(D) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—The non-Federal interest shall receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations toward the non-Federal share of project costs (including all reasonable costs associated with obtaining permits necessary for the construction, operation, and maintenance of the project on publicly owned or controlled land), but the credit may not exceed 25 percent of total project costs.

(E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The non-Federal share of operation and maintenance costs for projects constructed with assistance provided under this section shall be 100 percent.

(f) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to waive, limit, or otherwise affect the applicability of any provision of Federal or State law that would otherwise apply to a project to be carried out with assistance provided under this section.

(g) *NONPROFIT ENTITIES.*—In accordance with section 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this section, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity with the consent of the affected local government.

(h) *CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.*—Not more than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to administer projects under this section at Federal expense.

(i) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$40,000,000.

SEC. 5141. DALLAS FLOODWAY, DALLAS, TEXAS.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The project for flood control, Trinity River and tributaries, Texas, authorized by section 2 of the Act entitled, “An Act authorizing the construction, repair, and preservation of certain public works on rivers and harbors, and for other purposes”, approved March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 18), is modified to—

(1) direct the Secretary to review the Balanced Vision Plan for the Trinity River Corridor, Dallas, Texas, dated December 2003 and amended in March 2004, prepared by the non-Federal interest for the project;

(2) direct the Secretary to review the Interior Levee Drainage Study Phase-I report, Dallas, Texas, dated September 2006, prepared by the non-Federal interest; and

(3) if the Secretary determines that the project is technically sound and environmentally acceptable, authorize the Secretary to construct the project at a total cost of \$459,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$298,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$161,000,000.

(b) *CREDIT.*—

(1) *IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.*—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of planning, design, and construction work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

(2) *CASH CONTRIBUTIONS.*—The Secretary shall accept funds provided by the non-Federal interest for use in carrying out planning, engineering, and design for the project. The Federal share of such planning, engineering, and design carried out with non-Federal contributions shall be credited against the non-Federal share of the cost of the project.

SEC. 5142. HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS.

Section 575(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3789; 113 Stat. 311) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3) by striking “and” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (4) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding the following:

“(5) the project for flood control, Upper White Oak Bayou, Texas, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4125).”.

SEC. 5143. JOHNSON CREEK, ARLINGTON, TEXAS.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The project for flood damage reduction, environmental restoration, and recreation, Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas, authorized by section 101(b)(14) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat 280), is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the project substantially in accordance with the report entitled “Johnson Creek: A Vision of Conservation”, dated March 30, 2006, at a total cost of \$80,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$52,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$28,000,000, if the Secretary determines that the project is feasible.

(b) *NON-FEDERAL SHARE.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The non-Federal share of the cost of the project may be provided in cash or in the form of in-kind services or materials.

(2) *CREDIT.*—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of planning, design, and construction work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

(c) *SPECIAL RULE.*—In evaluating and implementing the project, the Secretary shall allow the non-Federal interest to participate in the financing of the project in accordance with section 903(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4184).

(d) *CONFORMING AMENDMENT.*—Section 134 of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2263) is repealed.

SEC. 5144. ONION CREEK, TEXAS.

(a) *INCLUSION OF COSTS AND BENEFITS OF RELOCATION OF FLOOD-PRONE RESIDENCES.*—In carrying out the study for the project for flood damage reduction, recreation, and ecosystem restoration, Onion Creek, Texas, the Secretary shall include the costs and benefits associated with the relocation of flood-prone residences in the study area for the project in the period beginning 2 years before the date of initiation of the study and ending on the date of execution of the partnership agreement for construction of the project to the extent the Secretary determines such relocations are compatible with the project.

(b) *CREDIT.*—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project referred to in subsection (a) the cost of relocation of those flood-prone residences described in subsection (a) that are incurred by the non-Federal interest before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.

SEC. 5145. CONNECTICUT RIVER DAMS, VERMONT.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall evaluate, design, and carry out structural modifications at Federal cost to the Union Village Dam (Ompompanoosuc River), North Hartland Dam (Ottauquechee River), North Springfield Dam (Black River), Ball Mountain Dam (West River), and Townshend Dam (West River), Vermont, to regulate flow and temperature to mitigate downstream impacts on aquatic habitat and fisheries.

(b) *INCLUSION.*—During the evaluation and design portion of the modifications authorized by this section, the Secretary shall ensure that a sustainable flow analysis is conducted for each dam.

(c) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$30,000,000.

SEC. 5146. LAKE CHAMPLAIN CANAL, VERMONT AND NEW YORK.

(a) *DISPERSAL BARRIER PROJECT.*—The Secretary shall determine, at Federal expense, the feasibility of a dispersal barrier project at the Lake Champlain Canal, Vermont and New York, to prevent the spread of aquatic nuisance species.

(b) *CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION.*—If the Secretary determines that the project described in subsection (a) is feasible, the Secretary shall construct, maintain, and operate a dispersal barrier at the Lake Champlain Canal at Federal expense.

SEC. 5147. DYKE MARSH, FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

The Secretary shall accept funds from the National Park Service to restore Dyke Marsh, Fairfax County, Virginia.

SEC. 5148. EASTERN SHORE AND SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA.

Section 219(f)(10) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335) is amended—

(1) by striking “\$20,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure” and inserting the following:

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—\$20,000,000 for water supply, wastewater infrastructure, and environmental restoration”;

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) *CREDIT.*—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project the cost of work carried out by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the partnership agreement for the project.”; and

(3) by aligning the remainder of the text of subparagraph (A) (as designated by paragraph (1) of this section) with subparagraph (B) (as added by paragraph (2) of this section).

SEC. 5149. JAMES RIVER, VIRGINIA.

The Secretary shall accept funds from the National Park Service to provide technical and project management assistance for the James River, Virginia, with a particular emphasis on locations along the shoreline adversely impacted by Hurricane Isabel.

SEC. 5150. BAKER BAY AND ILWACO HARBOR, WASHINGTON.

The Secretary shall conduct a study of increased siltation in Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington, to determine if the siltation is the result of a Federal navigation project (including diverted flows from the Columbia River) and, if the Secretary determines that the siltation is the result of a Federal navigation project, the Secretary shall carry out a project to mitigate the siltation as part of maintenance of the Federal navigation project.

SEC. 5151. HAMILTON ISLAND CAMPGROUND, WASHINGTON.

The Secretary is authorized to plan, design, and construct a campground for Bonneville Lock and Dam at Hamilton Island (also known as “Strawberry Island”) in Skamania County, Washington.

SEC. 5152. EROSION CONTROL, PUGET ISLAND, WAHAKIUM COUNTY, WASHINGTON.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Lower Columbia River levees and bank protection works authorized by section 204 of the Flood Control Act of 1950 (64 Stat. 178) is modified with regard to the Wahkiakum County diking districts No. 1 and 3, but without regard to any cost ceiling authorized before the date of enactment of this Act, to direct the Secretary to provide a one-time placement of dredged material along portions of the Columbia River shoreline of Puget Island, Washington, between river miles 38 to 47, and the shoreline of Westport Beach, Clatsop County, Oregon, between river miles 43 to 45, to protect economic and environmental resources in the area from further erosion.

(b) *COORDINATION AND COST-SHARING REQUIREMENTS.*—The Secretary shall carry out subsection (a)—

- (1) in coordination with appropriate resource agencies; and
- (2) at Federal expense.

(c) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$1,000,000.

SEC. 5153. WILLAPA BAY, WASHINGTON.

Section 545 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2675) is amended—

- (1) in subsection (b)(1) by striking “may construct” and inserting “shall construct”; and
- (2) by inserting “and ecosystem restoration” after “erosion protection” each place it appears.

SEC. 5154. WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL.

(a) *CHEAT AND TYGART RIVER BASINS, WEST VIRGINIA.*—Section 581(a)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3790; 113 Stat. 313) is amended—

- (1) by striking “flood control measures” and inserting “structural and nonstructural flood control, streambank protection, stormwater management, and channel clearing and modification measures”; and
- (2) by inserting “with respect to measures that incorporate levees or floodwalls” before the semicolon.

(b) *PRIORITY COMMUNITIES.*—Section 581(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3791) is amended—

- (1) by striking “and” at the end of paragraph (5);
- (2) by striking the period at the end of paragraph (6) and inserting a semicolon; and
- (3) by adding at the end the following:
 - “(7) Etna, Pennsylvania, in the Pine Creek watershed; and
 - “(8) Millvale, Pennsylvania, in the Girty’s Run River basin.”.

(c) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—Section 581(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3791) is amended by striking “\$12,000,000” and inserting “\$90,000,000”.

SEC. 5155. CENTRAL WEST VIRGINIA.

Section 571 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 371) is amended—

- (1) in subsection (a)—
 - (A) by striking “Nicholas,”; and
 - (B) by striking “Gilmer,”;

(2) in subsection (h) by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$20,000,000”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(i) **NONPROFIT ENTITIES.**—In accordance with section 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(b)), for any project undertaken under this section, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity with the consent of the affected local government.

“(j) **CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.**—Not more than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to administer projects under this section at Federal expense.”.

SEC. 5156. SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.

(a) **CORPS OF ENGINEERS.**—Section 340 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4856; 113 Stat. 320) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(h) **CORPS OF ENGINEERS.**—Not more than 10 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section may be used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to administer projects under this section at Federal expense.”.

(b) **SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA DEFINED.**—Section 340(f) of such Act is amended by inserting “Nicholas,” after “Greenbrier.”.

(c) **NONPROFIT ENTITIES.**—Section 340 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4856) is further amended by adding at the end the following:

“(i) **NONPROFIT ENTITIES.**—In accordance with section 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(b)), for any project carried out under this section, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity with the consent of the affected local government.”.

SEC. 5157. CONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.

Section 211(f) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 701b–13) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(12) **PERRIS, CALIFORNIA.**—The project for flood control, Perris, California.

“(13) **THORNTON RESERVOIR, COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.**—An element of the project for flood control, Chicagoland Underflow Plan, Illinois.

“(14) **LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LOUISIANA.**—The project for flood control, Larose to Golden Meadow, Louisiana.

“(15) **BUFFALO BAYOU, TEXAS.**—A project for flood control, Buffalo Bayou, Texas, to provide an alternative to the project authorized by the first section of the River and Harbor Act of June 20, 1938 (52 Stat. 804) and modified by section 3a of the Flood Control Act of August 11, 1939 (53 Stat. 1414).

“(16) **HALLS BAYOU, TEXAS.**—A project for flood control, Halls Bayou, Texas, to provide an alternative to the project for flood control, Buffalo Bayou and tributaries, Texas, authorized by section 101(a)(21) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4610).

“(17) **MENOMONEE RIVER WATERSHED, WISCONSIN.**—The project for the Menomonee River Watershed, Wisconsin, including—

“(A) the Underwood Creek diversion facility project (Milwaukee County Grounds); and
 “(B) the Greater Milwaukee Rivers watershed project.”.

SEC. 5158. ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR CRITICAL PROJECTS.

Section 219 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 110 Stat. 3757; 113 Stat. 334; 113 Stat. 1494; 114 Stat. 2763A–219; 119 Stat. 2255) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(5) by striking “a project for the elimination or control of combined sewer overflows” and inserting “projects for the design, installation, enhancement, or repair of sewer systems”;

(2) in subsection (e)(1) by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$32,500,000”; and

(3) in subsection (f)—

(A) by striking the undesignated paragraph relating to Charleston, South Carolina, and inserting the following:

“(72) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, including wastewater collection systems, and stormwater system improvements, Charleston, South Carolina.”;

(B) by redesignating the paragraph (71) relating to Placer and El Dorado Counties, California, as paragraph (73);

(C) by redesignating the paragraph (72) relating to Lassen, Plumas, Butte, Sierra, and Nevada Counties, California, as paragraph (74);

(D) by striking the paragraph (71) relating to Indianapolis, Indiana, and inserting the following:

“(75) INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA.—\$6,430,000 for environmental infrastructure for Indianapolis, Indiana.”;

(E) by redesignating the paragraph (73) relating to St. Croix Falls, Wisconsin, as paragraph (76);

(F) by redesignating paragraph (72), relating to Alpine, California, as paragraph (77); and

(G) by adding at the end the following:

“(78) ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ALABAMA.—\$5,000,000 for water related infrastructure, St. Clair County, Alabama.

“(79) CRAWFORD COUNTY, ARKANSAS.—\$35,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Crawford County, Arkansas.

“(80) ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA.—\$25,000,000 for recycled water treatment facilities within the East Bay Municipal Utility District service area, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, California.

“(81) ALISO CREEK, ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for water related infrastructure, Aliso Creek, Orange County, California.

“(82) AMADOR COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for wastewater collection and treatment infrastructure, Amador County, California.

“(83) ARCADIA, SIERRA MADRE, AND UPLAND, CALIFORNIA.—\$33,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Arcadia, Sierra Madre, and Upland, California, including \$13,000,000 for stormwater infrastructure for Upland, California.

“(84) BIG BEAR AREA REGIONAL WASTEWATER AGENCY, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000 for water reclamation and distribution

infrastructure, Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency, California.

“(85) BRAWLEY COLONIA, IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$1,400,000 for water infrastructure to improve water quality in the Brawley Colonia Water District, Imperial County, California.

“(86) CALAVERAS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure improvement projects in Calaveras County, California, including wastewater reclamation, recycling, and conjunctive use projects.

“(87) CONTRA COSTA WATER DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA.—\$23,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure for the Contra Costa Water District, California.

“(88) EAST BAY, SAN FRANCISCO, AND SANTA CLARA AREAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for a desalination project to serve the East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara areas, California.

“(89) EAST PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for a new pump station and stormwater management and drainage system, East Palo Alto, California.

“(90) IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, including a wastewater disinfection facility and polishing system, to improve water quality in the vicinity of Calexico, California, on the southern New River, Imperial County, California.

“(91) LA HABRA, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for wastewater and water related infrastructure, city of La Habra, California.

“(92) LA MIRADA, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for the planning, design, and construction of a stormwater program in La Mirada, California.

“(93) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for wastewater and water related infrastructure, Diamond Bar, La Habra Heights, and Rowland Heights, Los Angeles County, California.

“(94) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000 for the planning, design, and construction of water related infrastructure for Santa Monica Bay and the coastal zone of Los Angeles County, California.

“(95) MALIBU, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for municipal wastewater and recycled water infrastructure, Malibu Creek Watershed Protection Project, Malibu, California.

“(96) MONTEBELLO, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements in south Montebello, California.

“(97) NEW RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure to improve water quality in the New River, California.

“(98) ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for wastewater and water related infrastructure, Anaheim, Brea, Mission Viejo, Rancho Santa Margarita, and Yorba Linda, Orange County, California.

“(99) PORT OF STOCKTON, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure projects for Rough and Ready Island and vicinity, Stockton, California.

“(100) PERRIS, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for recycled water transmission infrastructure, Eastern Municipal Water District, Perris, California.

“(101) SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$9,000,000 for wastewater and water related infrastructure, Chino and Chino Hills, San Bernardino County, California.

“(102) SANTA CLARA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,500,000 for an advanced recycling water treatment plant in Santa Clara County, California.

“(103) SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for improving water system reliability, Santa Monica, California.

“(104) SOUTHERN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000 for environmental infrastructure for the groundwater basin optimization pipeline, Southern Los Angeles County, California.

“(105) STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.—\$33,000,000 for water treatment and distribution infrastructure, Stockton, California.

“(106) SWEETWATER RESERVOIR, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$375,000 to improve water quality and remove non-native aquatic nuisance species from the Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, California.

“(107) WHITTIER, CALIFORNIA.—\$8,000,000 for water, wastewater, and water related infrastructure, Whittier, California.

“(108) ARKANSAS VALLEY CONDUIT, COLORADO.—\$10,000,000 for the Arkansas Valley Conduit, Colorado.

“(109) BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO.—\$10,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Boulder County, Colorado.

“(110) MONTEZUMA AND LA PLATA COUNTIES, COLORADO.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater related infrastructure for the Ute Mountain project, Montezuma and La Plata Counties, Colorado.

“(111) OTERO, BENT, CROWLEY, KIOWA, AND PROWERS COUNTIES, COLORADO.—\$35,000,000 for water transmission infrastructure, Otero, Bent, Crowley, Kiowa, and Prowers Counties, Colorado.

“(112) PUEBLO AND OTERO COUNTIES, COLORADO.—\$34,000,000 for water transmission infrastructure, Pueblo and Otero Counties, Colorado.

“(113) ENFIELD, CONNECTICUT.—\$1,000,000 for infiltration and inflow correction, Enfield, Connecticut.

“(114) LEDYARD AND MONTVILLE, CONNECTICUT.—\$7,113,000 for water infrastructure, Ledyard and Montville, Connecticut.

“(115) NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT.—\$300,000 for stormwater system improvements, New Haven, Connecticut.

“(116) NORWALK, CONNECTICUT.—\$3,000,000 for the Keeler Brook Storm Water Improvement Project, Norwalk, Connecticut.

“(117) PLAINVILLE, CONNECTICUT.—\$6,280,000 for wastewater treatment, Plainville, Connecticut.

“(118) SOUTHWINGTON, CONNECTICUT.—\$9,420,000 for water supply infrastructure, Southington, Connecticut.

“(119) ANACOSTIA RIVER, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND MARYLAND.—\$20,000,000 for environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development to enhance water quality and living resources in the Anacostia River watershed, District of Columbia and Maryland.

“(120) DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—\$35,000,000 for implementation of a combined sewer overflow long-term control plan in the District of Columbia.

“(121) CHARLOTTE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$3,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Charlotte County, Florida.

“(122) CHARLOTTE, LEE, AND COLLIER COUNTIES, FLORIDA.—\$20,000,000 for water supply interconnectivity infrastructure, Charlotte, Lee, and Collier Counties, Florida.

“(123) COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$5,000,000 for water infrastructure to improve water quality in the vicinity of the Gordon River, Collier County, Florida.

“(124) HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$6,250,000 for water infrastructure and supply enhancement, Hillsborough County, Florida.

“(125) JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA.—\$25,000,000 for wastewater related infrastructure, including septic tank replacements, Jacksonville, Florida.

“(126) SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Sarasota County, Florida.

“(127) SOUTH SEMINOLE AND NORTH ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$30,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure for the South Seminole and North Orange Wastewater Transmission Authority, Florida.

“(128) MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$6,250,000 for water reuse supply and a water transmission pipeline, Miami-Dade County, Florida.

“(129) PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$7,500,000 for water infrastructure, Palm Beach County, Florida.

“(130) ALBANY, GEORGIA.—\$4,000,000 for a storm drainage system, Albany, Georgia.

“(131) BANKS COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements, Banks County, Georgia.

“(132) BERRIEN COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements, Berrien County, Georgia.

“(133) CHATTOOGA COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$8,000,000 for wastewater and drinking water infrastructure improvement, Chattooga County, Georgia.

“(134) CHATTOOGA, FLOYD, GORDON, WALKER, AND WHITFIELD COUNTIES, GEORGIA.—\$10,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements, Armuchee Valley, Chattooga, Floyd, Gordon, Walker, and Whitfield Counties, Georgia.

“(135) DAHLONEGA, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements, Dahlonega, Georgia.

“(136) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements, city of East Point, Georgia.

“(137) FAYETTEVILLE, GRANTVILLE, LAGRANGE, PINE MOUNTAIN (HARRIS COUNTY), DOUGLASVILLE, AND CARROLLTON, GEORGIA.—\$24,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Fayetteville, Grantville, LaGrange, Pine Mountain (Harris County), Douglasville, and Carrollton, Georgia.

“(138) MERIWETHER AND SPALDING COUNTIES, GEORGIA.—\$7,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Meriwether and Spalding Counties, Georgia.

“(139) MOULTRIE, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Moultrie, Georgia.

“(140) STEPHENS COUNTY/CITY OF TOCCOA, GEORGIA.—\$8,000,000 water infrastructure improvements, Stephens County/city of Toccoa, Georgia.

“(141) NORTH VERNON AND BUTLERVILLE, INDIANA.—\$1,700,000 for wastewater infrastructure, North Vernon and Butlerville, Indiana.

“(142) SALEM, WASHINGTON COUNTY, INDIANA.—\$3,200,000 for water supply infrastructure, Salem, Washington County, Indiana.

“(143) ATCHISON, KANSAS.—\$20,000,000 to address combined sewer overflows, Atchison, Kansas.

“(144) CENTRAL KENTUCKY.—\$10,000,000 for water related infrastructure and resource protection and development, Scott, Franklin, Woodford, Anderson, Fayette, Mercer, Jessamine, Boyle, Lincoln, Garrard, Madison, Estill, Powell, Clark, Montgomery, and Bourbon Counties, Kentucky.

“(145) LAFAYETTE, LOUISIANA.—\$1,200,000 for water and wastewater improvements, Lafayette, Louisiana.

“(146) LAFOURCHE PARISH, LOUISIANA.—\$2,300,000 for measures to prevent the intrusion of saltwater into the freshwater system, Lafourche Parish, Louisiana.

“(147) LAKE CHARLES, LOUISIANA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater improvements, Lake Charles, Louisiana.

“(148) NORTHWEST LOUISIANA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS, LOUISIANA.—\$2,000,000 for water and wastewater improvements, Northwest Louisiana Council of Governments, Louisiana.

“(149) OUACHITA PARISH, LOUISIANA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater improvements, Ouachita Parish, Louisiana.

“(150) PLAQUEMINE, LOUISIANA.—\$7,000,000 for sanitary sewer and wastewater infrastructure, Plaquemine, Louisiana.

“(151) RAPIDES AREA PLANNING COMMISSION, LOUISIANA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater improvements, Rapides, Louisiana.

“(152) SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA.—\$20,000,000 for water supply infrastructure in Shreveport, Louisiana.

“(153) SOUTH CENTRAL PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, LOUISIANA.—\$2,500,000 for water and wastewater improvements, South Central Planning and Development Commission, Louisiana.

“(154) UNION-LINCOLN REGIONAL WATER SUPPLY PROJECT, LOUISIANA.—\$2,000,000 for the Union-Lincoln Regional Water Supply project, Louisiana.

“(155) CHESAPEAKE BAY IMPROVEMENTS, MARYLAND, VIRGINIA, AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—\$30,000,000 for environmental infrastructure projects to benefit the Chesapeake Bay, including the nutrient removal project at the Blue Plains Wastewater Treatment facility in the District of Columbia.

“(156) CHESAPEAKE BAY REGION, MARYLAND AND VIRGINIA.—\$40,000,000 for water pollution control, Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland and Virginia.

“(157) MICHIGAN COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS.—\$35,000,000 for correction of combined sewer overflows, Michigan.

“(158) *CENTRAL IRON RANGE SANITARY SEWER DISTRICT, MINNESOTA.*—\$12,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure for the Central Iron Range Sanitary Sewer District to serve the cities of Hibbing, Chisholm, Buhl, and Kinney, and Balkan and Great Scott Townships, Minnesota.

“(159) *CENTRAL LAKE REGION SANITARY DISTRICT, MINNESOTA.*—\$2,000,000 for sanitary sewer and wastewater infrastructure for the Central Lake Region Sanitary District, Minnesota, to serve Le Grande and Moe Townships, Minnesota.

“(160) *GOODVIEW, MINNESOTA.*—\$3,000,000 for water quality infrastructure, Goodview, Minnesota.

“(161) *GRAND RAPIDS, MINNESOTA.*—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Grand Rapids, Minnesota.

“(162) *WILLMAR, MINNESOTA.*—\$15,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Willmar, Minnesota.

“(163) *BILOXI, MISSISSIPPI.*—\$5,000,000 for water and wastewater related infrastructure, city of Biloxi, Mississippi.

“(164) *CORINTH, MISSISSIPPI.*—\$7,500,000 for a surface water program, city of Corinth, Mississippi.

“(165) *GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI.*—\$5,000,000 for water and wastewater related infrastructure, city of Gulfport, Mississippi.

“(166) *HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.*—\$5,000,000 for water and wastewater related infrastructure, Harrison County, Mississippi.

“(167) *JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI.*—\$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Jackson, Mississippi.

“(168) *CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.*—\$30,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Clark County, Nevada.

“(169) *CLEAN WATER COALITION, NEVADA.*—\$50,000,000 for the Systems Conveyance and Operations Program, Clark County, Henderson, Las Vegas, and North Las Vegas, Nevada.

“(170) *GLENDALE DAM DIVERSION STRUCTURE, NEVADA.*—\$10,000,000 for water system improvements to the Glendale Dam Diversion Structure for the Truckee Meadows Water Authority, Nevada.

“(171) *HENDERSON, NEVADA.*—\$13,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Henderson, Nevada.

“(172) *INDIAN SPRINGS, NEVADA.*—\$12,000,000 for construction of wastewater system improvements for the Indian Springs community, Nevada.

“(173) *RENO, NEVADA.*—\$13,000,000 for construction of a water conservation project for the Highland Canal, Mogul Bypass in Reno, Nevada.

“(174) *WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA.*—\$14,000,000 for construction of water infrastructure improvements to the Huffaker Hills Reservoir Conservation Project, Washoe County, Nevada.

“(175) *CRANFORD TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.*—\$6,000,000 for storm sewer improvements, Cranford Township, New Jersey.

“(176) *MIDDLETOWN TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.*—\$1,100,000 for storm sewer improvements, Middletown Township, New Jersey.

“(177) *PATERSON, NEW JERSEY.*—\$35,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Paterson, New Jersey.

“(178) *RAHWAY VALLEY, NEW JERSEY.*—\$25,000,000 for sanitary sewer and storm sewer improvements in the service area of the Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority, New Jersey.

“(179) *BABYLON, NEW YORK.*—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Town of Babylon, New York.

“(180) *ELLICOTTVILLE, NEW YORK.*—\$2,000,000 for water supply, water, and wastewater infrastructure in Ellicottville, New York.

“(181) *ELMIRA, NEW YORK.*—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Elmira, New York.

“(182) *ESSEX HAMLET, NEW YORK.*—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Essex Hamlet, New York.

“(183) *FLEMING, NEW YORK.*—\$5,000,000 for drinking water infrastructure, Fleming, New York.

“(184) *KIRYAS JOEL, NEW YORK.*—\$5,000,000 for drinking water infrastructure, village of Kiryas Joel, New York.

“(185) *NIAGARA FALLS, NEW YORK.*—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Niagara Falls Water Board, New York.

“(186) *PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK.*—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, village of Patchogue, New York.

“(187) *SENNETT, NEW YORK.*—\$1,500,000 for water infrastructure, town of Sennett, New York.

“(188) *SPRINGPORT AND FLEMING, NEW YORK.*—\$10,000,000 for water related infrastructure, including water mains, pump stations, and water storage tanks, Springport and Fleming, New York.

“(189) *WELLSVILLE, NEW YORK.*—\$2,000,000 for water supply, water, and wastewater infrastructure in Wellsville, New York.

“(190) *YATES COUNTY, NEW YORK.*—\$5,000,000 for drinking water infrastructure, Yates County, New York.

“(191) *CABARRUS COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.*—\$4,500,000 for water related infrastructure, Cabarrus County, North Carolina.

“(192) *CARY, WAKE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.*—\$4,000,000 for a water reclamation facility, Cary, Wake County, North Carolina.

“(193) *CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA.*—\$14,000,000 for the Briar Creek Relief Sewer project, city of Charlotte, North Carolina.

“(194) *FAYETTEVILLE, CUMBERLAND COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.*—\$6,000,000 for water and sewer upgrades, city of Fayetteville, Cumberland County, North Carolina.

“(195) *MOORESVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.*—\$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements, town of Mooresville, North Carolina.

“(196) *NEUSE REGIONAL WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY, NORTH CAROLINA.*—\$4,000,000 for the Neuse regional drinking water facility, Kinston, North Carolina.

“(197) *RICHMOND COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.*—\$13,500,000 for water related infrastructure, Richmond County, North Carolina.

“(198) *UNION COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.*—\$6,000,000 for water related infrastructure, Union County, North Carolina.

“(199) WASHINGTON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Washington County, North Carolina.

“(200) WINSTON-SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$3,000,000 for stormwater upgrades, city of Winston-Salem, North Carolina.

“(201) NORTH DAKOTA.—\$15,000,000 for water-related infrastructure, North Dakota.

“(202) DEVILS LAKE, NORTH DAKOTA.—\$15,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Devils Lake, North Dakota.

“(203) SAIPAN, NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS.—\$20,000,000 for water related infrastructure, Saipan, Northern Mariana Islands.

“(204) AKRON, OHIO.—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Akron, Ohio

“(205) BURR OAK REGIONAL WATER DISTRICT, OHIO.—\$4,000,000 for construction of a water line to extend from a well field near Chauncey, Ohio, to a water treatment plant near Millfield, Ohio.

“(206) CINCINNATI, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Cincinnati, Ohio.

“(207) CLEVELAND, OHIO.—\$2,500,000 for Flats East Bank water and wastewater infrastructure, city of Cleveland, Ohio.

“(208) COLUMBUS, OHIO.—\$4,500,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Columbus, Ohio.

“(209) DAYTON, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Dayton, Ohio.

“(210) DEFIANCE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Defiance County, Ohio.

“(211) FOSTORIA, OHIO.—\$2,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Fostoria, Ohio.

“(212) FREMONT, OHIO.—\$2,000,000 for construction of off-stream water supply reservoir, Fremont, Ohio.

“(213) LAKE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,500,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Lake County, Ohio.

“(214) LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$5,000,000 for Union Rome wastewater infrastructure, Lawrence County, Ohio.

“(215) MEIGS COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 to extend the Tupper Plains Regional Water District water line to Meigs County, Ohio.

“(216) MENTOR-ON-LAKE, OHIO.—\$625,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Mentor-on-Lake, Ohio.

“(217) VINTON COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 to construct water lines in Vinton and Brown Townships, Ohio.

“(218) WILLOWICK, OHIO.—\$665,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Willowick, Ohio.

“(219) ADA, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,700,000 for sewer improvements and other water infrastructure, city of Ada, Oklahoma.

“(220) ALVA, OKLAHOMA.—\$250,000 for wastewater infrastructure improvements, city of Alva, Oklahoma.

“(221) ARDMORE, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,900,000 for water and sewer infrastructure improvements, city of Ardmore, Oklahoma.

“(222) BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA.—\$2,500,000 for water supply infrastructure, city of Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

“(223) BETHANY, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,500,000 for water improvements and water related infrastructure, city of Bethany, Oklahoma.

“(224) CHICKASHA, OKLAHOMA.—\$650,000 for industrial park sewer infrastructure, city of Chickasha, Oklahoma.

“(225) DISNEY AND LANGLEY, OKLAHOMA.—\$2,500,000 for water and sewer improvements and water related infrastructure, cities of Disney and Langley, Oklahoma.

“(226) DURANT, OKLAHOMA.—\$3,300,000 for bayou restoration and water related infrastructure, city of Durant, Oklahoma.

“(227) EASTERN OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, WILBERTON, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,000,000 for sewer and utility upgrades and water related infrastructure, Eastern Oklahoma State University, Wilberton, Oklahoma.

“(228) GUYMON, OKLAHOMA.—\$16,000,000 for water and wastewater related infrastructure, city of Guymon, Oklahoma.

“(229) KONAWA, OKLAHOMA.—\$500,000 for water treatment infrastructure improvements, city of Konawa, Oklahoma.

“(230) LUGERT-ALTUS IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ALTUS, OKLAHOMA.—\$5,000,000 for water related infrastructure improvements, Lugert-Altus Irrigation District, Altus, Oklahoma.

“(231) MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—\$2,000,000 for improvements to water related infrastructure, the City of Midwest City, Oklahoma.

“(232) MUSTANG, OKLAHOMA.—\$3,325,000 for water improvements and water related infrastructure, city of Mustang, Oklahoma.

“(233) NORMAN, OKLAHOMA.—\$10,000,000 for water related infrastructure, Norman, Oklahoma.

“(234) OKLAHOMA PANHANDLE STATE UNIVERSITY, GUYMON, OKLAHOMA.—\$275,000 for water testing facility and water related infrastructure development, Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Guymon, Oklahoma.

“(235) WEATHERFORD, OKLAHOMA.—\$500,000 for arsenic program and water related infrastructure, city of Weatherford, Oklahoma.

“(236) WOODWARD, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,500,000 for water improvements and water related infrastructure, Woodward, Oklahoma.

“(237) ALBANY, OREGON.—\$35,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure to improve habitat restoration, Albany, Oregon.

“(238) BEAVER CREEK RESERVOIR, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$3,000,000 for projects for water supply and related activities, Beaver Creek Reservoir, Clarion County, Beaver and Salem Townships, Pennsylvania.

“(239) HATFIELD BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$310,000 for wastewater related infrastructure for Hatfield Borough, Pennsylvania.

“(240) LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$5,000,000 for stormwater control measures and storm sewer improvements, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania.

“(241) NORTH WALES BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$1,516,584 for wastewater related infrastructure for North Wales Borough, Pennsylvania.

“(242) *PEN ARGYL, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$5,250,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Pen Argyl, Pennsylvania.*

“(243) *PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$1,600,000 for wastewater related infrastructure for Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.*

“(244) *STOCKERTON BOROUGH, TATAMY BOROUGH, AND PALMER TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$10,000,000 for stormwater control measures, particularly to address sinkholes, in the vicinity of Stockerton Borough, Tatamy Borough, and Palmer Township, Pennsylvania.*

“(245) *VERA CRUZ, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$5,500,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Vera Cruz, Pennsylvania.*

“(246) *COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO.—\$35,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.*

“(247) *CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$4,000,000 for stormwater control measures and storm sewer improvements, Spring Street/Fishburne Street drainage project, Charleston, South Carolina.*

“(248) *CHARLESTON AND WEST ASHLEY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$6,000,000 for wastewater tunnel replacement, Charleston and West Ashley, South Carolina.*

“(249) *CROOKED CREEK, MARLBORO COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$25,000,000 for a project for water storage and water supply infrastructure on Crooked Creek, Marlboro County, South Carolina.*

“(250) *MYRTLE BEACH, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$18,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, including ocean outfalls, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina.*

“(251) *NORTH MYRTLE BEACH, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$11,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, including ocean outfalls, North Myrtle Beach, South Carolina.*

“(252) *SURFSIDE, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$11,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, including stormwater system improvements and ocean outfalls, Surfside, South Carolina.*

“(253) *CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX RESERVATION (DEWEY AND ZIEBACH COUNTIES) AND PERKINS AND MEADE COUNTIES, SOUTH DAKOTA.—\$65,000,000 for water related infrastructure, Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation (Dewey and Ziebach counties) and Perkins and Meade Counties, South Dakota.*

“(254) *ATHENS, TENNESSEE.—\$16,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Athens, Tennessee.*

“(255) *BLAINE, TENNESSEE.—\$500,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Blaine, Tennessee.*

“(256) *CLAIBORNE COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$1,250,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Claiborne County, Tennessee.*

“(257) *GILES COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$2,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, county of Giles, Tennessee.*

“(258) *GRAINGER COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$1,250,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Grainger County, Tennessee.*

“(259) HAMILTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$500,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Hamilton County, Tennessee.

“(260) HARROGATE, TENNESSEE.—\$2,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, city of Harrogate, Tennessee.

“(261) JOHNSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$600,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Johnson County, Tennessee.

“(262) KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE.—\$5,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, city of Knoxville, Tennessee.

“(263) NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.—\$5,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Nashville, Tennessee.

“(264) LEWIS, LAWRENCE, AND WAYNE COUNTIES, TENNESSEE.—\$2,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, counties of Lewis, Lawrence, and Wayne, Tennessee.

“(265) OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE.—\$4,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, city of Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

“(266) PLATEAU UTILITY DISTRICT, MORGAN COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$1,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Morgan County, Tennessee.

“(267) SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$4,000,000 for water related environmental infrastructure, county of Shelby, Tennessee.

“(268) CENTRAL TEXAS.—\$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Bosque, Brazos, Burleson, Grimes, Hill, Hood, Johnson, Madison, McLennan, Limestone, Robertson, and Somervell Counties, Texas.

“(269) EL PASO COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$25,000,000 for water related infrastructure and resource protection, including stormwater management, and development, El Paso County, Texas.

“(270) FT. BEND COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Ft. Bend County, Texas.

“(271) DUCHESNE, IRON, AND UINTAH COUNTIES, UTAH.—\$10,800,000 for water related infrastructure, Duchesne, Iron, and Uintah Counties, Utah.

“(272) NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.—\$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Hancock, Ohio, Marshall, Wetzel, Tyler, Pleasants, Wood, Doddridge, Monongalia, Marion, Harrison, Taylor, Barbour, Preston, Tucker, Mineral, Grant, Gilmer, Brooke, and Ritchie Counties, West Virginia.

“(273) UNITED STATES VIRGIN ISLANDS.—\$25,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure for the St. Croix Anguilla wastewater treatment plant and the St. Thomas Charlotte Amalie wastewater treatment plant, United States Virgin Islands.”.

TITLE VI—FLORIDA EVERGLADES

SEC. 6001. HILLSBORO AND OKEECHOBEE AQUIFER, FLORIDA.

(a) MODIFICATION.—The project for Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida, authorized by section 101(a)(16) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 276), is modified to au-

thorize the Secretary to carry out the project at a total cost of \$42,500,000.

(b) **TREATMENT.**—Section 601(b)(2)(A) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2681) is amended—

(1) in clause (i) by adding at the end the following: “The project for aquifer storage and recovery, Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida, authorized by section 101(a)(16) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 276), shall be treated for purposes of this section as being in the Plan, except that operation and maintenance costs of the project shall remain a non-Federal responsibility.”; and

(2) in clause (iii) by inserting after “subparagraph (B)” the following: “and the project for aquifer storage and recovery, Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer”.

SEC. 6002. PILOT PROJECTS.

Section 601(b)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2681) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding clause (i)—

(A) by striking “\$69,000,000” and inserting “\$71,200,000”; and

(B) by striking “\$34,500,000” each place it appears and inserting “\$35,600,000”; and

(2) in clause (i)—

(A) by striking “\$6,000,000” and inserting “\$8,200,000”; and

(B) by striking “\$3,000,000” each place it appears and inserting “\$4,100,000”.

SEC. 6003. MAXIMUM COSTS.

(a) **MAXIMUM COST OF PROJECTS.**—Section 601(b)(2)(E) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2683) is amended by inserting “and section (d)” before the period at the end.

(b) **MAXIMUM COST OF PROGRAM AUTHORITY.**—Section 601(c)(3) of such Act (114 Stat. 2684) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(C) **MAXIMUM COST OF PROGRAM AUTHORITY.**—Section 902 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280) shall apply to the individual project funding limits in subparagraph (A) and the aggregate cost limits in subparagraph (B).”.

SEC. 6004. CREDIT.

Section 601(e)(5)(B) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2685) is amended—

(1) in clause (i)—

(A) by striking “or” at the end of subclause (I);

(B) by adding “or” at the end of subclause (II); and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(III) the credit is provided for work carried out before the date of the partnership agreement between the Secretary and the non-Federal sponsor, as defined in an agreement between the Secretary and the non-Federal sponsor providing for such credit.”; and

(2) in clause (ii)—

(A) by striking “design agreement or the project cooperation”; and

(B) by inserting before the semicolon the following: “, including in the case of credit provided under clause (i)(III) conditions relating to design and construction”.

SEC. 6005. OUTREACH AND ASSISTANCE.

Section 601(k) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2691) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(3) **MAXIMUM EXPENDITURES.**—The Secretary may expend up to \$3,000,000 per fiscal year for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2004, to carry out this subsection.”.

SEC. 6006. CRITICAL RESTORATION PROJECTS.

Section 528(b)(3)(C) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3769) is amended—

(1) in clause (i) by striking “\$75,000,000” and all that follows and inserting “\$95,000,000”; and

(2) by striking clause (ii) and inserting the following:

“(ii) **FEDERAL SHARE.**—

“(I) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in subclause (II), the Federal share of the cost of carrying out a project under subparagraph (A) shall not exceed \$25,000,000.

“(II) **SEMINOLE WATER CONSERVATION PLAN.**—The Federal share of the cost of carrying out the Seminole water conservation plan shall not exceed \$30,000,000.”.

SEC. 6007. REGIONAL ENGINEERING MODEL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall complete the development and testing of the regional engineering model for environmental restoration as expeditiously as practicable.

(b) **USAGE.**—The Secretary shall consider using, as appropriate, the regional engineering model for environmental restoration in the development of future water resource projects, including projects developed pursuant to section 601 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680).

TITLE VII—LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA

SEC. 7001. DEFINITIONS.

In this title, the following definitions apply:

(1) **COASTAL LOUISIANA ECOSYSTEM.**—The term “coastal Louisiana ecosystem” means the coastal area of Louisiana from the Sabine River on the west to the Pearl River on the east, including those parts of the Atchafalaya River Basin and the Mississippi River Deltaic Plain below the Old River Control Structure and the Chenier Plain included within the study area of the restoration plan.

(2) **GOVERNOR.**—The term “Governor” means the Governor of the State of Louisiana.

(3) **RESTORATION PLAN.**—The term “restoration plan” means the report of the Chief of Engineers for ecosystem restoration for the Louisiana Coastal Area dated January 31, 2005.

(4) *TASK FORCE.*—The term “Task Force” means the Coastal Louisiana Ecosystem Protection and Restoration Task Force established by section 7003.

(5) *COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.*—The term “comprehensive plan” means the plan developed under section 7002 and any revisions thereto.

SEC. 7002. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary, in coordination with the Governor, shall develop a comprehensive plan for protecting, preserving, and restoring the coastal Louisiana ecosystem.

(b) *INTEGRATION OF PLAN INTO COMPREHENSIVE HURRICANE PROTECTION STUDY.*—In developing the comprehensive plan, the Secretary shall integrate the restoration plan into the analysis and design of the comprehensive hurricane protection study authorized by title I of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2247).

(c) *CONSISTENCY WITH COMPREHENSIVE COASTAL PROTECTION MASTER PLAN.*—In developing the comprehensive plan, the Secretary shall ensure that the plan is not inconsistent with the goals, analysis, and design of the comprehensive coastal protection master plan authorized and defined pursuant to Act 8 of the First Extraordinary Session of the Louisiana State Legislature, 2005.

(d) *INCLUSIONS.*—The comprehensive plan shall include a description of—

(1) the framework of a long-term program integrated with hurricane and storm damage reduction, flood damage reduction, and navigation activities that provide for the comprehensive protection, conservation, and restoration of the wetlands, estuaries, barrier islands, shorelines, and related land and features of the coastal Louisiana ecosystem, including protection of critical resources, habitat, and infrastructure from the effects of a coastal storm, a hurricane, erosion, or subsidence;

(2) the means by which a new technology, or an improved technique, can be integrated into the program referred to in paragraph (1);

(3) the role of other Federal and State agencies and programs in carrying out such program;

(4) specific, measurable success criteria (including ecological criteria) by which success of the plan will be measured;

(5) proposed projects in order of priority as determined by their respective potential to contribute to—

(A) creation of coastal wetlands; and

(B) flood protection of communities ranked by population density and level of protection; and

(6) efforts by Federal, State, and local interests to address sociological, economic, and related fields of law.

(e) *CONSIDERATIONS.*—In developing the comprehensive plan, the Secretary shall consider the advisability of integrating into the program referred to in subsection (d)(1)—

(1) an investigation and study of the maximum effective use of the water and sediment of the Mississippi and Atchafalaya Rivers for coastal restoration purposes consistent with flood control and navigation;

(2) a schedule for the design and implementation of large-scale water and sediment reintroduction projects and an assessment of funding needs from any source;

(3) an investigation and assessment of alterations in the operation of the Old River Control Structure, consistent with flood control and navigation purposes;

(4) any related Federal or State project being carried out on the date on which the plan is developed;

(5) any activity in the restoration plan; and

(6) any other project or activity identified in one or more of—

(A) the Mississippi River and Tributaries program;

(B) the Louisiana Coastal Wetlands Conservation Plan;

(C) the Louisiana Coastal Zone Management Plan;

(D) the plan of the State of Louisiana entitled “Integrated Ecosystem Restoration and Hurricane Protection—Louisiana’s Comprehensive Master Plan for a Sustainable Coast”; and

(E) other relevant reports as determined by the Secretary.

(f) **REPORTS TO CONGRESS.**—

(1) **INITIAL REPORT.**—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report containing the comprehensive plan.

(2) **UPDATES.**—Not later than 5 years after the date of submission of a report under paragraph (1), and at least once every 5 years thereafter until implementation of the comprehensive plan is complete, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report containing an update of the plan and an assessment of the progress made in implementing the plan.

SEC. 7003. LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may carry out a program for ecosystem restoration, Louisiana Coastal Area, Louisiana, substantially in accordance with the report of the Chief of Engineers, dated January 31, 2005.

(b) **PRIORITIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—In carrying out the program under subsection (a), the Secretary shall give priority to—

(A) any portion of the program identified in the report described in subsection (a) as a critical restoration feature;

(B) any Mississippi River diversion project that—

(i) will protect a major population area of the Pontchartrain, Pearl, Breton Sound, Barataria, or Terrebonne basins; and

(ii) will produce an environmental benefit to the coastal Louisiana ecosystem;

(C) any barrier island, or barrier shoreline, project that—

(i) will be carried out in conjunction with a Mississippi River diversion project; and

(ii) will protect a major population area;

(D) any project that will reduce storm surge and prevent or reduce the risk of loss of human life and the risk to public safety; and

(E) a project to physically modify the Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet and to restore the areas affected by the Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet in accordance with the comprehensive plan to be developed under section 7002(a) and consistent with sections 7006(c)(1)(A) and 7013.

SEC. 7004. COASTAL LOUISIANA ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND RESTORATION TASK FORCE.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—There is established a task force to be known as the Coastal Louisiana Ecosystem Protection and Restoration Task Force (in this section referred to as the “Task Force”).

(b) **MEMBERSHIP.**—The Task Force shall consist of the following members (or, in the case of the head of a Federal agency, a designee of the head of the agency at the level of Assistant Secretary or an equivalent level):

- (1) The Secretary.
- (2) The Secretary of the Interior.
- (3) The Secretary of Commerce.
- (4) The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.
- (5) The Secretary of Agriculture.
- (6) The Secretary of Transportation.
- (7) The Secretary of Energy.
- (8) The Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency.
- (9) The Commandant of the Coast Guard.
- (10) The Chair of the Coastal Protection and Restoration Authority of Louisiana.
- (11) Two representatives of the State of Louisiana selected by the Governor.

(c) **DUTIES.**—The Task Force shall make recommendations to the Secretary regarding—

- (1) policies, strategies, plans, programs, projects, and activities for addressing conservation, protection, restoration, and maintenance of the coastal Louisiana ecosystem;
- (2) financial participation by each agency represented on the Task Force in conserving, protecting, restoring, and maintaining the coastal Louisiana ecosystem, including recommendations—

(A) that identify funds from current agency missions and budgets; and

(B) for coordinating individual agency budget requests; and

- (3) the comprehensive plan to be developed under section 7002(a).

(d) **REPORT.**—The Task Force shall submit to Congress a biennial report that summarizes the activities and recommendations of the Task Force.

(e) **WORKING GROUPS.**—

(1) **GENERAL AUTHORITY.**—The Task Force may establish such working groups as the Task Force determines to be necessary to assist the Task Force in carrying out this section.

(2) **HURRICANES KATRINA AND RITA.**—

(A) **INTEGRATION TEAM.**—The Task Force shall establish a working group for the purpose of advising the Task Force of opportunities to integrate the planning, engineer-

ing, design, implementation, and performance of Corps of Engineers projects for hurricane and storm damage reduction, flood damage reduction, ecosystem restoration, and navigation in those areas in Louisiana for which a major disaster has been declared by the President as a result of Hurricane Katrina or Rita.

(B) *EXPERTISE; REPRESENTATION.*—In establishing the working group under subparagraph (A), the Task Force shall ensure that the group—

(i) has expertise in coastal estuaries, diversions, coastal restoration and wetlands protection, ecosystem restoration, hurricane protection, storm damage reduction systems, navigation, and ports; and

(ii) represents the State of Louisiana and local governments in southern Louisiana.

(C) *DUTIES.*—In developing its recommendations under this subsection, the working group shall—

(i) review reports relating to the performance of, and recommendations relating to the future performance of, the hurricane, coastal, and flood protection systems in southern Louisiana, including the reports issued by the Interagency Performance Evaluation Team, the National Academy of Sciences, the National Science Foundation, the American Society of Civil Engineers, and Team Louisiana for the purpose of advising the Task Force and the Secretary on opportunities to improve the performance of the protection systems;

(ii) assist in providing reviews under section 2035; and

(iii) carry out such other duties as the Task Force or the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(f) *COMPENSATION.*—Members of the Task Force and members of a working group established by the Task Force may not receive compensation for their services as members of the Task Force or working group, as the case may be.

(g) *TRAVEL EXPENSES.*—Travel expenses incurred by members of the Task Force and members of a working group established by the Task Force, in the performance of their service on the Task Force or working group, as the case may be, shall be paid by the agency or entity that the member represents.

(h) *NONAPPLICABILITY OF FACIA.*—The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to the Task Force or any working group established by the Task Force.

SEC. 7005. PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.

(a) *REVIEW.*—The Secretary, in cooperation with the non-Federal interest of the project involved, shall review each Federally-authorized water resources project in the coastal Louisiana ecosystem being carried out or completed as of the date of enactment of this Act to determine whether the project needs to be modified—

(1) to take into account the program authorized by section 7003 and the projects authorized by sections 7006(e) and 7013; or

(2) to contribute to ecosystem restoration under section 7003, 7006(e), or 7013.

(b) *MODIFICATIONS.*—Subject to subsections (c) and (d), the Secretary may carry out the modifications described in subsection (a).

(c) *PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENT.*—Before completing the report required under subsection (d), the Secretary shall provide an opportunity for public notice and comment.

(d) *REPORT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Before modifying an operation or feature of a project under subsection (b), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report describing the modification.

(2) *INCLUSION.*—A report describing a modification under paragraph (1) shall include such information relating to the timeline for and cost of the modification, as the Secretary determines to be relevant.

(e) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section \$10,000,000.

SEC. 7006. CONSTRUCTION.

(a) *SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall carry out a coastal Louisiana ecosystem science and technology program substantially in accordance with the restoration plan at a total cost of \$100,000,000.

(2) *PURPOSES.*—The purposes of the program shall be—

(A) to identify any uncertainty relating to the physical, chemical, geological, biological, and cultural baseline conditions in the coastal Louisiana ecosystem;

(B) to improve knowledge of the physical, chemical, geological, biological, and cultural baseline conditions in the coastal Louisiana ecosystem;

(C) to identify and develop technologies, models, and methods to carry out this subsection; and

(D) to advance and expedite the implementation of the comprehensive plan.

(3) *WORKING GROUPS.*—The Secretary may establish such working groups as the Secretary determines to be necessary to assist the Secretary in carrying out this subsection.

(4) *CONTRACTS AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.*—In carrying out this subsection, the Secretary may enter into a contract or cooperative agreement with a consortium of academic institutions in Louisiana with scientific or engineering expertise in the restoration of aquatic and marine ecosystems for coastal restoration and enhancement through science and technology.

(5) *APPLICABILITY OF THE FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT.*—The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to a working group established under this subsection.

(b) *DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may carry out demonstration projects substantially in accordance with the restoration plan and within the coastal Louisiana ecosystem for the purpose of resolving critical areas of scientific or technological uncertainty related to the implementation of the comprehensive plan.

(2) *MAXIMUM COST.*—

(A) *TOTAL COST.*—*The total cost for planning, design, and construction of all projects under this subsection shall not exceed \$100,000,000.*

(B) *INDIVIDUAL PROJECT.*—*The total cost of any single project under this subsection shall not exceed \$25,000,000.*

(c) *INITIAL PROJECTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary is authorized to carry out the following projects substantially in accordance with the restoration plan:*

(A) *Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet environmental restoration at a total cost of \$105,300,000, but not including those elements of the project that produce navigation benefits.*

(B) *Small diversion at Hope Canal at a total cost of \$68,600,000.*

(C) *Barataria basin barrier shoreline restoration at a total cost of \$242,600,000.*

(D) *Small Bayou Lafourche reintroduction at a total cost of \$133,500,000.*

(E) *Medium diversion at Myrtle Grove with dedicated dredging at a total cost of \$278,300,000.*

(2) *MODIFICATIONS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*In carrying out each project under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall carry out such modifications as may be necessary to the ecosystem restoration features identified in the restoration plan—*

(i) *to address the impacts of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita on the areas of the project; and*

(ii) *to ensure consistency with the project authorized by section 7013 (including work in and around the vicinity of the Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet).*

(B) *INTEGRATION.*—*The Secretary shall ensure that each modification under subparagraph (A) is taken into account in conducting the study of comprehensive hurricane protection authorized by title I of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2247).*

(C) *MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET.*—*In carrying out the project under paragraph (1)(A), the Secretary shall carry out such modifications as may be necessary to make the project consistent with and complementary to the closure and restoration of the Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet authorized by section 7013.*

(3) *CONSTRUCTION REPORTS.*—*Before the Secretary may begin construction of any project under this subsection, the Secretary shall submit a report documenting any modifications to the project, including cost changes, to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.*

(4) *APPLICABILITY OF OTHER PROVISIONS.*—*Notwithstanding section 902 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280), the cost of a project under this subsection, including any modifications to the project, shall not exceed 150 percent of the cost of such project set forth in paragraph (1).*

(d) BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, substantially in accordance with the restoration plan, shall implement in the coastal Louisiana ecosystem a program for the beneficial use of material dredged from federally maintained waterways at a total cost of \$100,000,000.

(2) CONSIDERATION.—In carrying out the program under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consider the beneficial use of sediment from the Illinois River System for wetlands restoration in wetlands-depleted watersheds of the coastal Louisiana ecosystem.

(e) ADDITIONAL PROJECTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to carry out the following projects referred to in the restoration plan if the Secretary determines such projects are feasible:

(A) Land Bridge between Caillou Lake and the Gulf of Mexico at a total cost of \$56,300,000.

(B) Gulf Shoreline at Point Au Fer Island at a total cost of \$43,400,000.

(C) Modification of Caernarvon Diversion at a total cost of \$20,700,000.

(D) Modification of Davis Pond Diversion at a total cost of \$64,200,000.

(2) REPORTS.—Not later than December 31, 2009, the Secretary shall submit feasibility reports on the projects described in paragraph (1) to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

(3) PROJECTS SUBJECT TO REPORTS.—

(A) FEASIBILITY REPORTS.—Not later than December 31, 2008, the Secretary shall submit to Congress feasibility reports on the following projects referred to in the restoration plan:

(i) Multipurpose Operation of Houma Navigation Lock at a total cost of \$18,100,000.

(ii) Terrebonne Basin Barrier Shoreline Restoration at a total cost of \$124,600,000.

(iii) Small Diversion at Convent/Blind River at a total cost of \$88,000,000.

(iv) Amite River Diversion Canal Modification at a total cost of \$5,600,000.

(v) Medium Diversion at White's Ditch at a total cost of \$86,100,000.

(vi) Convey Atchafalaya River Water to Northern Terrebonne Marshes at a total cost of \$221,200,000.

(B) CONSTRUCTION.—The Secretary may carry out the projects under subparagraph (A) substantially in accordance with the plans and subject to the conditions, recommended in a final report of the Chief of Engineers if a favorable report of the Chief is completed by not later than December 31, 2010.

(4) CONSTRUCTION.—No appropriations shall be made to construct any project under this subsection if the report under paragraph (2) or paragraph (3), as the case may be, has not been approved by resolutions adopted by the Committee on

Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

SEC. 7007. NON-FEDERAL COST SHARE.

(a) *CREDIT.*—The Secretary shall credit, in accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), toward the non-Federal share of the cost of a study or project under this title the cost of work carried out in the coastal Louisiana ecosystem by the non-Federal interest for the project before the date of the execution of the partnership agreement for the study or project.

(b) *SOURCES OF FUNDS.*—The non-Federal interest may use, and the Secretary shall accept, funds provided by a Federal agency under any other Federal program, to satisfy, in whole or in part, the non-Federal share of the cost of the study or project if the Federal agency that provides the funds determines that the funds are authorized to be used to carry out the study or project.

(c) *NONGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS.*—A nongovernmental organization shall be eligible to contribute all or a portion of the non-Federal share of the cost of a project under this title.

(d) *TREATMENT OF CREDIT BETWEEN PROJECTS.*—Any credit provided under this section toward the non-Federal share of the cost of a study or project under this title may be applied toward the non-Federal share of the cost of any other study or project under this title.

(e) *PERIODIC MONITORING.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—To ensure that the contributions of the non-Federal interest equal the non-Federal share of the cost of a study or project under this title during each 5-year period beginning after the date of commencement of the first study or project under this title, the Secretary shall—

(A) monitor for each study or project under this title the non-Federal provision of cash, in-kind services and materials, and land, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, and disposal areas; and

(B) manage the requirement of the non-Federal interest to provide for each such study or project cash, in-kind services and materials, and land, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, and disposal areas.

(2) *OTHER MONITORING.*—The Secretary shall conduct monitoring separately for the study phase, construction phase, preconstruction engineering and design phase, and planning phase for each project authorized on or after the date of enactment of this Act for all or any portion of the coastal Louisiana ecosystem.

(f) *AUDITS.*—Credit for land, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, and disposal areas (including land value and incidental costs) provided under this section, and the cost of work provided under this section, shall be subject to audit by the Secretary.

SEC. 7008. PROJECT JUSTIFICATION.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Notwithstanding section 209 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962–2) or any other provision of law, in carrying out any project or activity under this title or any other provision of law to protect, conserve, and restore the coastal Louisiana ecosystem, the Secretary may determine that—

(1) *the project or activity is justified by the environmental benefits derived by the coastal Louisiana ecosystem; and*

(2) *no further economic justification for the project or activity shall be required if the Secretary determines that the project or activity is cost effective.*

(b) **LIMITATION ON APPLICABILITY.**—*Subsection (a) shall not apply to any separable element of a project intended to produce benefits that are predominantly unrelated to the protection, preservation, and restoration of the coastal Louisiana ecosystem.*

SEC. 7009. INDEPENDENT REVIEW.

The Secretary shall establish a council, to be known as the “Louisiana Water Resources Council”, which shall serve as the exclusive peer review panel for activities conducted by the Corps of Engineers in the areas in the State of Louisiana declared as major disaster areas in accordance with section 401 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5170) in response to Hurricane Katrina or Rita of 2005, in accordance with the requirements of section 2034.

SEC. 7010. EXPEDITED REPORTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Secretary shall expedite completion of the reports for the following projects and, if the Secretary determines that a project is feasible, proceed directly to project preconstruction engineering and design:*

(1) *The projects identified in the study of comprehensive hurricane protection authorized by title I of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2447).*

(2) *The projects identified in the Southwest Coastal Louisiana hurricane and storm damage reduction study authorized by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives on December 7, 2005.*

(b) **SUBMISSION OF REPORTS.**—*Upon completion of the reports identified in subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit the reports to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.*

SEC. 7011. REPORTING.

Not later than 6 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report, including a description of—

(1) *the projects authorized and undertaken under this title;*

(2) *the construction status of the projects;*

(3) *the cost to date and the expected final cost of each project undertaken under this title; and*

(4) *the benefits and environmental impacts of the projects.*

SEC. 7012. NEW ORLEANS AND VICINITY.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Secretary is authorized to—*

(1) *raise levee heights where necessary and otherwise enhance the Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity project and the West Bank and Vicinity project to provide the level of protection necessary to achieve the certification required for a 100-year level of flood protection in accordance with the national flood insur-*

ance program under the base flood elevations current at the time of construction of the levee;

(2) modify the 17th Street, Orleans Avenue, and London Avenue drainage canals in the city of New Orleans and install pumps and closure structures at or near the lakefront at Lake Pontchartrain;

(3) armor critical elements of the New Orleans hurricane and storm damage reduction system;

(4) modify the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal to increase the reliability of the flood protection system for the city of New Orleans;

(5) replace or modify certain non-Federal levees in Plaquemines Parish to incorporate the levees into the New Orleans to Venice Hurricane Protection project;

(6) reinforce or replace flood walls in the existing Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity project and the existing West Bank and Vicinity project to improve performance of the flood and storm damage reduction systems;

(7) perform one time stormproofing of interior pump stations to ensure the operability of the stations during hurricanes, storms, and high water events;

(8) repair, replace, modify and improve non-Federal levees and associated protection measures in Terrebonne Parish; and

(9) reduce the risk of storm damage to the greater New Orleans metropolitan area by restoring the surrounding wetlands through measures to begin to reverse wetland losses in areas affected by navigation, oil and gas, and other channels and through modification of the Caernarvon Freshwater Diversion structure or its operations.

(b) **COST SHARING.**—Activities authorized by subsection (a) and section 7013 shall be carried out in a manner that is consistent with the cost-sharing requirements specified in the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Hurricane Recovery, 2006 (Public Law 109-234).

(c) **CONDITIONS.**—The Secretary shall notify the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate if estimates for the expenditure of funds on any single project or activity identified in subsection (a) exceeds the amount specified for that project or activity in the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Hurricane Recovery, 2006. No appropriation in excess of 25 percent above the amount specified for a project or activity in such Act may be made until an increase in the level of expenditure has been approved by resolutions adopted by the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

SEC. 7013. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET.

(a) **DEAUTHORIZATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Effective beginning on the date of submission of the plan required under paragraph (3), the navigation channel portion of the Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet element of the project for navigation, Mississippi River, Baton Rouge to the Gulf of Mexico, authorized by the Act entitled “An Act to authorize construction of the Mississippi River-Gulf outlet”, ap-

proved March 29, 1956 (70 Stat. 65) and modified by section 844 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4177) and section 326 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3717), which extends from the Gulf of Mexico to Mile 60 at the southern bank of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, is not authorized.

(2) *SCOPE.*—Nothing in this paragraph modifies or deauthorizes the Inner Harbor navigation canal replacement project authorized by that Act of March 29, 1956.

(3) *CLOSURE AND RESTORATION PLAN.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a final report on the deauthorization of the Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet, as described under the heading “INVESTIGATIONS” under chapter 3 of title II of the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Hurricane Recovery, 2006 (120 Stat. 453).

(B) *INCLUSIONS.*—At a minimum, the report under subparagraph (A) shall include—

(i) a plan to physically modify the Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet and restore the areas affected by the navigation channel;

(ii) a plan to restore natural features of the ecosystem that will reduce or prevent damage from storm surge;

(iii) a plan to prevent the intrusion of saltwater into the waterway;

(iv) efforts to integrate the recommendations of the report with the program authorized under section 7003 and the analysis and design authorized by title I of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2247); and

(v) consideration of—

(I) use of native vegetation; and

(II) diversions of fresh water to restore the Lake Borgne ecosystem.

(4) *CONSTRUCTION.*—The Secretary shall carry out a plan to close the Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet and restore and protect the ecosystem substantially in accordance with the plan required under paragraph (3), if the Secretary determines that the project is cost-effective, environmentally acceptable, and technically feasible.

SEC. 7014. HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION.

(a) *REPORTS.*—With respect to the projects identified in the analysis and design of comprehensive hurricane protection authorized by title I of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006 (119 Stat. 2247), the Secretary shall submit, to the maximum extent practicable, specific project recommendations in a report developed under that title.

(b) *EMERGENCY PROCEDURES.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—If the President determines that a project recommended in the analysis and design of comprehensive hur-

ricane protection under title I of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2006 could—

- (A) address an imminent threat to life and property;
- (B) prevent a dangerous storm surge from reaching a populated area;
- (C) prevent the loss of coastal areas that reduce the impact of storm surge;
- (D) benefit national energy security;
- (E) protect emergency hurricane evacuation routes or shelters; or
- (F) address inconsistencies in hurricane protection standards,

the President may submit to the President pro tempore of the Senate for authorization a legislative proposal relating to the project, as the President determines to be appropriate.

(2) **PRIORITIZATION.**—In submitting legislative proposals under paragraph (1), the President shall give priority to any project that, as determined by the President, would—

- (A) to the maximum extent practicable, reduce the risk—
 - (i) of loss of human life;
 - (ii) to public safety; and
 - (iii) of damage to property; and
- (B) minimize costs and environmental impacts.

(3) **EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Beginning after December 31, 2008, any legislative proposal submitted by the President under paragraph (1) shall be eligible for expedited consideration in accordance with this paragraph.

(B) **INTRODUCTION.**—As soon as practicable after the date of receipt of a legislative proposal under paragraph (1), the Chairman of the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate shall introduce the proposal as a bill, by request, in the Senate.

(C) **REFERRAL.**—A bill introduced under subparagraph (B) shall be referred to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

(D) **COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 45 legislative days after a bill under subparagraph (B) is referred to the committee in accordance with subparagraph (C), the committee shall act on the bill.

(ii) **FAILURE TO ACT.**—If the committee fails to act on a bill by the date specified in clause (i), the bill shall be discharged from the committee and placed on the calendar of the Senate.

(4) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The requirements of, and authorities under, this subsection shall expire on December 31, 2010.

SEC. 7015. LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW.

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives a report describing any modification required to the project for flood damage reduction, Larose to Golden Meadow, Louisiana, to provide

the level of protection necessary to achieve the certification required for a 100-year level of flood protection in accordance with the national flood insurance program.

(b) **MODIFICATIONS.**—The Secretary is authorized to carry out a modification described in subsection (a) if—

(1) the Secretary determines that the modification in the report under subsection (a) is feasible; and

(2) the total cost of the modification does not exceed \$90,000,000.

(c) **REQUIREMENT.**—No appropriation shall be made to construct any modification under this section if the report under subsection (a) has not been approved by resolutions adopted by the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 7016. LOWER JEFFERSON PARISH, LOUISIANA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary may carry out a project for flood damage reduction in Lower Jefferson Parish, Louisiana.

(b) **EXISTING STUDIES.**—In carrying out the project, the Secretary shall use, to the maximum extent practicable, existing studies for projects for flood damage reduction in the vicinity of Lower Jefferson Parish, Louisiana, prepared under section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

(c) **CONSTRUCTION.**—The Secretary may proceed to construction or complete the construction of projects in Lower Jefferson Parish if the projects are being developed or carried out under section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 as of the date of enactment of this Act.

(d) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There is authorized to be appropriated \$100,000,000 to carry out this section.

TITLE VIII—UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND ILLINOIS WATER-WAY SYSTEM

SEC. 8001. DEFINITIONS.

In this title, the following definitions apply:

(1) **PLAN.**—The term “Plan” means the project for navigation and ecosystem improvements for the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway System: Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated December 15, 2004.

(2) **UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND ILLINOIS WATERWAY SYSTEM.**—The term “Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway System” means the projects for navigation and ecosystem restoration authorized by Congress for—

(A) the segment of the Mississippi River from the confluence with the Ohio River, River Mile 0.0, to Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock in Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota, River Mile 854.0; and

(B) the Illinois Waterway from its confluence with the Mississippi River at Grafton, Illinois, River Mile 0.0, to T.J. O’Brien Lock in Chicago, Illinois, River Mile 327.0.

SEC. 8002. NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS AND RESTORATION.

Except as modified by this title, the Secretary shall undertake navigation improvements and restoration of the ecosystem for the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway System substantially in accordance with the Plan and subject to the conditions described therein.

SEC. 8003. AUTHORIZATION OF CONSTRUCTION OF NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS.**(a) SMALL SCALE AND NONSTRUCTURAL MEASURES.—****(1) IN GENERAL.—***The Secretary shall—*

(A) construct mooring facilities at Locks 12, 14, 18, 20, 22, 24, and LaGrange Lock or other alternative locations that are economically and environmentally feasible;

(B) provide switchboats at Locks 20 through 25; and

(C) conduct development and testing of an appointment scheduling system.

(2) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—*The total cost of projects authorized under this subsection shall be \$256,000,000. Such costs are to be paid 1/2 from amounts appropriated from the general fund of the Treasury and 1/2 from amounts appropriated from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund. Such sums shall remain available until expended.*

(b) NEW LOCKS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—*The Secretary shall construct new 1,200-foot locks at Locks 20, 21, 22, 24, and 25 on the Upper Mississippi River and at LaGrange Lock and Peoria Lock on the Illinois Waterway.*

(2) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—*The total cost of projects authorized under this subsection shall be \$1,948,000,000. Such costs are to be paid 1/2 from amounts appropriated from the general fund of the Treasury and 1/2 from amounts appropriated from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund. Such sums shall remain available until expended.*

(c) CONCURRENCE.—*The mitigation required for the projects authorized under subsections (a) and (b), including any acquisition of lands or interests in lands, shall be undertaken or acquired concurrently with lands and interests in lands for the projects authorized under subsections (a) and (b), and physical construction required for the purposes of mitigation shall be undertaken concurrently with the physical construction of such projects.*

SEC. 8004. ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AUTHORIZATION.

(a) OPERATION.—*To ensure the environmental sustainability of the existing Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway System, the Secretary shall modify, consistent with requirements to avoid adverse effects on navigation, the operation of the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway System to address the cumulative environmental impacts of operation of the system and improve the ecological integrity of the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois River.*

(b) ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—*The Secretary shall carry out, consistent with requirements to avoid adverse effects on navigation, ecosystem restoration projects to attain and maintain the sustainability of the ecosystem of the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois River in accordance with the general framework outlined in the Plan.*

(2) *PROJECTS INCLUDED.*—Ecosystem restoration projects may include—

- (A) island building;
- (B) construction of fish passages;
- (C) floodplain restoration;
- (D) water level management (including water draw-down);
- (E) backwater restoration;
- (F) side channel restoration;
- (G) wing dam and dike restoration and modification;
- (H) island and shoreline protection;
- (I) topographical diversity;
- (J) dam point control;
- (K) use of dredged material for environmental purposes;
- (L) tributary confluence restoration;
- (M) spillway, dam, and levee modification to benefit the environment; and
- (N) land and easement acquisition.

(3) *COST SHARING.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in subparagraphs (B) and (C), the Federal share of the cost of carrying out an ecosystem restoration project under this subsection shall be 65 percent.

(B) *EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN RESTORATION PROJECTS.*—In the case of a project under this section for ecosystem restoration, the Federal share of the cost of carrying out the project shall be 100 percent if the project—

- (i) is located below the ordinary high water mark or in a connected backwater;
- (ii) modifies the operation of structures for navigation; or
- (iii) is located on federally owned land.

(C) *SAVINGS CLAUSE.*—Nothing in this subsection affects the applicability of section 906(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283(e)).

(D) *NONGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS.*—In accordance with section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), for any project carried out under this title, a non-Federal sponsor may include a nonprofit entity, with the consent of the affected local government.

(4) *LAND ACQUISITION.*—The Secretary may acquire land or an interest in land for an ecosystem restoration project from a willing seller through conveyance of—

- (A) fee title to the land; or
- (B) a flood plain conservation easement.

(c) *MONITORING.*—The Secretary shall carry out a long term resource monitoring, computerized data inventory and analysis, and applied research program for the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois River to determine trends in ecosystem health, to understand systemic changes, and to help identify restoration needs. The program shall consider and adopt the monitoring program established under section 1103(e)(1)(A)(ii) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 652(e)(1)(A)(ii)).

(d) *ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PRECONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AND DESIGN.*—

(1) *RESTORATION DESIGN.*—Before initiating the construction of any individual ecosystem restoration project, the Secretary shall—

(A) establish ecosystem restoration goals and identify specific performance measures designed to demonstrate ecosystem restoration;

(B) establish the without-project condition or baseline for each performance indicator; and

(C) for each separable element of the ecosystem restoration, identify specific target goals for each performance indicator.

(2) *OUTCOMES.*—Performance measures identified under paragraph (1)(A) shall include specific measurable environmental outcomes, such as changes in water quality, hydrology, or the well-being of indicator species the population and distribution of which are representative of the abundance and diversity of ecosystem-dependent aquatic and terrestrial species.

(3) *RESTORATION DESIGN.*—Restoration design carried out as part of ecosystem restoration shall include a monitoring plan for the performance measures identified under paragraph (1)(A), including—

(A) a timeline to achieve the identified target goals; and

(B) a timeline for the demonstration of project completion.

(e) *CONSULTATION AND FUNDING AGREEMENTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—In carrying out the environmental sustainability, ecosystem restoration, and monitoring activities authorized in this section, the Secretary shall consult with the Secretary of the Interior and the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin.

(2) *FUNDING AGREEMENTS.*—The Secretary is authorized to enter into agreements with the Secretary of the Interior, the Upper Mississippi River Basin Association, and natural resource and conservation agencies of the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin to provide for the direct participation of and transfer of funds to such entities for the planning, implementation, and evaluation of projects and programs established by this section.

(f) *SPECIFIC PROJECTS AUTHORIZATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subsection \$1,717,000,000, of which not more than \$245,000,000 shall be available for projects described in subsection (b)(2)(B) and not more than \$48,000,000 shall be available for projects described in subsection (b)(2)(J). Such sums shall remain available until expended.

(2) *LIMITATION ON AVAILABLE FUNDS.*—Of the amounts made available under paragraph (1), not more than \$35,000,000 in any fiscal year may be used for land acquisition under subsection (b)(4).

(3) *INDIVIDUAL PROJECT LIMIT.*—Other than for projects described in subparagraphs (B) and (J) of subsection (b)(2), the

total cost of any single project carried out under this subsection shall not exceed \$25,000,000.

(4) MONITORING.—In addition to amounts authorized under paragraph (1), there are authorized \$10,420,000 per fiscal year to carry out the monitoring program under subsection (c) if such sums are not appropriated pursuant to section 1103(e)(4) the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 652(e)(4)).

(g) IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than June 30, 2009, and every 4 years thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives an implementation report that—

(A) includes baselines, milestones, goals, and priorities for ecosystem restoration projects; and

(B) measures the progress in meeting the goals.

(2) ADVISORY PANEL.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall appoint and convene an advisory panel to provide independent guidance in the development of each implementation report under paragraph (1).

(B) PANEL MEMBERS.—Panel members shall include—

(i) one representative of each of the State resource agencies (or a designee of the Governor of the State) from each of the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin;

(ii) one representative of the Department of Agriculture;

(iii) one representative of the Department of Transportation;

(iv) one representative of the United States Geological Survey;

(v) one representative of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service;

(vi) one representative of the Environmental Protection Agency;

(vii) one representative of affected landowners;

(viii) two representatives of conservation and environmental advocacy groups; and

(ix) two representatives of agriculture and industry advocacy groups.

(C) CHAIRPERSON.—The Secretary shall serve as chairperson of the advisory panel.

(D) APPLICATION OF FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT.—The Advisory Panel and any working group established by the Advisory Panel shall not be considered an advisory committee under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.).

(h) RANKING SYSTEM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Advisory Panel, shall develop a system to rank proposed projects.

(2) *PRIORITY.*—The ranking system shall give greater weight to projects that restore natural river processes, including those projects listed in subsection (b)(2).

SEC. 8005. COMPARABLE PROGRESS.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—As the Secretary conducts pre-engineering, design, and construction for projects authorized under this title, the Secretary shall—

- (1) select appropriate milestones;
- (2) determine, at the time of such selection, whether the projects are being carried out at comparable rates; and
- (3) make an annual report to Congress, beginning in fiscal year 2009, regarding whether the projects are being carried out at a comparable rate.

(b) *NO COMPARABLE RATE.*—If the Secretary or Congress determines under subsection (a)(2) that projects authorized under this title are not moving toward completion at a comparable rate, annual funding requests for the projects shall be adjusted to ensure that the projects move toward completion at a comparable rate in the future.

TITLE IX—NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY PROGRAM

SEC. 9001. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the “National Levee Safety Act of 2007”.

SEC. 9002. DEFINITIONS.

In this title, the following definitions apply:

(1) *COMMITTEE.*—The term “committee” means the Committee on Levee Safety established by section 9003(a).

(2) *INSPECTION.*—The term “inspection” means an actual inspection of a levee—

(A) to establish the global information system location of the levee;

(B) to determine the general condition of the levee; and

(C) to estimate the number of structures and population at risk and protected by the levee that would be adversely impacted if the levee fails or water levels exceed the height of the levee.

(3) *LEVEE.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The term “levee” means an embankment, including floodwalls—

(i) the primary purpose of which is to provide hurricane, storm, and flood protection relating to seasonal high water, storm surges, precipitation, and other weather events; and

(ii) that normally is subject to water loading for only a few days or weeks during a year.

(B) *INCLUSION.*—The term includes structures along canals that constrain water flows and are subject to more frequent water loadings but that do not constitute a barrier across a watercourse.

(4) *STATE.*—The term “State” means—

- (A) a State;
- (B) the District of Columbia;
- (C) the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico; and
- (D) any other territory or possession of the United States.

(5) *STATE LEVEE SAFETY AGENCY.*—The term “State levee safety agency” means the agency of a State that has regulatory authority over the safety of any non-Federal levee in the State.

(6) *UNITED STATES.*—The term “United States”, when used in a geographical sense, means all of the States.

SEC. 9003. COMMITTEE ON LEVEE SAFETY.

(a) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—There is established a committee to be known as the “Committee on Levee Safety”.

(b) *MEMBERSHIP.*—The committee shall be composed of 16 members as follows:

(1) The Secretary (or the Secretary’s designee), who shall serve as the chairperson of the Committee.

(2) The Administrator of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or the Administrator’s designee).

(3) The following 14 members appointed by the Secretary:

(A) 8 representatives of State levee safety agencies, one from each of the 8 civil works divisions of the Corps of Engineers.

(B) 2 representatives of the private sector who have expertise in levee safety.

(C) 2 representatives of local and regional governmental agencies who have expertise in levee safety.

(D) 2 representatives of Indian tribes who have expertise in levee safety.

(c) *DUTIES.*—

(1) *DEVELOPMENT OF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY PROGRAM.*—The committee shall develop recommendations for a national levee safety program, including a strategic plan for implementation of the program.

(2) *REPORT.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the committee shall submit to the Secretary, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate a report containing the recommendations developed under paragraph (1).

(d) *PURPOSES.*—In developing recommendations under subsection (c)(1), the committee shall ensure that the national levee safety program meets the following goals:

(1) Ensuring the protection of human life and property by levees through the development of technologically, economically, socially, and environmentally feasible programs and procedures for hazard reduction and mitigation relating to levees.

(2) Encouraging use of the best available engineering policies and procedures for levee site investigation, design, construction, operation and maintenance, and emergency preparedness.

(3) Encouraging the establishment and implementation of an effective national levee safety program that may be delegated to qualified States for implementation, including identification of incentives and disincentives for State levee safety programs.

(4) *Ensuring that levees are operated and maintained in accordance with appropriate and protective standards by conducting an inventory and inspection of levees.*

(5) *Developing and supporting public education and awareness projects to increase public acceptance and support of State and national levee safety programs.*

(6) *Building public awareness of the residual risks associated with living in levee protected areas.*

(7) *Developing technical assistance materials for State and national levee safety programs.*

(8) *Developing methods to provide technical assistance relating to levee safety to non-Federal entities.*

(9) *Developing technical assistance materials, seminars, and guidelines relating to the physical integrity of levees in the United States.*

(e) **COMPENSATION OF MEMBERS.**—*A member of the committee shall serve without compensation.*

(f) **TRAVEL EXPENSES.**—*To the extent amounts are made available in advance in appropriations Acts, the Secretary shall reimburse a member of the committee for travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for an employee of a Federal agency under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from the home or regular place of business of the member in performance of services for the committee.*

(g) **APPLICABILITY OF FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT.**—*The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to the committee.*

SEC. 9004. INVENTORY AND INSPECTION OF LEVEES.

(a) **LEVEE DATABASE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall establish and maintain a database with an inventory of the Nation's levees.*

(2) **CONTENTS.**—*The database shall include—*

(A) *location information of all Federal levees in the Nation (including global information system information) and, for non-Federal levees, such information on levee location as is provided to the Secretary by State and local governmental agencies;*

(B) *utilizing such information as is available, the general condition of each levee; and*

(C) *an estimate of the number of structures and population at risk and protected by each levee that would be adversely impacted if the levee fails or water levels exceed the height of the levee.*

(3) **AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION.**—

(A) **AVAILABILITY TO FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES.**—*The Secretary shall make all of the information in the database available to appropriate Federal, State, and local governmental agencies.*

(B) **AVAILABILITY TO THE PUBLIC.**—*The Secretary shall make the information in the database described in paragraph (2)(A), and such other information in the database as the Secretary determines appropriate, available to the public.*

(b) **INVENTORY AND INSPECTION OF LEVEES.**—

(1) *FEDERAL LEVEES.*—*The Secretary, at Federal expense, shall establish an inventory and conduct an inspection of all federally owned and operated levees.*

(2) *FEDERALLY CONSTRUCTED, NONFEDERALLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED LEVEES.*—*The Secretary shall establish an inventory and conduct an inspection of all federally constructed, non-federally operated and maintained levees, at the original cost share for the project.*

(3) *PARTICIPATING LEVEES.*—*For non-Federal levees the owners of which are participating in the emergency response to natural disasters program established under section 5 of the Act entitled “An Act authorizing the construction of certain public works on rivers and harbors for flood control, and for other purposes”, approved August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n), the Secretary shall establish an inventory and conduct an inspection of each such levee if the owner of the levee requests such inspection. The Federal share of the cost of an inspection under this paragraph shall be 65 percent.*

SEC. 9005. LIMITATIONS ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.

Nothing in this title shall be construed as—

(1) *creating any liability of the United States or its officers or employees for the recovery of damages caused by an action or failure to act; or*

(2) *relieving an owner or operator of a levee of a legal duty, obligation, or liability incident to the ownership or operation of a levee.*

SEC. 9006. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry out this title \$20,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2008 through 2013. And the Senate agree to the same.

From the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for consideration of the House bill and the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

JAMES L. OBERSTAR,
EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON,
ELLEN O. TAUSCHER,
BRIAN BAIRD,
BRIAN HIGGINS,
HARRY E. MITCHELL,
STEVE KAGEN,
JERRY MCNERNEY,
JOHN L. MICA,
JOHN J. DUNCAN, Jr.,
VERNON J. EHLERS,
R.H. BAKER,
HENRY E. BROWN, Jr.,
JOHN BOOZMAN,

From the Committee on Natural Resources, for consideration of secs. 2014, 2023, and 6009 of the House bill and secs. 3023, 5008, and 5016 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

NICK RAHALL,
GRACE F. NAPOLITANO,

CATHY McMORRIS RODGERS,
Managers on the Part of the House.

BARBARA BOXER,
MAX BAUCUS,
JOE LIEBERMAN,
TOM CARPER,
HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON,
FRANK R. LAUTENBERG,
JAMES M. INHOFE,
JOHN WARNER,
GEORGE V. VOINOVICH,
JOHNNY ISAKSON,
DAVID VITTER,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1495), to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to construct various projects for improvements to rivers and harbors of the United States, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report:

The Senate amendment struck all of the House bill after the enacting clause and inserted a substitute text.

The House recedes from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate with an amendment that is a substitute for the House bill and the Senate amendment. The differences between the House bill, the Senate amendment, and the substitute agreed to in conference are noted below, except for clerical corrections, conforming changes made necessary by agreements reached by the conferees, and minor drafting and clarifying changes.

TITLE I—WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

SECTION 1001—PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

1001(1). Haines, Alaska. House § 1001(1), Senate § 1001(1).—Senate recedes.

1001(2). Port Lions, Alaska. House § 1001(2). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1001(3). Santa Cruz River, Paseo de Las Iglesias, Arizona. House § 1001(4). No comparable Senate Section.—Senate recedes.

1001(4). Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona. House § 1001(5), Senate § 1001(2).—House recedes.

1001(5). Salt River (Rio Salado Oeste), Maricopa County, Arizona. House § 1001(3). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1001(6). Salt River (Va Shly'ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona. House § 1001(6), Senate § 1001(3).—House recedes, with an amendment.

1001(7). May Branch, Fort Smith, Arkansas. House § 1001(7), Senate § 1001(4).—House recedes.

1001(8). Hamilton City, Glenn County, California. House § 1001(8), Senate § 1001(5).—House recedes.

1001(9). Silver Strand Shoreline, Imperial Beach, California. House § 1001(9), Senate 1001(6).—House recedes.

1001(10). Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California. House § 1001(10), Senate 1001(7).—House recedes.

1001(11). Middle Creek, Lake County, California. House § 1001(11), Senate 1001 § 1001(8).—House recedes.

1001(12). Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California. House § 1001(12), Senate § 1001(9).—Senate recedes.

1001(13). Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado. House § 1001(13), Senate § 1001(10).—Senate recedes.

1001(14). Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon. House § 6005, Senate § 1001 (12).—House recedes.

1001(15). Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida. House § 6005, Senate § 1001(14).—House recedes.

1001(16). Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1 Impoundment Project, Palm Beach County, Florida. House § 6005, Senate § 1001(11).—House recedes.

1001(17). Miami Harbor, Miami-Dade County, Florida. House § 1001(14), Senate § 1001(13).—Senate recedes.

1001(18). East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois. House § 1001(15), Senate § 1001(15).—Senate recedes.

1001(19). Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois. House § 1001(16), Senate § 1001(16).—House recedes.

1001(20). Wood River Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois. House § 1001(17), Senate 1001(17).—House recedes.

1001(21). Des Moines and Raccoon Rivers, Des Moines, Iowa. House § 1001(18), Senate 1001(18).—Senate recedes.

1001(22). Licking River Basin, Cynthiana, Kentucky. House § 1001(19). No comparable Senate Section.—Senate recedes.

1001(23). Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana. House § 1001(20), Senate 1001(19).—House recedes.

1001(24). Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana. House § 1001(21), Senate § 1001(20).—House recedes.

1001(25). Port of Iberia, Louisiana. House § 1001(22), Senate § 1001(21).—House recedes, with an amendment.

1001(26). Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland. House § 1001(23), Senate § 1001(23).—House recedes.

1001(27). Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota. House § 1001(24), Senate § 1001(24).—Senate recedes.

1001(28). Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, and North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas. House § 1001(26), Senate § 1001(26).—House recedes.

1001(29). Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri. House § 1001(27), Senate § 1001(27).—Senate recedes.

1001(30). Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey. House § 1001(28), Senate 1001(28).—House recedes.

1001(31). Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey. House § 1001(29), Senate § 1001(29).—Senate recedes.

1001(32). New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey. House § 1001(30), Senate § 1001(30).—Senate recedes.

1001(33). Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey. House § 1001(31), Senate § 1001(31).—House recedes.

1001(34). South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey. House § 1001(32), Senate § 1001(32).—House recedes.

1001(35). Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New Mexico. House § 1001(33), Senate § 1001(33).—House recedes.

1001(36). Montauk Point, New York. House § 1001(34), Senate § 1001(34).—Senate recedes.

1001(37). Hocking River Basin, Monday Creek, Ohio. House § 1001(35), Senate § 1001(35).—House recedes, with an amendment.

1001(38). Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania. House § 1001(36), Senate § 1001(36).—Senate recedes.

1001(39). Pawleys Island, South Carolina. House § 1001(37), Senate § 1001(37).—Senate recedes.

1001(40). Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Christi, Texas. House § 1001(38), Senate 1001(38).—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

1001(41). Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazos River to Port O'Connor, Matagorda Bay Re-Route, Texas. House § 1001(39), Senate § 1001(39).—House recedes.

1001(42). Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island to Brazos River, Texas. House § 1001(40), Senate § 1001(40).—House recedes.

1001(43). Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas. House § 1001(41), Senate § 1001(41).—Senate recedes.

1001(44). Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia. House § 1001(43), Senate § 1001(43).—Senate recedes.

1001(45). Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads, Virginia. House § 1001(44), Senate § 1001(42).—House recedes, with an amendment.

1001(46). Centralia, Chehalis River, Lewis County, Washington. Senate § 1001(44). No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 1002. SMALL PROJECTS FOR FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION

1002(a)(1). Haleyville, Alabama. House § 1002(a)(1). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(2). Weiss Lake, Alabama. House § 1002(a)(2). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(3). Fort Yukon, Alaska. House § 5032. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

1002(a)(4). Little Colorado River Levee, Arizona. House § 1002(a)(3). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(5). Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas. House § 1002(a)(4), Senate § 1004(1).—Same.

1002(a)(6). Barrel Springs Wash, Palmdale, California. House § 1002(a)(5). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(7). Borrego Springs, California. House § 1002(a)(6). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(8). Colton, California. House § 1002(a)(7). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(9). Dunlap Stream, Yucaipa, California. House § 1002(a)(8). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(10). Hunts Canyon Wash, Palmdale, California. House § 1002(a)(9). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(11). Ontario and Chino, California. House § 1002(a)(10). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(12). Santa Venetia, California. House § 1002(a)(11). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(13). Whittier, California. House § 1002(a)(12). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(14). Wildwood Creek, Yucaipa, California. House § 1002(a)(13). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(15). Bibb County and City of Macon Levee, Georgia. Senate § 1004(2). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1002(a)(16). Fort Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana. Senate § 1004(3). House § 3051.—House recesses, with an amendment.

1002(a)(17). St. Francisville, Louisiana. House § 1002(a)(14). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(18). Salem, Massachusetts. House § 1002(a)(15), Senate 1004(4).—Same.

1002(a)(19). Cass River, Michigan. House § 1002(a)(16). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(20). Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota. House § 1002(a)(17), Senate § 1004(5).—Same.

1002(a)(21). Marsh Creek, Minnesota. House § 1002(a)(18). No comparable Senate Section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(22). South Branch of the Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota. House § 1002(a)(19), Senate § 1004(6).—Same.

1002(a)(23). Blacksnake Creek, St. Joseph, Missouri. House § 1002(a)(20). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(24). Acid Brook, Pompton Lakes, New Jersey. House § 1002(a)(21). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(25). Canisteo River, Addison, New York. House § 1002(a)(22). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(26). Cohocton River, Campbell, New York. House § 1002(a)(23). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(27). Dry and Otter Creeks, Cortland, New York. House § 1002(a)(24). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(28). East River, Silver Beach, New York City, New York. House § 1002(a)(25). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(29). East Valley Creek, Andover, New York. House § 1002(a)(26). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(30). Sunnyside Brook, Westchester County, New York. House § 1002(a)(27). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(31). Little Yankee and Mud Run, Trumbull County, Ohio. House § 1002(a)(28). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(32). Little Neshaminy Creek, Warrington, Pennsylvania. House § 1002(a)(29). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(33). Southampton Creek Watershed, Southampton, Pennsylvania. House § 1002(a)(30). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(34). Spring Creek, Lower Macungie Township, Pennsylvania. House § 1002(a)(31). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1002(a)(35). Yardley Aqueduct, Silver and Brock Creeks, Yardley, Pennsylvania. House §1002(a)(32). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(36). Surfside Beach, South Carolina. House §1002(a)(33). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(37). Sandy Creek, Jackson County, Tennessee. Senate §3113. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

1002(a)(38). Congelosi Ditch, Missouri City, Texas. House §1002(a)(34). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(39). Dilley, Texas. House §1002(a)(35). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1002(a)(40). Cheyenne, Wyoming. Senate §1004(7). No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 1003. SMALL PROJECTS FOR EMERGENCY STREAMBANK
PROTECTION

1003(1). Aliso Creek, California. House §1003(1). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(2). St. Johns Bluff Training Wall, Duval County, Florida. House §1003(2). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(3). Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Iberville Parish, Louisiana. House §1003(3). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(4). Ouachita and Black Rivers, Arkansas and Louisiana. House §1003(4). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(5). Piney Point Lighthouse, St. Mary's County, Maryland. House §1003(5). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(6). Pug Hole Lake, Minnesota. House §1003(6). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(7). Middle Fork Grand River, Gentry County, Missouri. House §1003(7). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(8). Platte River, Platte City, Missouri. House §1003(8). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(9). Rush Creek, Parkville, Missouri. House §1003(9). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(10). Dry and Otter Creeks, Cortland County, New York. House §1003(10). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(11). Keuka Lake, Hammondsport, New York. House §1003(11). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(12). Kowawese Unique Area and Hudson River, New Windsor, New York. House §1003(12). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(13). Owego Creek, Tioga County, New York. House §1003(13). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(14). Howard Road Outfall, Shelby County, Tennessee. House §1003(14). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(15). Mitch Farm Ditch and Lateral D, Shelby County, Tennessee. House §1003(15). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(16). Wolf River Tributaries, Shelby County, Tennessee. House §1003(16). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(17). Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas. House §1003(17). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1003(18). Wells River, Newbury, Vermont. House §1003(18). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 1004. SMALL PROJECTS FOR NAVIGATION

1004(a)(1). Barrow Harbor, Alaska. Senate §1005(1). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1004(a)(2). Coffman Cove, Alaska. House §5030. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses, with an amendment.

1004(a)(3). Kotzebue Harbor, Alaska. House §5033. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses, with an amendment.

1004(a)(4). Nome Harbor, Alaska. Senate §1005(2). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1004(a)(5). Old Harbor, Alaska. Senate §1005(3). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1004(a)(6). Little Rock Port, Arkansas. Senate §1005(4). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1004(a)(7). Mississippi River Ship Channel, Louisiana. House §1004(a)(1). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1004(a)(8). East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts. House §1004(a)(2), Senate 1005(5).—Same.

1004(a)(9). Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts. House §1004(a)(3), Senate §1005(6).—Same.

1004(a)(10). Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts. House §1004(a)(4), Senate §1005(7).—Same.

1004(a)(11). Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts. House §1004(a)(5), Senate §1005(8).—Same.

1004(a)(12). Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts. House §1004(a)(6), Senate §1005(9).—Same.

1004(a)(13). Au Sable River, Michigan. House §1004(a)(7), Senate §1005(10).—Same.

1004(a)(14). Clinton River, Michigan. Senate §1005(11). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1004(a)(15). Ontonagon River, Michigan. Senate §1005(12). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1004(a)(16). Outer Channel and Inner Harbor, Menominee Harbor, Michigan and Wisconsin. Senate §1005(16). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1004(a)(17). Sebewaing River, Michigan. Senate §1005(14). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1004(a)(18). Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan. House §1004(a)(8), Senate §1005(13).—Same.

1004(a)(19). Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota. House §1004(a)(9), Senate §1005(15).—Same.

1004(a)(20). Olcott Harbor, Olcott, New York. House §1004(a)(10). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1004(a)(21). Milwaukee Harbor, Wisconsin. Senate §1005(18). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 1005. SMALL PROJECTS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT

1005(1). Ballona Creek, Los Angeles County, California. House § 1005(1). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1005(2). Ballona Lagoon Tide Gates, Marina Del Ray, California. House § 1005(2). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1005(3). Ft. George Inlet, Duval County, Florida. House § 1005(3). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1005(4). Rathbun Lake, Iowa. House § 1005(4). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1005(5). Smithville Lake, Missouri. House § 1005(5). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1005(6). Delaware Bay, New Jersey and Delaware. House § 1005(6). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1005(7). Tioga-Hammond Lakes, Pennsylvania. House § 1005(7). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 1006. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

1006(a)(1). Cypress Creek, Montgomery, Alabama. House § 1006(1). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(2). Black Lake, Alaska. House § 1006(2), Senate § 1006(1).—Same.

1006(a)(3). Ben Lomond Dam, Santa Cruz, California. House § 1006(4). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(4). Dockweiler Bluffs, Los Angeles County, California. House § 1006(5). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(5). Salt River, California. House § 1006(6). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(6). San Diego River, California. Senate § 1006(2). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1006(a)(7). Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California. House § 1006(7). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(8). Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel and Lower San Joaquin River, California. House § 1006(8). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(9). Suisun Marsh, San Pablo Bay, California. Senate § 1006(3). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1006(a)(10). Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, California. House § 1006(9). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(11). Biscayne Bay, Florida. House § 1006(10). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(12). Clam Bayou and Dinkins Bayou, Sanibel Island, Florida. House § 1006(11). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(13). Mountain Park, Georgia. Senate § 2037(a)(2)(A). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1006(a)(14). Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama. House § 1006(12), Senate § 1006(4).—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(15). Longwood Cove, Gainesville, Georgia. House § 1006(13). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(16). City Park, University Lakes, Louisiana. House § 1006(15). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

1006(a)(17). Lawrence Gateway, Massachusetts. Senate § 1006(5). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1006(a)(18). Milford Pond, Milford, Massachusetts. Senate § 1006(7). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

1006(a)(19). Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts. House § 1006(16), Senate § 1006(6).—Same.

1006(a)(20). Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts. House § 1006(17), Senate § 1006(8).—Same.

1006(a)(21). Clinton River, Michigan. Senate § 1006(9). No comparable House section.—House recedes.

1006(a)(22). Kalamazoo River Watershed, Battle Creek, Michigan. House § 1006(18). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(23). Rush Lake, Minnesota. House § 1006(19). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(24). South Fork of the Crow River, Hutchinson, Minnesota. House § 1006(20). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(25). St. Louis, Missouri. House § 1006(21). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(26). Mobley Dam, Tongue River, Montana. No comparable House or Senate section.

1006(a)(27). S and H Dam, Tongue River, Montana. No comparable House or Senate section.

1006(a)(28). Vandalia Dam, Milk River, Montana. No comparable House or Senate section.

1006(a)(29). Truckee River, Reno, Nevada. House § 1006(22). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(30). Grover's Mill Pond, New Jersey. House § 1006(23). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(31). Caldwell County, North Carolina. Senate § 1006(10). No comparable House section.—House recedes.

1006(a)(32). Mecklenburg County, North Carolina. Senate § 1006(11). No comparable House section.—House recedes.

1006(a)(33). Dugway Creek, Bratenahl, Ohio. House § 1006(24). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(34). Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon. House § 1006(25), Senate § 1006(12).—Same.

1006(a)(35). Beaver Creek, Beaver and Salem, Pennsylvania. House § 1006(26). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(36). Cementon Dam, Lehigh River, Pennsylvania. House § 1006(27). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(37). Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania. House § 5003(a)(5). Senate § 2037(a)(2)(E).—House recedes.

1006(a)(38). Saucon Creek, Northampton County, Pennsylvania. House § 1006(28). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(39). Stillwater Lake Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania. Senate § 2037(a)(2)(F), House § 5003(a)(7).—House recedes.

1006(a)(40). Blackstone River, Rhode Island. House § 1006(29), Senate § 1006(13).—Same.

1006(a)(41). Wilson Branch, Cheraw, South Carolina. House § 1006(30). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(42). White River, Bethel, Vermont. House § 1006(31). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1006(a)(43). College Lake, Lynchburg, Virginia. Senate § 1006(14). No comparable Senate section.—House recedes.

SEC. 1007. SMALL PROJECTS FOR SHORELINE PROTECTION

1007(1). Nelson Lagoon, Alaska. House §1007(1). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1007(2). Nicholas Canyon, Los Angeles, California. Senate §4006. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

1007(3). Sanibel Island, Florida. House §1007(2). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1007(4). Apra Harbor, Guam. House §1007(3). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1007(5). Piti, Cabras Island, Guam. House §1007(4). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1007(6). Narrows and Gravesend Bay, Upper New York Bay, Brooklyn, New York. House §1007(5). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1007(7). Delaware River, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Pennsylvania. House §1007(7). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

1007(8). Port Aransas, Texas. House §1007(8). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 1008. SMALL PROJECTS FOR SNAGGING AND SEDIMENT REMOVAL

1008. Kowawese Unique Area and Hudson River, New Windsor, New York. House §1008. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 1009. SMALL PROJECTS TO PREVENT OR MITIGATE DAMAGE CAUSED BY NAVIGATION PROJECTS

1009(1). Tybee Island, Georgia. Senate §1007(1). House §4032. House recedes.

1009(2). Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana. Senate §1007(2). House §5069. House recedes.

SEC. 1010. SMALL PROJECTS FOR AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL

1010. Republican River Basin, Nebraska. Senate §1008. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

TITLE 2—GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 2001. NON-FEDERAL CONTRIBUTIONS

House §2001, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2002. FUNDING TO PROCESS PERMITS

House §2003, Senate §2017. Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The Managers recognize the importance of efficient and effective processing of permits by the Corps of Engineers for activities affecting federally regulated waters, including wetlands, in compliance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.). Congress included a provision in the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106–541, Sec. 214) to expedite the permit processing time for nonfederal public entities.

The Managers also recognize the findings and recommendations of the May 2007 report of the United States Government Ac-

countability Office (“GAO”), entitled “Corps of Engineers Needs To Ensure That Permit Decisions Made Using Funds From Nonfederal Public Entities Are Transparent and Impartial” (GAO–07–478). In this report, GAO emphasized the importance of transparency and impartiality in permit reviews and decisionmaking, and ensuring that all of the Corps’ District offices follow internal Corps’ Headquarters guidance on maintaining impartial decisionmaking, including, at a minimum, that all Corps District offices provide that permits decisions under section 214 are reviewed at least by one level above the decisionmaker, that all final permit decisions are made available electronically, that the Corps not eliminate any procedures or decisions that would otherwise be required for the type of project under consideration, and that the Corps comply with all applicable laws and regulations. The GAO report also expressed concern that certain Corps districts have allowed private companies to submit permit applications under section 214, in contravention to the intent of this authority.

Although GAO was not able to conclude definitively whether permitting processing times have decreased under the section 214 program, the report does recognize some benefits reported by participating non-Federal public entities, including the potential for reduced cost and time for permit processing for those entities that have contributed funds to the program, and improved communication between participating entities and the Corps.

The Managers intend to conduct additional oversight on the implementation of this program before the authority for this program expires in 2009.

SEC. 2003. WRITTEN AGREEMENT FOR WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

House § 2009, Senate § 2001, 2023, and 2039.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2004. COMPILATION OF LAWS

House § 2011, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2005. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL

House § 2012, Senate § 3089.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2006. REMOTE AND SUBSISTENCE HARBORS

House § 2015, Senate § 2038.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2007. USE OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS

House § 2018, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2008. REVISION OF PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT; COST SHARING

House § 2019, 2020, 2035. No comparable Senate sections.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2009. EXPEDITED ACTIONS FOR EMERGENCY FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION

House § 2021, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2010. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS

House § 2022, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2011. TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM

House § 2023, Senate § 2027.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2012. WILDFIRE FIREFIGHTING

House § 2024, Senate § 2022.—Same.

SEC. 2013. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

House § 2025, Senate § 2009.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2014. LAKES PROGRAM

House § 2026, Senate § 5001.—House and Senate with comparable sections, combine list of House and Senate projects.

This section amends section 602(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 to add the following locations to the Lakes Program: Kinkaid Lake, Jackson County, Illinois; McCarter Pond, Borough of Fairhaven, New Jersey; Rogers Pond, Franklin Township, New Jersey; Greenwood Lake, New York and New Jersey; Lake Rodgers, Creedmoor, North Carolina; Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota; Lake Luxembourg, Pennsylvania; Lake Fairlee, Vermont; and Lake Morley, Vermont.

SEC. 2015. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS

House § 2029, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2016. TRAINING FUNDS

House § 2030, Senate § 2003.—Same.

SEC. 2017. ACCESS TO WATER RESOURCE DATA

House § 2031, Senate § 2010.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2018. SHORE PROTECTION PROJECTS

House § 2032, Senate § 2014.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2019. ABILITY TO PAY

House § 2033, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2020. AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM AND ESTUARY RESTORATION

House § 2006, Senate § 2033, 2035, and 2037.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The Managers recognize the importance of projects for the restoration of salt-water estuaries and for the rehabilitation and removal of dams in improving aquatic ecosystems and the environment. The Managers recognize that such projects are typically eli-

gible under section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330).

This section amends section 206 to explicitly authorize projects that improve elements and features of an estuary (as defined in section 103 of the Estuaries and Clean Waters Act of 2000 (33 U.S.S. 2902)) and projects for the removal of dams, that otherwise meet the requirements of section 206.

SEC. 2021. SMALL FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION PROJECTS

House § 2007, Senate § 2040.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2022. SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Senate § 2031, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2023. PROTECTION OF HIGHWAYS, BRIDGE APPROACHES, PUBLIC WORKS, AND NONPROFIT PUBLIC SERVICES

Senate § 2032, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2024. MODIFICATION OF PROJECTS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT

House § 2008, Senate § 2034.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2025. REMEDIATION OF ABANDONED MINE SITES

Senate § 2036, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

In carrying out this section, the Secretary shall give priority to the Mt. Diablo Mercury Mine Clean-up project in Contra Costa County, California.

SEC. 2026. LEASING AUTHORITY

House § 2034, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2027. FISCAL TRANSPARENCY REPORT

Senate § 2004, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2028. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS PROGRAM

House § 2041, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2029. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON CRITERIA FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF HARBOR DREDGING PROJECTS

House § 2043, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2030. INTERAGENCY AND INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT AUTHORITY

Senate § 2002, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2028. WATER RESOURCES PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES

House § 2036, Senate § 2006.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2032. WATER RESOURCE PRIORITIES REPORT

Senate § 2006(d), No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2033. PLANNING

Senate § 2005, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2034. INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW

Senate § 2007, House § 2037.—House recedes, with an amendment.

Section 2034 provides that project studies shall be subject to peer review by an independent panel of experts, as provided in this section. The conference agreement is a combination of independent peer review proposals passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives. The managers believe that the conference agreement improves upon both the House and Senate proposals to create a strong, workable, and independent process for review of project studies carried out by the Corps of Engineers. For example, the conference agreement authorizes the independent peer review to run concurrent with the project study period, and requires that the peer review panel remain beyond the release of the independent peer review report to allow the expertise gained during the review period to be utilized by the Corps up to the release of the draft report of the Chief of Engineers.

This section establishes two categories for independent peer review—project studies for which independent peer review is mandatory, and project studies for which such review is discretionary. This section provides for mandatory review of project studies that have an estimated total cost of more than \$45 million, project studies for which the Governor of an affected state requests an independent peer review, and project studies that the Chief of Engineers determines are controversial. In determining whether a project is controversial, the Chief of Engineers must consider whether there is significant public dispute as to the size, nature, or effects of the proposed project, and whether there is significant public dispute as to the economic or environmental costs or benefits of the proposed project.

Section 2034(a)(3)(B) provides for discretionary independent peer review of project studies for which the head of a Federal or state agency charged with reviewing the project study determines that the proposed project is likely to have a significant adverse impact on environmental, cultural, or other natural resources under the jurisdiction of the agency after implementation of the proposed mitigation plans. This section provides that the Chief of Engineers must reach a decision whether to conduct an independent peer review of such project studies within 21 days of a receipt of a request by the head of the Federal or state agency. In the event that the Chief of Engineers decides not to conduct a discretionary inde-

pendent peer review, the head of the Federal or state agency that requested the review may appeal this decision to the Chairman of the Council on Environmental Quality (“CEQ”). The Chairman of CEQ must reach a decision on whether an independent peer review must be conducted for the project study with 30 days of receipt of an appeal. In the event that the Chief of Engineers decides not to conduct an independent peer review, the Chief of Engineers must make the reasons for not conducting the review publicly available, including on the Internet.

Section 2034 permits the Chief of Engineers to exclude a very limited number of project studies from independent peer review. The managers expect that project studies that could be excluded from independent peer review are so limited in scope or impact, that they would not significantly benefit from an independent peer review.

Sections 2034(a)(5)(A) and (B) establish criteria for the Chief of Engineers to exclude a project study that is subject to independent peer review because its estimated total costs exceed \$45 million. The managers expect that these criteria allow the Chief of Engineers to exclude from independent peer review only those project studies for which there is no controversy, a lack of significant impact to cultural, historical, or tribal resources, a lack of substantial adverse impacts to fish and wildlife species or habitat, and a lack of an impact on endangered or threatened species under the Endangered Species Act, or involve projects that, in essence, replace existing components of ongoing projects within the same footprint as the original project, or have minimal risk to life or public safety.

Project studies subject to independent peer review based on the request of the Governor of an affected State may not be excluded from review.

Section 2034(a)(5)(C) authorizes the Chief of Engineers to exclude the small project studies developed under certain of the Corps of Engineers continuing authorities programs; however, such project studies could be subject to independent peer review under the factors established under section 2034(a)(3)(A).

Sections 2034(a)(2) and 2034(d) establish the duties of the independent peer review panel and the scope of review for a project study. The managers have defined the scope of review broadly to allow the independent review panel to examine all of the economic and environmental assumptions and projections, project evaluation data, economic analyses, environmental analyses, engineering analyses, formulation of alternative plans, methods for integrating risk and uncertainty, models used in evaluation of economic or environmental impacts of proposed projects, and any biological opinions of the project study. The managers expect the independent peer review panel to review those components of a project study for which the panel believes there is a reason for review. The managers do not expect the independent peer review panel to review components of the project study where the panel determines there is no controversy, disagreement, or concern.

Sections 2034(b) and 2034(e)(1)(A) establish the timing of the independent peer review. The managers expect that, in all cases, the independent peer review will occur during the period beginning

on the date of the signing of the feasibility cost-sharing agreement, and will be conducted concurrent with the development of the project study by the Corps of Engineers. The managers believe that having the independent peer review carried out concurrently with the development of the project study will allow the independent peer review panel to receive relevant information from the Corps, on a timely basis, and allow the independent peer review panel to provide ongoing input into the development of the project study. The managers expect that this process will provide the independent peer review panel with sufficient information to conduct its review, as well as allow the peer review panel to recommend mid-course corrections to the ongoing project study, and avoid the potential for significant issues or delay to arise at the end of the project study period. The managers recognize that the recommendations of the independent peer review panel are advisory; however, the managers expect the Corps to give full consideration to the findings of the independent peer review panel.

Section 2034(e)(1)(A) provides that the independent peer review panel conclude its peer review, and submit a report to the Chief of Engineers, not more than 60 days after the close of the public comment period for the draft project study. The Chief of Engineers may extend the period for the peer review panel to conclude its peer review if the Chief of Engineers determines that additional time is necessary. The managers have included language to terminate the peer review panel on the date of the initiation of the State and agency review, which is conterminous with the release of the draft Report of the Chief of Engineers for the project, and which is after the issuance of the peer review report. The managers recognize that the Corps of Engineers intends to allow a member or members of the peer review panel to participate on the Civil Works Review Board, which requires District Commanders to present their final reports and recommendations for review. The managers have included language to keep the independent peer review impaneled beyond the issuance of the peer review report to allow a member of the peer review panel to participate on the Civil Works Review Board, and to be available as experts, if needed, for additional consultation with the Corps of Engineers on the project study.

SEC. 2035. SAFETY ASSURANCE REVIEW

Senate §2007(d), No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2036. MITIGATION FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE AND WETLANDS LOSSES

House §2013 and 2014, Senate §2008.—House recedes, with an amendment.

Section 2036 amends section 906(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 with more explicit mitigation requirements and to specify the elements that must be identified in a mitigation plan required under that section.

This section requires the Secretary to mitigate losses to flood damage reduction capabilities and losses to fish and wildlife of the project area. The specific mitigation plan must include a descrip-

tion of the physical action to be undertaken. The plan also must include a description of the lands or interests in lands to be acquired for mitigation, and the basis for a determination that such lands are available. This description is not intended to be a description of the specific property interests, but the plan must describe how the mitigation will be implemented.

The managers expect the mitigation plan to identify the quantity and type of lands needed, and include a determination that lands of such quantity and type are available for acquisition. The plan also must include the type, amount, and characteristics of the habitat to be restored. The plan must include success criteria based on replacement of lost functions and values of the habitat, including hydrologic and vegetative characteristics. Finally, if monitoring is necessary to determine success of the mitigation, the plan must include a plan for monitoring and to the extent practicable, identification of the entities responsible for monitoring. As monitoring is part of operation and maintenance of a project, in most cases the entity responsible for any monitoring will be the non-Federal sponsor. If such person is not identifiable at the time the mitigation plan is prepared under this section, such person must be identified in the partnership agreement entered into with the non-Federal interest.

The managers support more specificity in Corps reporting documents concerning expected mitigation efforts. Such increased specificity will better inform the Congress, the non-Federal sponsor, and the public as to planned mitigation efforts and the likely success of these efforts. This section also directs the Secretary to submit to Congress a report on the status of mitigation concurrent with the submission of reports on the status of project construction, as part of the President's budget submission.

Section 2036(c) directs the Secretary, when carrying out water resources projects, to first consider the use of a mitigation bank if the bank has sufficient and appropriate (including ecologically appropriate) credit to offset the impact, and the mitigation bank meets certain criteria. To the maximum extent practicable, the service area of the mitigation bank shall be in the same watershed as the project activity for which mitigation is required.

Nothing in this section affects the responsibility of the Corps of Engineers to apply the regulatory guidelines developed under section 404(b)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (40 CFR Part 230) related to mitigation sequencing.

SEC. 2037. REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT

House § 2016, Senate § 2012.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

This section amends section 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326), and includes a new subsection (f) that directs the Secretary to give priority to regional sediment management projects in the following locations: Little Rock Slackwater Harbor, Arkansas; Fletcher Cove, California; Egmont Key, Florida; Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana; Delaware River Estuary, New Jersey and Pennsylvania; Fire Island Inlet, Suffolk County, New York; Smith Point Park Pavilion and the TWA Flight 800 Memorial, Brookhaven, New York; Morehead

City, North Carolina; Toledo Harbor, Lucas County, Ohio; Galveston Bay, Texas; and Benson Beach, Washington.

SEC. 2038. NATIONAL SHORELINE EROSION CONTROL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

House § 2005 and 2004, Senate § 2013.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2039. MONITORING ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

Senate § 2015, No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2040. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION OF PERMIT APPLICATIONS

Senate § 2018, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2041. PROJECT ADMINISTRATION

Senate § 2024, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2042. PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

Senate § 2025, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 2043. STUDIES AND REPORTS FOR WATER RESOURCES PROJECTS

House § 2038, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 2044. COORDINATION AND SCHEDULING OF FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL ACTIONS

House § 2027, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2045. PROJECT STREAMLINING

House § 2028, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2046. PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATION

Senate § 2028, House § 3123(f).—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 2047. FEDERAL HOPPER DREDGES

House § 2042, Senate § 2020.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

TITLE III—PROJECT-RELATED PROVISIONS

SEC. 3001. BLACK WARRIOR-TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, ALABAMA

Senate § 3003. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3002. COOK INLET, ALASKA

House § 3001. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3003. KING COVE HARBOR, ALASKA

House § 3002. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 3004. SEWARD HARBOR, ALASKA

Senate § 4001. No comparable House section.—House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3005. SITKA, ALASKA

House § 3003, Senate § 3002.—Same.

SEC. 3006. TATITLEK, ALASKA

House § 3004. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 3007. RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA

House § 3005, Senate § 3005.—Same.

SEC. 3008. NOGALES WASH AND TRIBUTARIES FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, ARIZONA

Senate § 3004. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 3009. TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, ARIZONA

Senate § 3006. No comparable House section.—House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3010. OSCEOLA HARBOR, ARKANSAS

House § 3006. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 3011. ST. FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, ARKANSAS AND MISSOURI

Senate § 3010. House § 5043.—House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3012. PINE MOUNTAIN DAM, ARKANSAS

House § 3007. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3013. RED-OUACHITA RIVER BASIN LEVEES, ARKANSAS AND LOUISIANA

Senate § 3009. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 3014. CACHE CREEK BASIN, CALIFORNIA

Senate § 3013. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 3015. CALFED STABILITY PROGRAM, CALIFORNIA

Senate § 3014. No comparable House section.—House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3016. COMPTON CREEK, CALIFORNIA

House § 3009. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 3017. GRAYSON CREEK/MURDERER'S CREEK, CALIFORNIA

House § 3010, Senate § 2016(1).—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3018. HAMILTON AIRFIELD, CALIFORNIA

House § 3011, Senate § 3015.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3019. JOHN F. BALDWIN SHIP CHANNEL AND STOCKTON SHIP CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA

House § 3012. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

The managers recommend that the Secretary and the Chief of Engineers expedite the completion of the ongoing General Reevaluation Report for the San Francisco Bay to Stockton project.

SEC. 3020. KAWEAH RIVER, CALIFORNIA

House § 3013. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3021. LARKSPUR FERRY CHANNEL, LARKSPUR, CALIFORNIA

House § 3014, Senate § 3017.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3022. LLAGAS CREEK, CALIFORNIA

House § 3015, Senate § 3018.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3023. MAGPIE CREEK, CALIFORNIA

House § 3016, Senate § 3019.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3024. PACIFIC FLYWAY CENTER, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

House § 3017. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3025. PETALUMA RIVER, PETALUMA, CALIFORNIA

Senate § 3020. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3026. PINOLE CREEK, CALIFORNIA

House § 3018. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3027. PRADO DAM, CALIFORNIA

House § 3019. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3028. REDWOOD CITY NAVIGATION CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA

Senate § 3029. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

The managers recognize the importance of annual operation and maintenance of navigation channels and note that the work addressed in this section can be addressed under existing statutory authorities. The managers do not intend to address the operation and maintenance of every navigation project through the enactment of additional statutory language, but expect the Corps to address the maintenance dredging needs of authorized projects under existing statutory authorities.

SEC. 3029. SACRAMENTO AND AMERICAN RIVERS FLOOD CONTROL,
CALIFORNIA

House § 3008 and 3020, Senate § 3023.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3030. SACRAMENTO DEEP WATER SHIP CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA

House § 3019. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3031. SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION, CALIFORNIA

Senate § 3024. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3032. SALTON SEA RESTORATION, CALIFORNIA

Senate § 3026. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3033. SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CALIFORNIA

No comparable Senate or House section.

SEC. 3034. SANTA BARBARA STREAMS, LOWER MISSION CREEK,
CALIFORNIA

Senate § 3027. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3035. SANTA CRUZ HARBOR, CALIFORNIA

House § 3022. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3036. SEVEN OAKS DAM, CALIFORNIA

House § 3023, Senate § 2016(2).—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3037. UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CALIFORNIA

House § 3025, Senate § 3028.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3038. WALNUT CREEK CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA

House § 3025, Senate § 2016(3).—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3039. WILDCAT/SAN PABLO CREEK PHASE I, CALIFORNIA

House § 3026. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3040. WILDCAT/SAN PABLO CREEK PHASE II, CALIFORNIA

House § 3027, Senate § 2016(5).—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3041. YUBA RIVER BASIN PROJECT, CALIFORNIA

House § 3028, Senate § 3029.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3042. SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN, COLORADO

House § 3029. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3043. INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE RIVER TO
CHESAPEAKE BAY, DELAWARE AND MARYLAND

House § 3030. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3044. ST. GEORGE'S BRIDGE, DELAWARE

Senate § 3033. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3045. BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

House § 3031, Senate § 3035.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3046. BROWARD COUNTY AND HILLSBORO INLET, FLORIDA

House § 3032. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3047. CANAVERAL HARBOR, FLORIDA

House § 3033. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes,
with an amendment.

SEC. 3048. GASPARILLA AND ESTERO ISLANDS, FLORIDA

House § 3034. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3049. LIDO KEY BEACH, SARASOTA, FLORIDA

House § 3036, Senate § 3038.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3050. PEANUT ISLAND, FLORIDA

House § 3038. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3051. PORT SUTTON, FLORIDA

Senate § 3039. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3052. TAMPA HARBOR-BIG BEND CHANNEL, FLORIDA

House § 3039. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3053. TAMPA HARBOR CUT B, FLORIDA

House § 3040, Senate § 3040.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3054. ALLATOONA LAKE, GEORGIA

House § 3041, Senate § 3041.—House recedes.

SEC. 3055. LATHAM RIVER, GLYNN COUNTY, GEORGIA

House § 3042. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3056. DWORSHAK RESERVOIR IMPROVEMENTS, IDAHO

Senate § 3042, House § 3043.—House recedes, with an amend-
ment.

SEC. 3057. LITTLE WOOD RIVER, GOODING, IDAHO

Senate § 3043. No comparable House section.—House recedes,
with an amendment.

SEC. 3058. BEARDSTOWN COMMUNITY BOAT HARBOR, BEARDSTOWN,
ILLINOIS

House § 3044. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3059. CACHE RIVER LEVEE, ILLINOIS

House § 3045, Senate § 3045.—Same.

SEC. 3060. CHICAGO RIVER, ILLINOIS

House § 3046, Senate § 3046.—Same.

SEC. 3061. CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DISPERSAL BARRIERS
PROJECT, ILLINOIS

House § 3047, Senate § 5015.—Senate recedes, with an amend-
ment.

SEC. 3062. EMIQUON, ILLINOIS

House § 3048. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3063. LASALLE, ILLINOIS

House § 3049. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3064. SPUNKY BOTTOMS, ILLINOIS

House § 3050, Senate § 3050.—Senate recedes, with an amend-
ment.

SEC. 3065. CEDAR LAKE, INDIANA

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 3066. KOONTZ LAKE, INDIANA

House § 3052. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3067. WHITE RIVER, INDIANA

House § 3053. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes,
with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3068. DES MOINES RIVER AND GREENBELT, IOWA

House § 3054. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3069. PERRY CREEK, IOWA

Senate § 3145. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3070. RATHBUN LAKE, IOWA

House § 3055, Senate § 3146.—Same.

SEC. 3071. HICKMAN BLUFF STABILIZATION, KENTUCKY

Senate § 3054. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3072. MCALPINE LOCK AND DAM, KENTUCKY AND INDIANA

Senate § 3055. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3073. PRESTONSBURG, KENTUCKY

House § 3056. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3074. AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, LOUISIANA, EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH WATERSHED

House § 3057, Senate § 3059.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3075. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LOUISIANA

House § 3059 and 3062, Senate § 3056.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3076. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODWAY SYSTEM, REGIONAL VISITOR CENTER, LOUISIANA

House § 3058, Senate § 3057.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3077. ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF, AND BLACK, LOUISIANA

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 3078. BAYOU PLAQUEMINE, LOUISIANA

House § 3056. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 3079. CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LOUISIANA

Senate § 3058. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 3080. RED RIVER (J. BENNETT JOHNSTON) WATERWAY, LOUISIANA

House § 3061, Senate § 3061.—House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3081. MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LOUISIANA

House § 3063. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 3082. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET RELOCATION ASSISTANCE,
LOUISIANA

Senate § 3060. No comparable House section.—House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3083. VIOLET, LOUISIANA

Senate § 3076. No comparable House section.—House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3084. WEST BANK OF THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER (EAST OF HARVEY
CANAL), LOUISIANA

House § 3065. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 3085. CAMP ELLIS, SACO, MAINE

House § 3066, Senate § 3062.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 3086. CUMBERLAND, MARYLAND

Senate § 3069. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 3087. POPLAR ISLAND, MARYLAND

Senate § 1001(22). No comparable House section.—House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3088. DETROIT RIVER SHORELINE, DETROIT, MICHIGAN

House § 3067. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 3089. ST. CLAIR RIVER AND LAKE ST. CLAIR, MICHIGAN

House § 3067, Senate § 3074.—Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 3090. ST. JOSEPH HARBOR, MICHIGAN

House § 3065. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 3091. SAULT SAINTE MARIE, MICHIGAN

House § 3070, No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.
The Managers recognize the importance of constructing a second lock at Sault Sainte Marie, Michigan, to enhance overall national security by avoiding any potential disruption to Great Lakes,

national, and international shipping that would occur in the event of a shutdown or terrorist attack at the existing lock. The Secretary is directed to carry out the project, as expeditiously as practicable, without regard to normal policy considerations.

SEC. 3092. ADA, MINNESOTA

House § 3071. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3093. DULUTH HARBOR, MCQUADE ROAD, MINNESOTA

House § 3072, Senate § 3075.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3094. GRAND MARAIS, MINNESOTA

House § 3073. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3095. GRAND PORTAGE HARBOR, MINNESOTA

House § 3074. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3096. GRANITE FALLS, MINNESOTA

House § 3073. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3097. KNIFE RIVER HARBOR, MINNESOTA

House § 3076. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3098. RED LAKE RIVER, MINNESOTA

House § 3077. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3099. SILVER BAY, MINNESOTA

House § 3078. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3100. TACONITE HARBOR, MINNESOTA

House § 3079. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3101. TWO HARBORS, MINNESOTA

House § 3078. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3102. DEER ISLAND, HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

House § 3078. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3103. JACKSON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

Senate § 3147. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3104. PEARL RIVER BASIN, MISSISSIPPI

House § 3082. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3105. FESTUS AND CRYSTAL CITY, MISSOURI

House § 3083. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3106. L-15 LEVEE, MISSOURI

House § 3084, Senate § 3078.—Same.

SEC. 3107. MONARCH-CHESTERFIELD, MISSOURI

House § 3085. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3108. RIVER DES PERES, MISSOURI

House § 3086. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3109. LOWER YELLOWSTONE PROJECT, MONTANA

Senate § 3080. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3110. YELLOWSTONE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MONTANA AND
NORTH DAKOTA

Senate § 3081. No comparable House section.—House recedes,
with an amendment.

SEC. 3111. ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NEBRASKA

House § 3087. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3112. SAND CREEK WATERSHED, WAHOO, NEBRASKA

House § 3088. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3113. WESTERN SARPY AND CLEAR CREEK, NEBRASKA

House § 3089, Senate § 3082.—Same.

SEC. 3114. LOWER TRUCKEE RIVER, MCCARRAN RANCH, NEVADA

Senate § 3083. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3115. LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS, CAPE MAY POINT, NEW JERSEY

House § 3090. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3116. PASSAIC RIVER BASIN FLOOD MANAGEMENT, NEW JERSEY

House § 3091. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3117. COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS, NEW MEXICO

Senate § 3084. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3118. MIDDLE RIO GRANDE RESTORATION, NEW MEXICO

Senate § 3085. No comparable House section.—House recedes,
with an amendment.

SEC. 3119. BUFFALO HARBOR, NEW YORK.

House § 3092. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3120. LONG ISLAND SOUND OYSTER RESTORATION, NEW YORK AND
CONNECTICUT

Senate § 3086. No comparable House section.—House recedes.
The Managers recognize that oyster restoration activities are
consistent with the Corps environmental protection and restoration

mission, and are appropriately cost shared at a non-Federal cost of 35 percent, consistent with section 103 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213). This section does not create a new cost share for oyster restoration activities.

SEC. 3121. MAMARONECK AND SHELDRAKE RIVERS WATERSHED
MANAGEMENT, NEW YORK

Senate § 3087. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3122. ORCHARD BEACH, BRONX, NEW YORK

House § 3093, Senate § 3088.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3123. PORT OF NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY, NEW YORK AND NEW
JERSEY

House § 3094. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3124. NEW YORK STATE CANAL SYSTEM

House § 3095, Senate § 3090.—Same.

SEC. 3125. SUSQUEHANNA RIVER AND UPPER DELAWARE RIVER
WATERSHED MANAGEMENT, NEW YORK

Senate § 3091. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3126. MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, NORTH DAKOTA

Senate § 3092. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3127. WAHPETON, NORTH DAKOTA

No comparable Senate or House section.

SEC. 3128. OHIO

Senate § 3093. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3129. LOWER GIRARD LAKE DAM, GIRARD, OHIO

House § 3096, Senate § 3094.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3130. MAHONING RIVER, OHIO

House § 3074. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3131. ARCADIA LAKE, OKLAHOMA

Senate § 3096. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3132. ARKANSAS RIVER CORRIDOR, OKLAHOMA

Senate § 3012. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3133. LAKE EUFAULA, OKLAHOMA

Senate § 3097. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3134. OKLAHOMA LAKES DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, OKLAHOMA

Senate § 3099. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3135. OTTAWA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

Senate § 3100. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

Section 3135 provides general authorization to complete the current buyout of residences and businesses in the communities of Picher, Cardin, and Hockerville, Oklahoma for those applicants that wish to participate in the program being administered by the State of Oklahoma. The funds authorized in this section may be appropriated through any Act of appropriation.

Section 3135 directs the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to consider a remedial action for the Tar Creek, Oklahoma, National Priorities List site that includes permanent relocation of residents consistent with the program and costs of the program being administered by the State of Oklahoma. The Administrator should make appropriate use of the expertise and experience of the State of Oklahoma Lead-Impacted Communities Relocation Assistance Trust in developing such a remedy.

Section 3135 also provides that the inclusion of subsidence remedies, such as relocation, as part of the remedial action does not preempt or in any way delay or interfere with the right of any sovereign entity, including any state or tribal government, to utilize state laws to seek additional or other remedies, such as abatement, for the land subsidence and subsidence risks. This section does not supersede state or tribal authority to seek remedies for land subsidence.

SEC. 3136. RED RIVER CHLORIDE CONTROL, OKLAHOMA AND TEXAS

Senate § 3101. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3137. WAURIKA LAKE, OKLAHOMA

Senate § 3102. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3138. UPPER WILLAMETTE RIVER WATERSHED ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OREGON

Senate § 3104. House § 5103.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3139. DELAWARE RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA, NEW JERSEY, AND DELAWARE

House § 3098. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3140. RAYSTOWN LAKE, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 3099. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3141. SHERADEN PARK STREAM AND CHARTIERS CREEK, ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 3100. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3142. SOLOMON'S CREEK, WILKES-BARRE, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 3101. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3143. SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA

House § 3102. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3144. WYOMING VALLEY, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 3103. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3145. NARRAGANSETT BAY, RHODE ISLAND

Senate § 3106. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3146. MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, SOUTH DAKOTA

Senate § 3108. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3147. CEDAR BAYOU, TEXAS

House § 3104, Senate § 3113.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3148. FREEPORT HARBOR, TEXAS

House § 3105, Senate § 3116.—House recedes.

SEC. 3149. LAKE KEMP, TEXAS

House § 3106. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3150. LOWER RIO GRANDE BASIN, TEXAS

House § 3107. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3151. NORTH PADRE ISLAND, CORPUS CHRISTI BAY, TEXAS

House § 3108. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3152. PAT MAYSE LAKE, TEXAS

House § 3109. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

The managers recognize the need to review Federal policy concerning water supply at Corps of Engineers reservoirs, and to determine whether changes are warranted. At many existing Corps of Engineers reservoirs, there is the possibility of expanding the storage space that is dedicated to municipal and industrial water supply (drinking water) as an alternative to alleviate local water supply shortages. This is particularly true throughout the Southwest and Southeast. The current policy of the Corps of Engineers is to maximize the return to the Treasury for the right to utilize storage at these existing reservoirs. This often makes the cost of storage too high for many communities.

The managers have included section 3152 in the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 to address this issue at Pat Mayse Lake, Texas; however, the managers do not expect to address additional water supply agreements on a case-by-case basis in future water resources bills, but rather to review the overall Federal policy concerning the operation of Corps of Engineers facilities.

SEC. 3153. PROCTOR LAKE, TEXAS

House § 3110. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3154. SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL, SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

House § 3111. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3155. CONNECTICUT RIVER RESTORATION, VERMONT

Senate § 3118. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3156. DAM REMEDIATION, VERMONT

Senate § 3118. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

This provision adds the following dams to section 543 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000; Camp Wapanacki, Hardwick; Star Lake Dam, Mt. Holly; Curtis Pond, Calais; Weathersfield Reservoir, Springfield; Burr Pond, Sudbury; Maidstone Lake, Guildhall; Upper and Lower Hurricane Dam; Lake Fairlee; West Charleston Dam; White River, Sharon.

SEC. 3157. LAKE CHAMPLAIN EURASIAN MILFOIL, WATER CHESTNUT, AND OTHER NONNATIVE PLANT CONTROL, VERMONT

Senate § 3120. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3158. UPPER CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN WETLAND RESTORATION, VERMONT AND NEW HAMPSHIRE

Senate § 3121. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3159. UPPER CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, VERMONT AND NEW HAMPSHIRE

Senate § 3122. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3160. LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED, VERMONT AND NEW YORK

Senate § 3123. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3161. SANDBRIDGE BEACH, VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA

Senate § 3148. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3162. TANGIER ISLAND SEAWALL, VIRGINIA

House § 3112, Senate § 3126.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3163. DUWANISH/GREEN, WASHINGTON

House § 3113. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3164. MCNARY LOCK AND DAM, MCNARY NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, WASHINGTON AND IDAHO

Senate § 3128. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3165. SNAKE RIVER PROJECT, WASHINGTON AND IDAHO

Senate § 3130. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3166. YAKIMA RIVER, PORT OF SUNNYSIDE, WASHINGTON

House § 3114. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3167. BLUESTONE LAKE, OHIO RIVER BASIN, WEST VIRGINIA

House § 3115. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3168. GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WEST VIRGINIA

House § 3116. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3169. LESAGE/GREENBOTTOM SWAMP, WEST VIRGINIA

House § 3117. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3170. LOWER MUD RIVER, MILTON, WEST VIRGINIA

Senate § 3132. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3171. MCDOWELL COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

Senate § 3133. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3172. PARKERSBURG, WEST VIRGINIA

House § 3118. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the

Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 3173. GREEN BAY HARBOR, GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN

Senate § 3134. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3174. MANITOWOC HARBOR, WISCONSIN

House § 3119. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3175. MISSISSIPPI RIVER HEADWATERS RESERVOIRS

House § 3120, Senate § 3137.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3176. UPPER BASIN OF THE MISSOURI RIVER

Senate § 3140. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3177. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM ENVIRONMENTAL
MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Senate § 3139. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3178. UPPER OHIO RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES NAVIGATION SYSTEM
NEW TECHNOLOGY PILOT PROGRAM

Senate § 3144. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 3179. CONTINUATION OF PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

(1) Sacramento Deep Water Ship Channel, California. House § 3121(1). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

(2) Agana River, Guam. House § 3121(2). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

(3) Baltimore Harbor and Channels, Maryland and Virginia. House § 3121(3), Senate § 3067.—Senate recedes.

(4) Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts. House § 3121(4), Senate § 3071.—Senate recedes.

(5) Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan. Senate § 3073, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 3180. PROJECT REAUTHORIZATIONS

(1) Menominee Harbor and River, Michigan and Wisconsin. House § 3122(1). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

(2) Hearing Island Inlet, Duluth Harbor, Minnesota. House § 3122(3). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

(3) Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin. House § 3122(2), Senate § 3135.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3181. PROJECT DEAUTHORIZATIONS

(a)(1) Bridgeport Harbor, Connecticut. House § 3123(a)(1), Senate § 6003.—Senate recedes.

(a)(2) Mystic River, Connecticut. House § 3123(a)(2). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

(a)(3) Norwalk Harbor, Connecticut. Senate § 3031. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

(a)(4) Rockland Harbor, Maine. House § 3123(a)(4), Senate § 3036.—House recedes.

(a)(5) Rockport Harbor, Maine. Senate § 3064. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

(a)(6) Falmouth Harbor, Massachusetts. House § 3123(a)(5), Senate § 6027.—Senate recedes.

(a)(7) Island End River, Massachusetts. House § 3123(a)(5), Senate § 6028.—Senate recedes.

(a)(8) City Waterway, Tacoma, Washington. House § 3123(a)(7). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

(a)(9) Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts. House § 3123(a)(8), Senate § 3070.—Senate recedes.

(a)(10) Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bellingham, Washington. Senate § 3131. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

(a)(11) Oconto Harbor, Wisconsin. Senate § 3136. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

(b) Anchorage Area, New London Harbor, Connecticut. Senate § 3031, House § 3142(a)(3).—House recedes.

(c) Southport Harbor, Fairfield, Connecticut. House § 3123(b). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

(d) Saco River, Maine. House § 3123(c), Senate § 3065.—Same.

(e) Union River, Maine. House § 3123(d), Senate § 3066.—Senate recedes.

(f) Mystic River, Massachusetts. House § 3123(e), Senate § 6029.—Senate recedes.

(g) Rivercenter, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. No comparable House or Senate section.

(h) Additional Deauthorizations. Senate §§ 6002, 6004, 6005, 6007, 6008, 6009, 6011, 6013, 6014, 6015, 6016, 6017, 6018, 6019, 6022, 6023, 6026, 6033, 6034, 6036, 6037, 6042, 6045, 6046, 6048, 6049, 6050, 6051, 6052, 6053, and 6055. No comparable House sections.—House recedes.

SEC. 3182. LAND CONVEYANCES

(a) St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri. House § 3124(a), Senate § 3011.—Senate recedes.

(b) Oakland Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, California. Senate § 5006. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

(c) Milford, Kansas. House § 3124(b), Senate § 3052.—Senate recedes.

(d) Strawn Cemetery, John Redmond Lake, Kansas. Senate § 3051. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

(e) Pike County, Missouri. House § 3124(c), Senate § 3077.—House recedes.

(f) Union Lake, Missouri. Senate § 3079, No comparable House section.—House recedes.

(g) Boardman, Oregon. House § 3124(d). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

(h) Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon. House § 3124(e), Senate § 3103.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

(i) Richard B. Russell Lake, South Carolina. House § 3124(g), Senate § 3107.—House recedes, with an amendment.

(j) Denison, Texas. House § 3124(h), Senate § 3114.—House recedes, with an amendment.

(k) Generally Applicable Provisions. House § 3124(i). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 3183. EXTINGUISHMENT OF REVERSIONARY INTERESTS AND USE RESTRICTIONS

(a) Idaho. House § 3125(a), Senate § 3044.—House recedes.

(b) Lake Texoma, Oklahoma. House § 3125(b), Senate § 3098.—House recedes, with an amendment.

(c) Lowell, Oregon. House § 3124(f). No comparable Senate provision.—Senate recedes.

(d) Old Hickory Lock and Dam, Cumberland River, Tennessee. House § 3125(c), Senate § 3111.—House recedes.

(e) Lower Granite Pool, Washington. Senate § 3128. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

(f) Port of Pasco, Washington. House § 3125(d). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

TITLE IV—STUDIES

SEC. 4001. JOHN GLENN GREAT LAKES BASIN PROGRAM

House § 4001. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4002. LAKE ERIE DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITES

House § 4002. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4003. SOUTHWESTERN UNITED STATES DROUGHT STUDY

House § 4003. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4004. DELAWARE RIVER

House § 4004. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4005. EURASIAN MILFOIL

Senate § 4031. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 4006. FIRE ISLAND, ALASKA

House § 5031. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 4007. KNIK ARM, COOK INLET, ALASKA

House § 4005. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4008. KUSKOKWIM RIVER, ALASKA

House § 4006. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4009. NOME HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, ALASKA

Senate § 4002. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 4010. ST. GEORGE HARBOR, ALASKA

House § 4007. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4011. SUSITNA RIVER, ALASKA

House § 4008. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4012. VALDEZ, ALASKA

House § 5037. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4013. GILA BEND, MARICOPA, ARIZONA

House § 4009. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4014. SEARCY COUNTY, ARKANSAS

House § 4010. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4015. ALISO CREEK, CALIFORNIA

House § 4011. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4016. FRESNO, KINGS, AND KERN COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA

House § 4013. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4017. FRUITVALE AVENUE RAILROAD BRIDGE, ALAMEDA,
CALIFORNIA

Senate § 4004. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 4018. LOS ANGELES RIVER REVITALIZATION STUDY, CALIFORNIA

House § 4014, Senate § 4005.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4019. LYTLE CREEK, RIALTO, CALIFORNIA

House § 4015. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4020. MOKELUMNE RIVER, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

House § 4016. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4021. ORICK, CALIFORNIA

House § 4018. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4022. SHORELINE STUDY, OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA

Senate § 4007. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 4023. RIALTO, FONTANA, AND COLTON, CALIFORNIA

House § 4019. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4024. SACRAMENTO RIVER, CALIFORNIA

House § 4020. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4025. SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

House § 4021. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4026. SAN FRANCISCO BAY, SACRAMENTO-SAN JOAQUIN DELTA,
CALIFORNIA

House § 4022, Senate § 4009.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4027. SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO BAY SHORELINE, CALIFORNIA

House § 4023, Senate § 4010.—House recesses.

SEC. 4028. TWENTYNINE PALMS, CALIFORNIA

House § 4024. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4029. YUCCA VALLEY, CALIFORNIA

House § 4025. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4030. SELENIUM STUDY, COLORADO

Senate § 4013. No comparable House section.—House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4031. DELAWARE AND CHRISTINA RIVERS AND SHELLPOT CREEK, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE

House § 4027. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4032. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS AND TRIBUTARIES AND ATLANTIC COAST, DELAWARE

Senate § 4014. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 4033. COLLIER COUNTY BEACHES, FLORIDA

House § 4028. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4034. LOWER ST. JOHNS RIVER, FLORIDA

House § 4029. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4035. HERBERT HOOVER DIKE SUPPLEMENTAL MAJOR REHABILITATION REPORT, FLORIDA

Senate § 4015. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 4036. VANDERBILT BEACH LAGOON, FLORIDA

House § 4030. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4037. MERIWETHER COUNTY, GEORGIA

House § 4031. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4038. BOISE RIVER, IDAHO

House § 4033, Senate § 4016.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4039. BALLARD'S ISLAND SIDE CHANNEL, ILLINOIS

House § 4034. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4040. CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Senate § 3046. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 4041. SALEM, INDIANA

House § 4035. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4042. BUCKHORN LAKE, KENTUCKY

House § 4036. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4043. DEWEY LAKE, KENTUCKY

House § 4037. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4044. LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY

House § 4038. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4045. VIDALIA PORT, LOUISIANA

Senate § 4018. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 4046. FALL RIVER HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS AND RHODE ISLAND

Senate § 3071(b). No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 4047. CLINTON RIVER, MICHIGAN

House § 4039. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4048. HAMBURG AND GREEN OAK TOWNSHIPS, MICHIGAN

House § 4040. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4049. LAKE ERIE AT LUNA PIER, MICHIGAN

Senate § 4019. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 4050. DULUTH—SUPERIOR HARBOR, MINNESOTA AND WISCONSIN

House § 4041. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4051. NORTHEAST MISSISSIPPI

House § 4042. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4052. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL, NEW JERSEY

House § 4044. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4053. BAYONNE, NEW JERSEY

House § 4045. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4054. CARTERET, NEW JERSEY

House § 4046. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4055. GLOUCESTER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY

House § 4047. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4056. PERTH AMBOY, NEW JERSEY

House § 4048. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 4057. BATAVIA, NEW YORK

House § 4049. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4058. BIG SISTER CREEK, EVANS, NEW YORK

House § 4050. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4059. FINGER LAKES, NEW YORK

House § 4051. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4060. LAKE ERIE SHORELINE, BUFFALO, NEW YORK

House § 4052. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4061. NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK

House § 4053. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4062. NIAGARA RIVER, NEW YORK

House § 4054. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4063. SHORE PARKWAY GREENWAY, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK

House § 4055. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4064. UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED, NEW YORK

House § 4056. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4065. LINCOLN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

House § 4057. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4066. WILKES COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

House § 4058. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4067. YADKINVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA

House § 4059. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4068. FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, OHIO

Senate § 4022. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 4069. LAKE ERIE, OHIO

House § 4060. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4070. OHIO RIVER, OHIO

House § 4061, Senate § 4024.—Same.

SEC. 4071. TOLEDO HARBOR DREDGED MATERIAL PLACEMENT, TOLEDO, OHIO

Senate § 4025. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 4072. TOLEDO HARBOR, MAUMEE RIVER, AND LAKE CHANNEL PROJECT, TOLEDO, OHIO

Senate § 4026. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 4073. ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND FISH PASSAGE
IMPROVEMENTS, OREGON

House § 4062. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4074. WALLA WALLA RIVER BASIN, OREGON

House § 4063, Senate § 4038.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4075. CHARTIERS CREEK WATERSHED, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 4064. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4076. KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 4065. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4077. WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION

House § 4066. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4078. WILLIAMSPORT, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 4067. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4079. YARDLEY BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 4068. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4080. RIO VALENCIANO, JUNCOS, PUERTO RICO

House § 4069. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4081. WOONSOCKET LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, BLACKSTONE
RIVER BASIN, RHODE ISLAND

Senate § 4027. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 4082. CROOKED CREEK, BENNETTSVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA

House § 4070. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4083. BROAD RIVER, YORK COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA

House § 4071. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4084. SAVANNAH RIVER, SOUTH CAROLINA AND GEORGIA

Senate § 4028. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 4085. CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE

House § 4072. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4086. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE

House § 4073. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4087. CUMBERLAND RIVER, NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

House § 4074. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4088. LEWIS, LAWRENCE, AND WAYNE COUNTIES, TENNESSEE

House § 4075. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 4089. WOLF RIVER AND NONCONNAH CREEK, MEMPHIS,
TENNESSEE

House § 4076. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4090. ABILENE, TEXAS

House § 4077. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4091. COASTAL TEXAS ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND
RESTORATION, TEXAS

House § 4078. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4092. PORT OF GALVESTON, TEXAS

House § 4079. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4093. GRAND COUNTY AND MOAB, UTAH

House § 4080. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4094. SOUTHWESTERN UTAH

House § 4081. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4095. ECOSYSTEM AND HYDROPOWER GENERATION DAMS,
VERMONT

Senate § 4030. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 4096. ELLIOTT BAY SEAWALL, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

House § 4083, Senate § 4034.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4097. MONONGAHELA RIVER BASIN, NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA

House § 4084. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4098. KENOSHA HARBOR, WISCONSIN

House § 4085. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4099. JOHNSONVILLE DAM, JOHNSONVILLE, WISCONSIN

House § 4087, Senate § 4035.—Same.

SEC. 4100. WAUWATOSA, WISCONSIN

House § 4086. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 4101. DEBRIS REMOVAL

Senate § 4036. No comparable House section.—House recesses,
with an amendment.

TITLE V—MISCELLANEOUS

SEC. 5001. MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS

5001(a)(1). Manatee Harbor Basin, Florida. House § 5001(a)(1).
No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

5001(a)(2). Tampa Harbor, Sparkman Channel and Davis Is-
land, Florida. No comparable Senate or House section.

5001(a)(3). West turning basin, Canaveral Harbor, Florida. House § 5001(a)(2). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

5001(a)(4). Bayou LaFourche Channel, Port Fourchon, Louisiana. House § 5001(a)(3). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

5001(a)(5). Calcasieu River at Devil's Elbow, Louisiana. House § 5001(a)(4). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

5001(a)(6). Pidgeon Industrial Harbor, Pidgeon Industrial Park, Memphis Harbor, Tennessee. House § 5001(a)(5). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

5001(a)(7). Houston Ship Channel, Bayport Cruise Channel and Bayport Cruise turning basin, as part of the existing Bayport Channel, Texas. No comparable Senate or House section.

5001(a)(8). Pix Bayou Navigation Channel, Chambers County, Texas. House § 5001(a)(6). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

5001(a)(9). Jacintoport Channel at Houston Ship Channel, Texas. No comparable Senate or House section.

5001(a)(10). Racine Harbor, Wisconsin. House § 5001(a)(7). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5002. WATERSHED MANAGEMENT

House § 5002. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

Subsection (d) of § 5002 authorizes the Secretary to provide technical assistance to non-federal interests for carrying out watershed management, restoration and development projects in the following locations: Charlotte Harbor watershed, Florida; Those portions of the watersheds of the Chattahoochee, Etowah, Flint, Ocmulgee, and Oconee Rivers lying within the counties of Bartow, Cherokee, Clayton, Cobb, Coweta, DeKalb, Douglas, Fayette, Fulton, Forsyth, Gwinnett, Hall, Henry, Paulding, Rockdale, and Walton, Georgia; Kinkaid Lake, Jackson County, Illinois; Amite River basin, Louisiana; East Atchafalaya River basin, Iberville Parish and Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana; Red River watershed, Louisiana; Taunton River basin, Massachusetts; Marlboro Township, New Jersey; Esopus, Plattekill, and Rondout Creeks, Greene, Sullivan, and Ulster Counties, New York; Greenwood Lake watershed, New York and New Jersey; Long Island Sound watershed, New York; Ramapo River watershed, New York; Tuscarawas River basin, Ohio; Western Lake Erie basin, Ohio; Those portions of the watersheds of the Beaver, Upper Ohio, Connoquenessing, Lower Allegheny, Kiskiminetas, Lower Monongahela, Youghiogheny, Shenango, and Mahoning Rivers lying within the counties of Beaver, Butler, Lawrence, and Mercer, Pennsylvania; Otter Creek watershed, Pennsylvania; Unami Creek watershed, Milford Township, Pennsylvania; and Sauk River basin, Washington.

SEC. 5003. DAM SAFETY

House § 5003. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

Section 5003(a) authorizes the Secretary to provide assistance to enhance dam safety at the following locations: Keith Creek, Rockford, Illinois; Mount Zion Mill Pond Dam, Fulton County, Indiana; Fish Creek Dam, Blaine County, Idaho; Hamilton Dam, Flint

River, Flint, Michigan; Congers Lake Dam, Rockland County, New York; Lake Lucille Dam, New City, New York; Peconic River Dams, town of Riverhead, Suffolk, Long Island, New York; Pine Grove Lakes Dam, Slootsburg, New York; State Dam, Auburn, New York; Whaley Lake Dam, Pawling, New York; Brightwood Dam, Concord Township, Ohio; Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania; Leaser Lake Dam, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania; Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania; Wissahickon Creek Dam, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.

SEC. 5004. STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY EVALUATIONS

House § 5004. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5005. FLOOD MITIGATION PRIORITY AREAS

House § 5005. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.
Section 5005(a)(3) adds the following locations to Section 212(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e)): Ascension Parish, Louisiana; East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana; Iberville Parish, Louisiana; Livingston Parish, Louisiana; and Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana.

SEC. 5006. ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR AUTHORIZED PROJECTS

House § 5006, Senate § 3008.—Senate recedes.
The managers recognize that in carrying out the project for the Colonias along the United States-Mexico border, the Secretary may provide assistance to projects in Webb, Zapata, Starr, and Hidalgo counties, Texas.

SEC. 5007. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF REPORTS AND CONSTRUCTION FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS

House § 5007, 5038, and 7010(2). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

Section 5007 directs the Secretary to expedite completion of the reports, and if the Secretary finds that the project is feasible, to expedite completion of construction of following projects: Project for navigation, Whittier, Alaska; Laguna Creek watershed flood damage reduction project, California; Daytona Beach shore protection project, Florida; Flagler Beach shore protection project, Florida; St. Johns County shore protection project, Florida; Chenier Plain environmental restoration project, Louisiana; False River, Louisiana; Fulmer Creek, Village of Mohawk, New York; Moyer Creek, Village of Frankfort, New York; Steele Creek, Village of Ilion, New York; Oriskany Wildlife Management Area, Rome, New York; Whitney Point Lake, Otselic River, Whitney Point, New York; North River, Peabody, Massachusetts; and Chenango Lake, Chenango County, New York.

The managers request that a timetable for the execution and completion of a feasibility cost-sharing agreement and initiation of construction of the Laguna Creek watershed flood damage reduction project, Fremont, California, be provided to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-

tives within 90 days of the enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007.

SEC. 5008. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF REPORTS FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS

House § 5008(a), Senate § 4012.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

Section 5008(a) directs the Secretary to expedite completion of the following reports, and, if the Secretary determines that the project is justified, authorizes the Secretary to proceed to project preconstruction, engineering and design: Project for water supply, Little Red River, Arkansas; Watershed study, Fountain Creek, north of Pueblo, Colorado; Project for shoreline stabilization, Egmont Key, Florida; Project for navigation, Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas and Louisiana; and Project for ecosystem restoration, University Lake, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

In carrying out the review of the project for navigation, Sabine-Neches Waterway, Texas and Louisiana, referred to in subsection (a)(3), the Secretary is directed to utilize all current available data, models, and analyses to facilitate the scheduled completion of the Chief of Engineers report.

House § 5008(b). No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5009. SOUTHEASTERN WATER RESOURCES ASSESSMENT

House § 5009. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5010. MISSOURI AND MIDDLE MISSISSIPPI RIVERS ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

House § 5011, Senate § 3109.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5011. GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROGRAM

House § 5012, Senate § 3141.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5012. GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PLANS AND SEDIMENT REMEDIATION

House § 5013, Senate § 3142.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5013. GREAT LAKES TRIBUTARY MODELS

House § 5014, Senate § 3143.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5014. GREAT LAKES NAVIGATION AND PROTECTION

House § 5015 and 5016, Senate § 5029.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The Great Lakes contain 134 deep-draft harbors and six connecting channels within the Corps of Engineers' dredging responsibility, including 25 of the nation's largest ports. The total waterborne commerce on the Great Lakes equals nearly 7 percent of the

nation's maritime commerce. Recent shortfalls in the Corps' dredging appropriation have delayed dredging at many Great Lakes ports and waterways. The low water levels that have plagued the Lakes since the late 1990s have only exacerbated the problem. As a result, the largest vessels in the Great Lakes fleet must forfeit nearly 270 tons of cargo for each 1-inch reduction in loaded draft. Ocean-going vessels in the international trade lose roughly 100 tons of cargo for each 1-inch loss of draft.

Section 5014(a) directs the Secretary, using available appropriated funds, to expedite the operation and maintenance, including dredging, of the navigation features of the Great Lakes and Connecting Channels for the purpose of supporting commercial navigation to authorized project depths.

SEC. 5015. SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY

House § 5017. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5016. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER DISPERSAL BARRIER PROJECT

House § 5018, Senate § 4021.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5017. ESTUARY RESTORATION

Senate § 5002. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5018. MISSOURI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MITIGATION, RECOVERY, AND RESTORATION, IOWA, KANSAS, MISSOURI, MONTANA, NEBRASKA, NORTH DAKOTA, SOUTH DAKOTA, AND WYOMING

Senate § 5016. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5019. SUSQUEHANNA, DELAWARE, AND POTOMAC RIVER BASINS, DELAWARE, MARYLAND, PENNSYLVANIA, AND VIRGINIA

House § 5019, Senate § 5010.—House recedes.

SEC. 5020. CHESAPEAKE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND PROTECTION PROGRAM

House § 5020, Senate § 3068.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5021. CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RESTORATION, VIRGINIA AND MARYLAND

Senate § 3124. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5022. HYPOXIA ASSESSMENT

House § 5021. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5023. POTOMAC RIVER WATERSHED ASSESSMENT AND TRIBUTARY STRATEGY EVALUATION AND MONITORING PROGRAM

House § 5022. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5024. LOCK AND DAM SECURITY

House § 5023. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5025. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FOR COLUMBIA
AND SNAKE RIVER SALMON SURVIVAL

House § 5025. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5026. WAGE SURVEYS

House § 5135. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5027. REHABILITATION

House § 5024. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5028. AUBURN, ALABAMA

House § 5026. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5029. PINHOOK CREEK, HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

House § 5027. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5030. ALASKA

House § 5028, Senate § 5004.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5031. BARROW, ALASKA

House § 5029. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5032. LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, SEWARD, ALASKA

House § 5034. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes,
with an amendment.

SEC. 5033. ST. HERMAN AND ST. PAUL HARBORS, KODIAK, ALASKA

House § 5035, Senate § 3001.—Same.

SEC. 5034. TANANA RIVER, ALASKA

House § 5036. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5035. WRANGELL HARBOR, ALASKA

House § 5039. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5036. AUGUSTA AND CLARENDON, ARKANSAS

House § 5040, Senate § 3007.—House recedes, with an amend-
ment.

SEC. 5037. DES ARC LEVEE PROTECTION, ARKANSAS

House § 5041. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5038. LOOMIS LANDING, ARKANSAS

House § 5042. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5039. CALIFORNIA

Senate § 5005. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5040. CALAVERAS RIVER AND LITTLEJOHN CREEK AND
TRIBUTARIES, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA

Senate § 5007. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5041. CAMBRIA, CALIFORNIA

House § 5044. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5042. CONTRA COSTA CANAL, OAKLEY AND KNIGHTSEN,
CALIFORNIA; MALLARD SLOUGH, PITTSBURG, CALIFORNIA

House § 5045. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5043. DANA POINT HARBOR, CALIFORNIA

House § 5046. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5044. EAST SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

House § 5047. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5045. EASTERN SANTA CLARA BASIN, CALIFORNIA

House § 5048. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5046. LA-3 DREDGED MATERIAL OCEAN DISPOSAL SITE
DESIGNATION, CALIFORNIA

Senate § 3016. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5047. LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA

House § 5049. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5048. LOS OSOS, CALIFORNIA

House § 5050. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5049. PINE FLAT DAM FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT, CALIFORNIA

House § 5051, Senate § 3021.—House recedes.

SEC. 5050. RAYMOND BASIN, SIX BASINS, CHINO BASIN, AND SAN
GABRIEL BASIN, CALIFORNIA

House § 5052. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes,
with an amendment.

SEC. 5051. SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

House § 5053. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5052. SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, WATERFRONT AREA

House § 5054, Senate § 3025.—Senate recedes, with an amend-
ment.

SEC. 5053. SAN PABLO BAY, CALIFORNIA, WATERSHED AND SUISUN
MARSH ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

House § 5055, Senate § 4011.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5054. ST. HELENA, CALIFORNIA

Senate § 4008. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5055. UPPER CALAVERAS RIVER, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA

House § 5056. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5056. RIO GRANDE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, COLORADO, NEW MEXICO, AND TEXAS

Senate § 5008, House § 5002(d)(9).—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5057. CHARLES HERVEY TOWNSHEND BREAKWATER, NEW HAVEN HARBOR, CONNECTICUT

House § 5057, Senate § 3030.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5058. STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5059. DELMARVA CONSERVATION CORRIDOR, DELAWARE, MARYLAND, AND VIRGINIA

House § 5081, Senate § 5009.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5060. ANACOSTIA RIVER, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND MARYLAND

House § 5080, Senate § 5011.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5061. EAST CENTRAL AND NORTHEAST FLORIDA

House § 5060. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5062. FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS

House § 5058. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5063. LAKE WORTH, FLORIDA

House § 5059. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5064. BIG CREEK, GEORGIA, WATERSHED MANAGEMENT AND RESTORATION PROGRAM

Senate § 5012. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5065. METROPOLITAN NORTH GEORGIA WATER PLANNING DISTRICT

Senate § 5013. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5066. SAVANNAH, GEORGIA

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5067. IDAHO, MONTANA, RURAL NEVADA, NEW MEXICO, RURAL UTAH, AND WYOMING

Senate § 5014. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5068. RILEY CREEK RECREATION AREA, IDAHO

House § 5062. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5069. FLOODPLAIN MAPPING, LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

House § 5066. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5070. RECONSTRUCTION OF ILLINOIS AND MISSOURI FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECTS

House § 5063, Senate § 3049.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5071. ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION

House § 5064, Senate § 3048.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5072. PROMONTORY POINT THIRD-PARTY REVIEW, CHICAGO SHORELINE, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

House § 5067, Senate § 4017.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5073. KASKASKIA RIVER BASIN, ILLINOIS, RESTORATION

House § 5065. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5074. SOUTHWEST ILLINOIS

House § 5068. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5075. CALUMET REGION, INDIANA

House § 5070. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5076. FLOODPLAIN MAPPING, MISSOURI RIVER, IOWA

House § 5071. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5077. PADUCAH, KENTUCKY

House § 5072. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5078. SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY

House § 5073. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5079. WINCHESTER, KENTUCKY

House § 5074. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5080. BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

House § 5075. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5081. CALCASIEU SHIP CHANNEL, LOUISIANA

House § 5076. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5082. EAST ATCHAFALAYA BASIN AND AMITE RIVER BASIN REGION, LOUISIANA

House § 5077. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5083. INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK PROJECT, LOUISIANA

Senate § 5028. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5084. LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LOUISIANA

No comparable Senate or House section.

SEC. 5085. SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA REGION, LOUISIANA

Senate § 5017. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5086. WEST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LOUISIANA

House § 5078. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5087. CHARLESTOWN, MARYLAND

House § 5079. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5088. ST. MARY'S RIVER, MARYLAND

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 5089. MASSACHUSETTS DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITES

House § 5082. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5090. ONTONAGON HARBOR, MICHIGAN

House § 5083. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5091. CROOKSTON, MINNESOTA

House § 5084. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5092. GARRISON AND KATHIO TOWNSHIP, MINNESOTA

House § 5085. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5093. ITASCA COUNTY, MINNESOTA

House § 5086. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5094. MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

House § 5087. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5095. NORTHEASTERN MINNESOTA

House § 5088. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5096. WILD RICE RIVER, MINNESOTA

House § 5089, Senate § 4020.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5097. MISSISSIPPI

Senate § 5018. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5098. HARRISON, HANCOCK, AND JACKSON COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI

House § 5090. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5099. MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MISSOURI AND ILLINOIS

House § 5091. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5100. ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

House § 5092. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5101. ST. LOUIS REGIONAL GREENWAYS, ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront de-

velopment projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5102. MISSOULA, MONTANA

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5103. ST. MARY PROJECT, GLACIER COUNTY, MONTANA

Senate § 5019. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

In carrying out this section, the managers expect the Secretary to conduct all hiring and contracting in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Indian Self Determination Act.

SEC. 5104. LOWER PLATTE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION,
NEBRASKA

Senate § 5020, House § 5002(d)(8).—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5105. HACKENSACK MEADOWLANDS AREA, NEW JERSEY

House § 5093. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5106. ATLANTIC COAST OF NEW YORK

House § 5094. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5107. COLLEGE POINT, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

House § 5095. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5108. FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NEW YORK CITY, NEW YORK

House § 5096. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5109. HUDSON RIVER, NEW YORK

House § 5097. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5110. MOUNT MORRIS DAM, NEW YORK

House § 5098. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5111. NORTH HEMPSTED AND GLEN COVE NORTH SHORE
WATERSHED RESTORATION, NEW YORK

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5112. ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that wa-

terfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5113. NORTH CAROLINA

Senate § 5021. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 5114. STANLY COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

House § 5100. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 5115. JOHN H. KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, NORTH CAROLINA

House § 5099. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses.

SEC. 5116. CINCINNATI, OHIO

House § 5101. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recesses, with an amendment.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that waterfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5117. OHIO RIVER BASIN ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

Senate § 5022. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 5118. TOUSSAINT RIVER NAVIGATION PROJECT, CARROLL TOWNSHIP, OHIO

House § 5102, Senate § 3095.—House recesses, with an amendment.

SEC. 5119. STATEWIDE COMPREHENSIVE WATER PLANNING, OKLAHOMA

Senate § 5023. No comparable House section.—House recesses.

SEC. 5120. FERN RIDGE DAM, OREGON

House § 5104. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5121. ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 5105. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5122. CLINTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 5106. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5123. KEHLY RUN DAMS, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 5107. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5124. LEHIGH RIVER, LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

House § 5108. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5125. NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA

House § 5109. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5126. UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN, PENNSYLVANIA AND
NEW YORK

House § 5110, Senate § 3105.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5127. CANO MARTIN PENA, SAN JUAN, PUERTO RICO

House § 5111. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 5128. LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH CAROLINA

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 5129. CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX TRIBE,
AND TERRESTRIAL WILDLIFE HABITAT RESTORATION, SOUTH DAKOTA

House § 5112, Senate § 5024.—Same.

SEC. 5130. EAST TENNESSEE

House § 5113. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5131. FRITZ LANDING, TENNESSEE

House § 5114. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5132. J. PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TENNESSEE

House § 5115. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5133. NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

No comparable Senate or House section.

The managers recognize the importance of waterfront and riverfront development projects to local communities and that, in some instances, waterfront and riverfront development plans contain elements that fall within traditional Corps mission areas of navigation, flood damage reduction, and environmental restoration, and associated recreation. However, the managers believe that wa-

terfront and riverfront development projects, in and of themselves, are not a Corps mission and Corps participation in these development projects must be limited to traditional Corps missions. While recreation is frequently an element of waterfront and riverfront development projects, the managers do not intend for the Corps to carry out purely recreational elements of the project, unrelated to the traditional missions of the Corps. The managers direct the Corps to limit its work on recreation features to only those elements that relate to the traditional Corps mission areas that are being built as an element of the larger waterfront and riverfront development project plan.

SEC. 5134. NONCONNAH WEIR, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE

Senate § 3110. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5135. TENNESSEE RIVER PARTNERSHIP

House § 5117. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5136. TOWN CREEK, LENOIR CITY, TENNESSEE

House § 5116. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5137. UPPER MISSISSIPPI EMBAYMENT, TENNESSEE, ARKANSAS,
AND MISSISSIPPI

House § 5118. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5138. TEXAS

Senate § 5025. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5139. BOSQUE RIVER WATERSHED, TEXAS

House § 5119. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5140. DALLAS COUNTY REGION, TEXAS

House § 5120. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5141. DALLAS FLOODWAY, DALLAS, TEXAS

House § 5121. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5142. HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

House § 5122, Senate § 3117.—House recedes.

SEC. 5143. JOHNSON CREEK, ARLINGTON, TEXAS

House § 5123, Senate § 4029.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5144. ONION CREEK, TEXAS

House § 5124. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5145. CONNECTICUT RIVER DAMS, VERMONT

Senate § 5026. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5146. LAKE CHAMPLAIN CANAL, VERMONT AND NEW YORK

Senate § 4032. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5147. DYKE MARSH, FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

House § 5126. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5148. EASTERN SHORE AND SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA

House § 5125. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5149. JAMES RIVER, VIRGINIA

Senate § 3125. No comparable House section.—House recedes.

SEC. 5150. BAKER BAY AND ILWACO HARBOR, WASHINGTON

House § 5127, Senate § 4033.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5151. HAMILTON ISLAND CAMPGROUND, WASHINGTON

House § 5128. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5152. EROSION CONTROL, PUGET ISLAND, WAHKIAKUM COUNTY,
WASHINGTON

House § 5129, Senate § 3127.—House recedes.

SEC. 5153. WILLAPA BAY, WASHINGTON

House § 5130. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5154. WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL

House § 5131. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5155. CENTRAL WEST VIRGINIA

House § 5132. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5156. SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA

House § 5133. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 5157. CONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS BY NON-
FEDERAL INTERESTS

House § 5134, Senate § 2011.—Senate recedes, with an amend-
ment.

(12) Perris, California

(13) Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois.

(14) Larose to Golden Meadow, Louisiana.

(15) Buffalo Bayou, Texas.

(16) Halls Bayou, Texas.

(17) Menomonee River Watershed, Wisconsin.

SEC. 5158. ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR CRITICAL PROJECTS

House § 5136, Senate § 5003.—House recedes, with an amend-
ment.

TITLE VI—FLORIDA EVERGLADES

SEC. 6001. HILLSBORO AND OKEECHOBEE AQUIFER, FLORIDA

House § 6001, Senate § 3037.—Senate recedes, with an amend-
ment.

SEC. 6002. PILOT PROJECTS

House § 6002. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 6003. MAXIMUM COSTS

House § 6004, Senate § 3034.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 6004. CREDIT

House § 6006. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes. The managers are concerned about the practice of the non-Federal sponsor performing work on the project without a written agreement with the Corps, and then relying upon legislation to receive credit against the non-Federal share. Consistent with section 2003 of this bill, for future work to be considered eligible for credit, it must be performed under a written agreement with the Secretary.

SEC. 6005. OUTREACH AND ASSISTANCE

House § 6007. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 6006. CRITICAL RESTORATION PROJECTS

House § 6008, Senate § 3036.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 6007. REGIONAL ENGINEERING MODEL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION

House § 6011. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes. INITIAL PROJECTS, COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PLAN, FLORIDA

The managers have agreed to delete House section 6003 that would have increased the maximum cost for three initial projects of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP)—Water Conservation Areas 3A/3B Levee Seepage Management, C-11 Impoundment and Stormwater Treatment Area, and C-9 Impoundment and Stormwater Treatment Area. These projects are still undergoing study and final cost estimates are not available. Project components of CERP have seen their cost estimates vary widely during the project formulation and design phases. The managers support the completion of the studies on these projects prior to taking action on their cost estimates. Until the final project implementation report recommends final cost estimates, the managers believe that it is premature to enact new cost figures.

The project implementation reports for the three projects are projected to be completed in 2008. The managers expect to consider the correct authorization levels for these projects in a water resources bill next year.

MODIFIED WATER DELIVERIES PROJECT, FLORIDA

The Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989, Public Law 101-229, (1989 Act), authorized the expansion of

Everglades National Park (Park), a change to more natural water deliveries to the Park, and flood damage reduction measures for the area known as the eight and one-half square mile area. Of the three activities, there still has been no change in water deliveries to the Park. Without a change in water delivery to the Park, restoration of the Everglades, and many of the projects authorized as components of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP) in 2000, will not succeed.

To achieve more natural water deliveries to the Park, it is necessary to modify the way water crosses under the Tamiami Trail Highway. The managers of the bill are concerned that nearly 18 years have passed since the 1989 Act, and the restoration of more natural water flows has not occurred. While the House bill contained language directing a particular option toward restoring flows, the Corps of Engineers and other interested parties have indicated that the "two-bridge" option may not be the preferred solution. However, the managers are concerned that continuing re-analysis of options for modifying water deliveries will only delay benefits to the Everglades.

The managers have observed proposals related to improved water deliveries to the Park come and go over the years, yet the more natural flows to the Park do not occur. It is time for the Chief of Engineers to implement measures to improve water deliveries and adopt an adaptive management approach toward restoring flows.

The managers have agreed to delete the House language on the two-bridge option. The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to re-examine options to modify the water delivery to the Park. However, the managers also direct the Chief of Engineer to pursue immediate steps to increase flows to the Park of at least 1400 cubic feet per second, without significantly increasing the risk of roadbed failure. Flows less than 1400 cubic feet per second will not produce measurable benefits to the Park.

The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to proceed with increasing flows to the Park upon the completion of the eight and one-half square mile area construction this fall. Completing that construction removes the current constraint on water levels within the Northeast Shark River Slough area of the Park.

The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to re-examine the prior reports and environmental documentation associated with modifying water deliveries to the Park prepared under the 1989 Act, and to evaluate the practicable alternatives for increasing the flow of water under the highway and into the Park. The recommendations resulting from this re-examination are to be for improving flows in a manner that is consistent with the direction in the 1989 Act that the Secretary of the Army construct modifications "to improve water deliveries into the park and shall, to the extent practicable, take steps to restore the natural hydrological conditions within the Park." The managers direct that the flows to the Park have a minimum target of 4000 cubic feet per second so as to address the restoration envisioned in the 1989 Act.

The Chief of Engineers is to develop the recommendations in consultation with the Department of the Interior, the Department of Transportation, the Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Florida, the

Seminole Tribe of Florida, and the State of Florida, and shall consider environmental benefits produced, cost, related CERP improvements, and other relevant factors.

The recommendations of the Chief of Engineers shall identify a plan for increasing and distributing water flows to the Park through project components that take into account the fact that a subsequent project involving modifications to the Tamiami Trail Highway may be accomplished under the authority of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000. Modifications that are not compatible with that project or are duplicative should be avoided.

The recommendations of the Chief of Engineers shall be available for public review and comment consistent with applicable law, and shall be submitted to Congress not later than July 1, 2008.

Concurrent with the preparation of recommendations for modifying water deliveries under the 1989 Act, the managers direct the Chief of Engineers to initiate an evaluation of the Tamiami Trail project component of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan authorized by section 601(b)(2)(C)(viii) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000, or other appropriate authorities, as soon as practicable. The recommendations shall include an evaluation of modifying Tamiami Trail from Krome Avenue to the boundary of the Big Cypress National Park to restore natural flows and ecological connectivity through the Park to Florida Bay. Upon completion of these recommendations the Chief of Engineers shall submit the recommendations to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate.

The House language in section 6009 also addressed cost allocations between the Secretary and the Secretary of the Interior. The managers direct that any arrangements for sharing of costs between the Secretaries be prospective only. The managers do not support any arrangement where the Secretary of the Interior is credited with expenditures for land acquisition toward the costs of modifying the water delivery to the Park. These costs represent separate responsibilities within the missions of the Department of the Army and the Department of the Interior, and the costs of one should not be used to offset the costs of the other.

TITLE VII—LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA

SEC. 7001. DEFINITIONS

House § 7001. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7002. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

House § 7002, Senate § 1003(h).—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7003. LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA

House § 7003, Senate § 1003(a) and (b).—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7004. COASTAL LOUISIANA ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND RESTORATION TASK FORCE

House § 7004, Senate § 1003(i).—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7005. PROJECT MODIFICATIONS

House § 7005, Senate § 1003(m).—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7006. CONSTRUCTION

House § 7006, Senate § 1003(c), (d), (e), (f) and (j).—House recedes, with an amendment.

For the benefit of the Louisiana coastal area, the managers have authorized a number of projects and programs. In the case of the Additional Projects authorized in section 7006(e), the managers have authorized 4 projects for construction and have authorized 6 other projects contingent upon a Chief's Report being completed no later than December 31, 2010. The managers understand that the 4 projects authorized for construction are closer to having a completed study than are the other 6 projects. The managers expect the Secretary to plan and construct all of these projects on a priority and a schedule that maximizes the efficient and timely delivery of benefits.

SEC. 7007. NON-FEDERAL COST SHARE

House § 7007, Senate § 1003(g).—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7008. PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

House § 7008, Senate § 1003(k).—Senate recedes.

SEC. 7009. INDEPENDENT REVIEW

House § 7009, Senate § 1003(n).—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7010. EXPEDITED REPORTS

House § 7010, Senate § 1003(t).—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7011. REPORTING

House § 7011. No comparable Senate provision.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 7012. NEW ORLEANS AND VICINITY

House § 7012, Senate § 1003(p).—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7013. MISSISSIPPI RIVER-GULF OUTLET

House § 7013, Senate § 1003(s).—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7014. HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION

Senate § 1003(u). No comparable House provision.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7015. LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW

Senate § 1003(q). No comparable House provision.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 7016. LOWER JEFFERSON PARISH, LOUISIANA

Senate § 1003(r). No comparable House provision.—House recedes, with an amendment.

TITLE VIII—UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND ILLINOIS WATERWAY SYSTEM

SEC. 8001. DEFINITIONS

House § 8001, Senate § 1002(a).—Same.

SEC. 8002. NAVIGATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS AND RESTORATION

House § 8002. No comparable Senate section.—Senate recedes.

SEC. 8003. AUTHORIZATION OF CONSTRUCTION OF NAVIGATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

House § 8003, Senate § 1002(b).—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 8004. ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AUTHORIZATION

House § 8004, Senate § 1002(c).—Senate recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 8005. COMPARABLE PROGRESS

House § 8005, Senate § 1002(d).—Senate recedes.

TITLE IX—NATIONAL LEVEE SAFETY PROGRAM

SEC. 9001. SHORT TITLE

Senate § 2051. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9002. DEFINITIONS

Senate § 2052. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9003. COMMITTEE ON LEVEE SAFETY

Senate § 2053 and 2054. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9004. INVENTORY AND INSPECTION OF LEVEES

Senate § 2054. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

SEC. 9005. LIMITATIONS ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION

No comparable House or Senate section.

SEC. 9006. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Senate § 2055. No comparable House section.—House recedes, with an amendment.

ADDITIONAL MATTERS

The managers request the Secretary make it a priority to reimburse non-federal project sponsors for carrying out federal projects in accordance with cooperative agreements. These projects provide benefits to the federal taxpayer and the Corps of Engineers should make every effort to reimburse non-federal project sponsors the appropriate amount in a timely manner. In one instance, Manatee County, Florida carried out the Anna Maria Island beach re-nourishment under a cooperative agreement with the Army Corps of Engineers for construction of the Manatee County Shore Protection Project in 2002. For Fiscal Year 2002, Congress appropriated \$1 million for the project, and in Fiscal Year 2003, Congress appropriated \$3.5 million for the project. Yet, Manatee County has received only \$2.3 million in reimbursement from the Army Corps of Engineers and is still owed over \$1.7 million for work that was completed in 2002. Many local communities and other non-federal project sponsors that undertake federal projects put their financial security at stake and timely reimbursement by the Corps of Engineers is critical to their economic prosperity.

The Corps recently determined that the stability of Wolf Creek Dam is threatened by seepage under and around the dam, increasing the risk of catastrophic failure. The managers recognize that the Corps has cited an extreme concern for safety and lowered the level of Lake Cumberland dramatically to mitigate the risk of failure. The managers recognize that the Nashville District of the Corps has recommended that this project be classified as a dam safety project and therefore subject to reimbursement rates in accordance with the Dam Safety Act. Given the threat to safety as cited by the Corps and the recommendation by the Corps district office, the managers urge the administration to accept the recommendation of the Corps to classify this project as dam safety, and to finalize such a decision as soon as possible.

The managers have increasingly heard concerns from Members of Congress regarding the backlog in the processing of permits under section 404 of the Clean Water Act. In particular, the Jacksonville District of the Corps of Engineers processes $\frac{1}{8}$ of all the permits nationwide. The managers direct the Chief of Engineers to examine the permitting workload and consider alternatives for better distribution of the workload. The managers also direct the Chief of Engineers to work with States using current authorities to minimize the time required for the Corps to respond to permit applications.

COMPLIANCE WITH HOUSE RULE XXI

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure is required to include a list of congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits (as defined in clause 9(d), 9(e), or 9(f) of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives) in the Conference Report. The Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure requires Members of Congress to comply with all requirements of clause 9(d), 9(e), or 9(f) of rule XXI. The following table provides the list of such provisions included in the Conference Report:

**Earmarks for
Water Resources Development Act of 2007**

Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(01)	Haines, Alaska	Murkowski
1001(01)	Haines, Alaska	Stevens
1001(01)	Haines, Alaska	Young, D.
1001(02)	Port Lions, Alaska	Young, D.
1001(03)	Santa Cruz River, Paseo De Las Iglesias, Arizona	Farr
1001(04)	Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona	Kyl
1001(04)	Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona	Giffords
1001(04)	Tanque Verde Creek, Pima County, Arizona	Grijalva
1001(05)	Salt River (Rio Salado Oeste), Maricopa County, Arizona	Pastor
1001(06)	Salt River (Va Shly'ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona	Mitchell
1001(06)	Salt River (Va Shly'ay Akimel), Maricopa County, Arizona	Kyl
1001(07)	May Branch, Forth Smith, Kentucky	Lincoln
1001(07)	May Branch, Forth Smith, Kentucky	Pryor
1001(07)	May Branch, Forth Smith, Kentucky	Boozman
1001(08)	Hamilton City, Glenn County, California	Herger
1001(08)	Hamilton City, Glenn County, California	Boxer
1001(09)	Silver Strand Shoreline, Imperial Beach, California	Davis, S.
1001(09)	Silver Strand Shoreline, Imperial Beach, California	Boxer
1001(10)	Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California	Gallegly
1001(10)	Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California	Capps
1001(10)	Matilija Dam, Ventura County, California	Boxer
1001(11)	Middle Creek, Lake County, California	Boxer
1001(11)	Middle Creek, Lake County, California	Thompson
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Woolsey
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Thompson
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Miller, George
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Boxer
1001(12)	Napa River Salt Marsh Restoration, California	Tauscher
1001(13)	Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado	Allard
1001(13)	Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado	Tancredo
1001(13)	Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado	Salazar
1001(14)	Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon, Florida	Nelson
1001(14)	Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon, Florida	Martinez
1001(14)	Central and Southern Florida, Indian River Lagoon, Florida	Mahoney
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida	Martinez
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand/Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida	Nelson
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier	Diaz-Balart, M.

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
	County, Florida	
1001(15)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Picayune Strand Restoration Project, Collier County, Florida	Mahoney
1001(16)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1	Mahoney
1001(16)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1	Hastings, A.
1001(16)	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida, Site 1	Mahoney
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Wasserman Schultz
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Diaz-Balart, L.
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Diaz-Balart, M.
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Nelson
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Martinez
1001(17)	Miami Harbor, Miami Dade County, Florida	Ros-Lehtinen
1001(18)	East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois	Durbin
1001(18)	East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois	Obama
1001(18)	East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois	Costello
1001(19)	Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois	LaHood
1001(19)	Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois	Durbin
1001(19)	Peoria Riverfront Development, Illinois	Obama
1001(20)	Wood River Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois	Obama
1001(20)	Wood River Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois	Costello
1001(20)	Wood River Levee System Reconstruction, Madison County, Illinois	Durbin
1001(21)	Des Moines and Racoon Rivers. Des Moines, Iowa	Harkin
1001(21)	Des Moines and Racoon Rivers. Des Moines, Iowa	Boswell
1001(21)	Des Moines and Racoon Rivers. Des Moines, Iowa	Grassley
1001(22)	Licking River Basin, Cythiana, Kentucky	Davis, G.
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Boustany
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Jindal
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Landrieu
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Baker
1001(23)	Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana	Vitter
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Melancon
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Landrieu
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Vitter
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Baker
1001(24)	Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana	Jindal

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(25)	Port of Iberia, Louisiana	Jindal
1001(25)	Port of Iberia, Louisiana	Melancon
1001(26)	Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland	Cardin
1001(26)	Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland	Gilchrest
1001(26)	Smith Island, Somerset County, Maryland	Mikulski
1001(27)	Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1001(27)	Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1001(27)	Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota	Coleman
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Moore, D.
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	McCaskill
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Roberts
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Brownback
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Bond
1001(28)	Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, And North Kansas Levees Units, Missouri River and Tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas	Cleaver
1001(29)	Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri	Bond
1001(29)	Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri	McCaskill
1001(29)	Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River, Kansas City, Missouri	Cleaver
1001(30)	Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(30)	Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(30)	Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey	LoBiondo
1001(31)	Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey	Sires
1001(31)	Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(31)	Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Saxton
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Smith, C.
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(32)	New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Manasquan Inlet to Barnegat Inlet, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(33)	Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(33)	Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey	Pallone

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(33)	Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(34)	South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey	Lautenberg
1001(34)	South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey	Andrews, R.
1001(34)	South River, Raritan River Basin, New Jersey	Menendez
1001(35)	Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New Mexico	Domenici
1001(35)	Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New Mexico	Bingaman
1001(35)	Southwest Valley, Bernalillo County, New Mexico	Wilson, H.
1001(36)	Montauk Point, New York	Clinton
1001(36)	Montauk Point, New York	Bishop, T.
1001(37)	Hocking River Basin, Monday Creek, Ohio	Space
1001(37)	Hocking River Basin, Monday Creek, Ohio	Voinovich
1001(38)	Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania	Casey
1001(38)	Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania	Specter
1001(38)	Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania	Kanjorski
1001(39)	Pawleys Island, South Carolina	Graham
1001(39)	Pawleys Island, South Carolina	Brown, H.
1001(40)	Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Cristi, Texas	Hutchison
1001(40)	Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Cristi, Texas	Ortiz
1001(40)	Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Corpus Cristi, Texas	Cornyn
1001(41)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazos River to Port O'Connor, Matagorda Bay Re-route, Texas	Cornyn
1001(41)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazos River to Port O'Connor, Matagorda Bay Re-route, Texas	Hutchison
1001(41)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Matagorda Bay Re-route, Texas	Paul
1001(42)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island to Brazos River, Texas	Hutchison
1001(42)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island to Brazos River, Texas	Cornyn
1001(42)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, High Island to Brazos River, Texas	Paul
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	Hutchison
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	Cornyn
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	Doggett
1001(43)	Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas	McCaul
1001(44)	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia	Webb
1001(44)	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia	Forbes
1001(44)	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia	Warner
1001(45)	Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads, Virginia	Webb
1001(45)	Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads, Virginia	Warner
1001(45)	Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, Hampton Roads, Virginia	Scott, R.

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1001(46)	Centralia, Chehalis River, Lewis County, Washington	Cantwell
1001(46)	Centralia, Chehalis River, Lewis County, Washington	Murray
1001(46)	Centralia, Chehalis River, Lewis County, Washington	Dicks
1002(a)(01)	Haleyville, Alabama	Aderholt
1002(a)(02)	Weiss Lake, Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
1002(a)(03)	Fort Yukon, Alaska	Young, D.
1002(a)(04)	Little Colorado Levee, Arizona	Renzi
1002(a)(05)	Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas	Pryor
1002(a)(05)	Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas	Lincoln
1002(a)(05)	Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas	Berry
1002(a)(06)	Barrel Springs Wash, Palmdale, California	McKeon
1002(a)(07)	Borrego Springs, California	Hunter
1002(a)(08)	Colton, California	Baca
1002(a)(09)	Dunlap Stream, San Bernardino, California	Lewis, J.
1002(a)(10)	Hunts Canyon Wash, Palmdale, California	McKeon
1002(a)(11)	Ontario and Chino, California	Miller, Gary
1002(a)(11)	Ontario and Chino, California	Baca
1002(a)(12)	Santa Venetia, California	Miller, George
1002(a)(12)	Santa Venetia, California	Tauscher
1002(a)(12)	Santa Venetia, California	Woolsey
1002(a)(13)	Whittier, California	Miller, Gary
1002(a)(14)	Wildwood Creek, Yucaipa, California	Lewis, J.
1002(a)(15)	Bibb County and City of Macon Levee, Georgia	Chambliss
1002(a)(15)	Bibb County and City of Macon Levee, Georgia	Isakson
1002(a)(16)	Ft. Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana	Souder
1002(a)(16)	Ft. Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana	Lugar
1002(a)(16)	Ft. Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana	Bayh
1002(a)(17)	St. Francisville, Louisiana	Baker
1002(a)(18)	Salem, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1002(a)(18)	Salem, Massachusetts	Kerry
1002(a)(18)	Salem, Massachusetts	Tierney
1002(a)(19)	Cass River, Michigan	Kildee
1002(a)(20)	Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota	Bachmann
1002(a)(20)	Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1002(a)(20)	Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota	Coleman
1002(a)(21)	Marsh Creek, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1002(a)(21)	Marsh Creek, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1002(a)(22)	South Branch of Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1002(a)(22)	South Branch of Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota	Coleman
1002(a)(22)	South Branch of Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1002(a)(23)	Blacksnake Creek, St. Joseph, Missouri	Graves

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1002(a)(24)	Acid Brook, Pompton Lakes, New Jersey	Pascrell
1002(a)(25)	Cannisteeo River, Addison, New York	Kuhl
1002(a)(26)	Cohocton River, Campbell, New York	Kuhl
1002(a)(27)	Dry and Otter Creeks, Cortland, New York	Arcuri
1002(a)(28)	East River, Silver Beach, New York City, New York	Crowley
1002(a)(29)	East Valley Creek, Andover, New York	Kuhl
1002(a)(30)	Sunnyside Brook, Westchester County, New York	Engel
1002(a)(31)	Little Yankee Run and Mud Run, Trumbull County, Ohio	Ryan, T.
1002(a)(32)	Little Neshaminy Creek, Warrington, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1002(a)(33)	Southampton Creek Watershed, Southampton, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1002(a)(34)	Spring Creek, Lower Macungie Township, Pennsylvania	Dent
1002(a)(35)	Yardley Aqueduct, Silver and Brock Creeks, Yardley, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1002(a)(36)	Surfside Beach, South Carolina	Brown, H.
1002(a)(37)	Sandy Creek, Jackson County, Tennessee	Alexander
1002(a)(38)	Congelosi Ditch, Missouri City, Texas	Green, A.
1002(a)(39)	Dilley, Texas	Cuellar
1002(a)(40)	Cheyenne, Wyoming	[Thomas]
1003(02)	St. Johns' Bluff Training Wall, Duval County, Florida	Brown, C.
1003(02)	St. Johns' Bluff Training Wall, Duval County, Florida	Crenshaw
1003(03)	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Iberville Parish, Louisiana	Baker
1003(04)	Ouachita and Black Rivers, Arkansas and Louisiana	Jindal
1003(04)	Ouachita and Black Rivers, Arkansas and Louisiana	Ross
1003(05)	Piney Point Lighthouse, St. Mary's County, Maryland	Hoyer
1003(06)	Pug Hole Lake, Minnesota	Oberstar
1003(06)	Pug Hole Lake, Minnesota	Klobuchar
1003(07)	Middle Fork Grand River, Gentry County, Missouri	Graves
1003(08)	Platte River, Platte City, Missouri	Graves
1003(09)	Rush Creek, Parkville, Missouri	Graves
1003(10)	Dry and Otter Creeks, Cortland County, New York	Arcuri
1003(11)	Keuka Lake, Hammondsport, New York	Kuhl
1003(12)	Kowawese Unique Area and Hudson River, New Windsor, New York	Hall, J.
1003(13)	Owego Creek, Tioga County, New York	Arcuri
1003(14)	Howard Road Outfall, Shelby County, Tennessee	Blackburn
1003(15)	Mitch Farm Ditch and Lateral D, Shelby County, Tennessee	Blackburn
1003(16)	Wolf River Tributaries, Shelby County, Tennessee	Blackburn
1003(17)	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Barton
1003(18)	Wells River, Newbury, Vermont	Weich
1004(a)(01)	Barrow Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
1004(a)(01)	Barrow Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
1004(a)(02)	Coffman Cove, Alaska	Young, D.

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1004(a)(03)	Kotzebue Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
1004(a)(04)	Nome Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
1004(a)(04)	Nome Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
1004(a)(05)	Old Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
1004(a)(05)	Old Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
1004(a)(06)	Little Rock Port, Arkansas	Lincoln
1004(a)(06)	Little Rock Port, Arkansas	Pryor
1004(a)(07)	Mississippi River Ship Channel	Melancon
1004(a)(07)	Mississippi River Ship Channel	Jindal
1004(a)(07)	Mississippi River Ship Channel	Baker
1004(a)(08)	East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(08)	East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts	Delahunt
1004(a)(08)	East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(09)	Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(09)	Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts	Tierney
1004(a)(09)	Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(10)	Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(10)	Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts	[Meehan]
1004(a)(10)	Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(11)	Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts	Delahunt
1004(a)(11)	Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(11)	Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(12)	Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts	Kerry
1004(a)(12)	Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts	Delahunt
1004(a)(12)	Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1004(a)(13)	Au Sable River, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(13)	Au Sable River, Michigan	Stupak
1004(a)(14)	Clinton River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(15)	Ontonagon River, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(15)	Ontonagon River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(16)	Outer Channel and Inner Harbor, Menominee Harbor, Michigan and Wisconsin	Stabenow
1004(a)(17)	Sebewaing River, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(17)	Sebewaing River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Levin, C.
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Stupak
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Stabenow
1004(a)(18)	Traverse City Harbor, Traverse City, Michigan	Camp
1004(a)(19)	Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota	Oberstar
1004(a)(19)	Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota	Coleman
1004(a)(19)	Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota	Klobuchar

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1004(a)(20)	Olcott Harbor, Olcott, New York	Slaughter
1004(a)(21)	Milwaukee Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
1005(01)	Ballona Creek, Los Angeles County, California	Harman
1005(02)	Ballona Lagoon Tide Gates, Marina Del Ray, California	Harman
1005(03)	Ft. George Inlet, Duval County, Florida	Crenshaw
1005(03)	Ft. George Inlet, Duval County, Florida	Brown, C.
1005(04)	Rathbun Lake, Iowa	Boswell
1005(05)	Smithville Lake, Missouri	Graves
1005(06)	Delaware Bay, New Jersey and Delaware	LoBiondo
1005(07)	Tioga-Hammond Lakes, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
1006(a)(01)	Cypress Creek, Montgomery, Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
1006(a)(02)	Black Lake, Alaska	Stevens
1006(a)(02)	Black Lake, Alaska	Murkowski
1006(a)(02)	Black Lake, Alaska	Young, D.
1006(a)(03)	Ben Lomond Dam, Santa Cruz, California	Eshoo
1006(a)(04)	Dockweiler Bluffs, Los Angeles County, California	Harman
1006(a)(05)	Salt River, California	Thompson
1006(a)(06)	San Diego River, California	Boxer
1006(a)(06)	Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California	Miller, George
1006(a)(06)	Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California	Woolsey
1006(a)(06)	Santa Rosa Creek, Santa Rosa, California	Tauscher
1006(a)(07)	Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel and Lower San Joaquin River, California	McNerney
1006(a)(09)	Suisun Marsh, San Pablo Bay, California	Boxer
1006(a)(10)	Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, California	Filner
1006(a)(11)	Biscayne Bay, Florida	Ros-Lehtinen
1006(a)(12)	Clam Bayou and Dinkins Bayou, Sanibel Island, Florida	Mack
1006(a)(13)	Mountain Park, Georgia	Chambliss
1006(a)(13)	Mountain Park, Georgia	Isakson
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Westmoreland
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Bishop, S.
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Gingrey
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Chambliss
1006(a)(14)	Chattahoochee Fall Line, Georgia and Alabama	Isakson
1006(a)(15)	Longwood Cove, Gainesville, Georgia	Deal
1006(a)(16)	City Park, University Lakes, Louisiana	Baker
1006(a)(17)	Lawrence Gateway, Massachusetts	Kerry
1006(a)(17)	Lawrence Gateway, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(18)	Millford Pond, Milford, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(19)	Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts	Kerry

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1006(a)(19)	Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(19)	Millford Pond, Milford, Massachusetts	Kerry
1006(a)(19)	Mill Pond, Littleton, Massachusetts	[Meehan]
1006(a)(20)	Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts	Lynch
1006(a)(20)	Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts	Kerry
1006(a)(20)	Pine Tree Brook, Milton, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
1006(a)(21)	Clinton River, Michigan	Stabenow
1006(a)(21)	Clinton River, Michigan	Levin, C.
1006(a)(22)	Kalamazoo River Watershed, Battle Creek, Michigan	Walberg
1006(a)(23)	Rush Lake, Minnesota	Oberstar
1006(a)(24)	South Fork of the Crow River, Hutchinson, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
1006(a)(25)	St. Louis, Missouri	Clay
1006(a)(25)	St. Louis, Missouri	Carnahan
1006(a)(26)	Mobley Dam, Tongue River, Montana	Baucus
1006(a)(27)	S and H Dam, Tongue River, Montana	Baucus
1006(a)(28)	Vandalia Dam, Milk River, Montana	Baucus
1006(a)(29)	Truckee River, Reno, Nevada	Heller
1006(a)(30)	Grover's Mill Pond, New Jersey	Holt
1006(a)(31)	Caldwell County, North Carolina	Burr
1006(a)(32)	Mecklenburg County, North Carolina	Burr
1006(a)(33)	Dugway Creek, Bratenahl, Ohio	Jones, S
1006(a)(34)	Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon	Smith
1006(a)(34)	Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon	Blumenauer
1006(a)(34)	Johnson Creek, Gresham, Oregon	Wyden
1006(a)(35)	Beaver Creek, Beaver and Salem, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
1006(a)(36)	Cementon Dam, Lehigh River, Pennsylvania	Dent
1006(a)(37)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
1006(a)(38)	Saucon Creek, Northampton County, Pennsylvania	Dent
1006(a)(39)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Dent
1006(a)(40)	Blackstone River, Rhode Island	Kennedy, P.
1006(a)(40)	Blackstone River, Rhode Island	Whitehouse
1006(a)(40)	Blackstone River, Rhode Island	Reed
1006(a)(41)	Wilson Branch, Cheraw, South Carolina	Spratt
1006(a)(42)	White River, Bethel, Vermont	Welch
1006(a)(43)	College Lake, Lynchburg, Virginia	Warner
1007(01)	Nelson Lagoon, Alaska	Young, D.
1007(02)	Nicholas Canyon, Los Angeles, California	Boxer
1007(03)	Sanibel Island, Florida	Mack
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Clinton
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Bordallo
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Lautenberg

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Menendez
1007(04)	Apra Harbor, Guam	Schumer
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Menendez
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Lautenberg
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Schumer
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Clinton
1007(05)	Piti, Cabras Island, Guam	Bordallo
1007(06)	Narrows and Gravesend Bay, Upper New York Bay, Brooklyn, New York	Fossella
1007(07)	Delaware River, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
1007(07)	Delaware River, Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Pennsylvania	Brady
1007(08)	Port Aransas, Texas	Ortiz
1008	Kowawese Unique Area and Hudson River	Hall, J.
1009(01)	Tybee Island, Georgia	Kingston
1009(01)	Tybee Island, Georgia	Isakson
1009(01)	Tybee Island, Georgia	Chambliss
1009(02)	Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana	Lugar
1009(02)	Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana	Bayh
1009(02)	Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana	Visclosky
1010	Small Projects for Aquatic Plant Control	Nelson
2010(a)(06)	Tuscarawas River Basin, Ohio	Sutton
2010(a)(07)	Sauk River Basin, Snohomish and Skagit Counties, Washington	Larsen
2010(a)(08)	Niagara River Basin, New York	Slaughter
2010(a)(09)	Genesee River Basin, New York	Slaughter
2010(a)(10)	White River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
2010(a)(10)	White River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Snyder
2014(20)	Kinkaid Lake, Jackson County, Illinois	Costello
2014(21)	McCarter Pond, Borough of Fair Haven, New Jersey	Holt
2014(22)	Rogers Pond, Franklin Township, New Jersey	Holt
2014(23)	Greenwood Lake, New York and New Jersey	Hall, J.
2014(24)	Lake Rodgers, Creedmoor, North Carolina	Cole
2014(24)	Lake Rodgers, Creedmoor, North Carolina	Miller, B.
2014(25)	Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota	Conrad
2014(26)	Lake Luxembourg, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
2014(27)	Lake Fairlee, Vermont	Sanders
2014(28)	Lake Morley, Vermont	Sanders
2019(b)(01)	St. John's Bayou and New Madrid Floodway, Missouri	Emerson
2019(b)(01)	St. John's Bayou and New Madrid Floodway, Missouri	Bond
2019(b)(02)	Lower Rio Grande Basin, Texas	Cueillar
2019(b)(03)	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Projects	Murtha
2028(a)(01)	Support of the Army Civil Works Program	Duncan

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
2028(a)(02)	Support of the Army Civil Works Program	Costello
2028(a)(03)	Support of the Army Civil Works Program	Johnson, E.B.
2037(f)(01)	Little Rock Slackwater Harbor, Arkansas	Snyder
2037(f)(02)	Fletcher Cove, California	Boxer
2037(f)(03)	Egmont Key, Florida	Castor
2037(f)(04)	Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana	Boustany
2037(f)(05)	Delaware River Estuary, New Jersey and Pennsylvania	Menendez
2037(f)(05)	Delaware River Estuary, New Jersey and Pennsylvania	Lautenberg
2037(f)(06)	Fire Island Inlet, Suffolk County, New York	Clinton
2037(f)(07)	Smith Point Pavilion and TWA Memorial, Brookhaven, New York	Bishop, T.
2037(f)(08)	Morehead City, North Carolina	Jones, W.
2037(f)(09)	Toledo Harbor, Lucas County, Ohio	Voinovich
2037(f)(10)	Galveston Bay, Texas	Paul
2037(f)(11)	Benson Beach, Washington	Baird
3001	Black Warrior-Tombigbee Rivers, Alabama	Shelby
3002	Cook Inlet, Alaska	Young, D.
3003	King Cove Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
3004	Seward Harbor, Alaska	Murkowski
3004	Seward Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
3005	Sitka, Alaska	Young, D.
3005	Sitka, Alaska	Stevens
3005	Sitka, Alaska	Murkowski
3006	Tatitlek, Alaska	Young, D.
3007	Rio De Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona	Renzi
3007	Rio De Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona	Kyl
3008	Nogales Wash and Tributaries Flood Control Project, Arizona	Kyl
3009	Tucson Drainage Area, Arizona	Kyl
3010	Osceola Harbor, Arkansas	Pryor
3010	Osceola Harbor, Arkansas	Lincoln
3010	Osceola Harbor, Arkansas	Berry
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Lincoln
3011	St. Francis Basin River Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Pryor
3012	Pine Mountain Dam, Arkansas	Boozman
3012	Pine Mountain Dam, Arkansas	Pryor
3012	Pine Mountain Dam, Arkansas	Lincoln
3013	Red-Ouachita River Basin Levees, Arkansas and Louisiana	Lincoln
3013	Red-Ouachita River Basin Levees, Arkansas and Louisiana	Pryor
3014	Cache Creek Basin, California	Boxer

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3015	CalFed Stability Program, California	Boxer
3016	Compton Creek, California	[Millender-McDonald]
3017	Grayson Creek/Murderer's Creek, California	Miller, George
3017	Grayson Creek/Murderer's Creek, California	Boxer
3017	Grayson Creek/Murderer's Creek, California	Tauscher
3018	Hamilton Airfield, California	Tauscher
3018	Hamilton Airfield, California	Miller, George
3018	Hamilton Airfield, California	Boxer
3019	John F. Baldwin Ship Channel and Stockton Ship Channel, California	McNerney
3020	Kaweah River, California	Nunes
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Miller, George
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Tauscher
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Woolsey
3021	Larkspur Ferry Channel, Larkspur, California	Boxer
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Honda
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Lofgren
3022	Llagas Creek, California	McNerney
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Boxer
3022	Llagas Creek, California	Eshoo
3023	Magpie Creek, California	Matsui
3023	Magpie Creek, California	Boxer
3024	Pacific Flyway Center, California	Thompson
3025	Petaluma River, Petaluma, California	Boxer
3026	Pinole Creek, California	Tauscher
3026	Pinole Creek, California	Miller, George
3027	Prado Dam, California	Calvert
3027	Prado Dam, California	Campbell
3027	Prado Dam, California	Sanchez, Loretta
3027	Prado Dam, California	Miller, Gary
3028	Redwood City Navigation Channel, California	Eshoo
3028	Redwood City Navigation Channel, California	Boxer
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Matsui
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Boxer
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Feinstein
3029	Sacramento and American Rivers Flood Control, California	Matsui
3030	Sacramento Deepwater Ship Channel, California	Thompson
3031	Sacramento River Bank Protection, California	Feinstein
3031	Sacramento River Bank Protection, California	Boxer
3032	Salton Sea Restoration Project	Boxer

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3032	Salton Sea Restoration Project	Bono
3032	Salton Sea Restoration Project	Filner
3033	Santa Ana River Mainstem, California	Miller, G.
3033	Santa Ana River Mainstem, California	Boxer
3034	Santa Barbara Streams, Lower Mission Creek, California	Boxer
3034	Santa Barbara Streams, Lower Mission Creek, California	Capps
3035	Santa Cruz Harbor, California	Farr
3036	Seven Oaks Dam, California	Boxer
3036	Seven Oaks Dam, California	Calvert
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Boxer
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Lofgren
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Eshoo
3037	Upper Guadalupe River, California	Honda
3038	Walnut Creek Channel, California	Miller, George
3038	Walnut Creek Channel, California	Boxer
3038	Walnut Creek Channel, California	Tauscher
3039	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase I, California	Tauscher
3039	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase I, California	Miller, George
3040	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase II, California	Miller, George
3040	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase II, California	Tauscher
3040	Wildcat/San Pablo Creek/Phase II, California	Boxer
3041	Yuba River Basin Project, California	Herger
3041	Yuba River Basin Project, California	Boxer
3042	South Platte River Basin, Colorado	Tancredo
3042	South Platte River Basin, Colorado	DeGette
3043	Intercoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland	Gilchrest
3043	Intercoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland	Castle
3044	St. George's Bridge, Delaware	Carper
3044	St. George's Bridge, Delaware	Biden
3044	St. George's Bridge, Delaware	Castle
3045	Brevard County, Florida	Nelson
3045	Brevard County, Florida	Martinez
3045	Brevard County, Florida	Weidon, D.
3046	Broward County and Hillsboro Inlet, Florida	Martinez
3046	Broward County and Hillsboro Inlet, Florida	Klein
3046	Broward County and Hillsboro Inlet, Florida	Nelson
3047	Canaveral Harbor, Florida	Weidon, D.
3047	Canaveral Harbor, Florida	Nelson
3048	Gasparilla & Estero Islands, Florida	Mack
3048	Gasparilla & Estero Islands, Florida	Nelson

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3049	Lido Key Beach, Sarasota, Florida	Buchanan
3049	Lido Key Beach, Sarasota, Florida	Nelson
3049	Lido Key Beach, Sarasota, Florida	Martinez
3050	Peanut Island, Florida	Klein
3051	Port Sutton, Florida	Martinez
3051	Port Sutton, Florida	Nelson
3052	Tampa Harbor-Big Bend Channel, Florida	Nelson
3052	Tampa Harbor-Big Bend Channel, Florida	Castor
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Martinez
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Castor
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Putnam
3053	Tampa Harbor Cut B, Florida	Nelson
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Gingrey
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Price
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Isakson
3054	Allatoona Lake, Georgia	Chambliss
3055	Latham River, Glynn County, Georgia	Kingston
3056	Dworshak Reservoir Improvements, Idaho	Craig
3056	Dworshak Reservoir Improvements, Idaho	Simpson
3056	Dworshak Reservoir Improvements, Idaho	Crapo
3057	Little Wood River, Gooding, Idaho	Craig
3057	Little Wood River, Gooding, Idaho	Crapo
3058	Beardstown Community Boat Harbor, Beardstown, Illinois	LaHood
3058	Beardstown Community Boat Harbor, Beardstown, Illinois	Durbin
3059	Cache River Levee, Illinois	Obama
3059	Cache River Levee, Illinois	Durbin
3059	Cache River Levee, Illinois	Shimkus
3060	Chicago River, Illinois	Davis, D.
3060	Chicago River, Illinois	Obama
3060	Chicago River, Illinois	Durbin
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Stupak
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Kirk
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	McCotter
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Gutierrez
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Biggert
3061	Chicago Sanitary & Ship Canal, Illinois	Dingell
3062	Emiquon, Illinois	Durbin
3062	Emiquon, Illinois	Hare
3063	LaSalle, Illinois	Weller
3064	Spunky Bottom, Illinois	Obama
3064	Spunky Bottom, Illinois	Durbin

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3064	Spunky Bottom, Illinois	LaHood
3065	Cedar Lake, Indiana	Lugar
3066	Koontz Lake, Indiana	Donnelly
3066	Koontz Lake, Indiana	Bayh
3066	Koontz Lake, Indiana	Lugar
3067	White River, Indiana	Carson, J.
3067	White River, Indiana	Lugar
3067	White River, Indiana	Bayh
3068	Des Moines River and Greenbelt, Iowa	Harkin
3068	Des Moines River and Greenbelt, Iowa	Boswell
3069	Perry Creek, Iowa	Harkin
3070	Rathbun Lake, Iowa	Grassley
3071	Hickman Bluff Stabilization, Kentucky	McConnell
3072	Mcalpine Lock And Dam, Kentucky And Indiana	McConnell
3072	Mcalpine Lock And Dam, Kentucky And Indiana	Yarmouth
3073	Prestonsburg, Kentucky	Rogers, H.
3074	Amite River and Tributaries, Louisiana, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed	Baker
3074	Amite River and Tributaries, Louisiana, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed	Landrieu
3074	Amite River and Tributaries, Louisiana, East Baton Rouge Parish Watershed	Vitter
3075	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana	Boustany
3075	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana	Vitter
3075	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana	Landrieu
3075(c)	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Louisiana (Town of Melville)	Boustany
3076	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Regional Visitors Center, Louisiana	Vitter
3076	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Regional Visitors Center, Louisiana	Landrieu
3076	Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System, Regional Visitors Center, Louisiana	Boustany
3077	Atchafalaya River and Bayous Chene, Boeuf, and Black, Louisiana	Baker
3078	Bayou Plaquemine, Louisiana	Baker
3079	Calcasieu River and Pass, Louisiana	Vitter
3079	Calcasieu River and Pass, Louisiana	Landrieu
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	Landrieu
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	McCrery
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	Jindal
3080	Red River (J. Bennett Johnston) Waterway, Louisiana	Vitter
3081	Mississippi Delta Region, Louisiana	Melancon

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3082	Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet Relocation Assistance, Louisiana	Vitter
3082	Mississippi River-Gulf Outlet Relocation Assistance, Louisiana	Landrieu
3083	Violet, Louisiana	Landrieu
3083	Violet, Louisiana	Cochran
3083	Violet, Louisiana	Lott
3084	West Bank of the Mississippi River (East of Harvey Canal), Louisiana	Melancon
3084	West Bank of the Mississippi River (East of Harvey Canal), Louisiana	Jindal
3085	Camp Ellis, Saco, Maine	Allen
3085	Camp Ellis, Saco, Maine	Snowe
3085	Camp Ellis, Saco, Maine	Collins
3086	Cumberland, Maryland	Mikulski
3086	Cumberland, Maryland	Cardin
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Ruppersburger
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Hoyer
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Sarbanes
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Cummings
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Mikulski
3087	Poplar Island, Maryland	Cardin
3088	Detroit River Shoreline, Detroit, Michigan	Kilpatrick
3088	Detroit River Shoreline, Detroit, Michigan	Levin, C.
3088	Detroit River Shoreline, Detroit, Michigan	Stabenow
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair Michigan	Levin, S.
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair Michigan	Levin, C.
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair, Michigan	Stabenow
3089	St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair, Michigan	Miller, C.
3090	St. Joseph Harbor, Michigan	Upton
3091	Sault Saint Marie, Michigan	Stupak
3091	Sault Saint Marie, Michigan	Oberstar
3092	Ada, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
3092	Ada, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3093	Duluth Harbor, McQuade Road, Minnesota	Coleman
3093	Duluth Harbor, McQuade Road, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3093	Duluth Harbor, McQuade Road, Minnesota	Oberstar
3094	Grand Marais, Minnesota	Oberstar
3094	Grand Marais, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3095	Grand Portage Harbor, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3095	Grand Portage Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar
3096	Granite Falls, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
3097	Knife River Harbor, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3097	Knife River Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3098	Red Lake River, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
3099	Silver Bay, Minnesota	Oberstar
3099	Silver Bay, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3100	Taconite Harbor, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3100	Taconite Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar
3101	Two Harbors, Minnesota	Oberstar
3101	Two Harbors, Minnesota	Klobuchar
3101	Two Harbors, Minnesota	Coleman
3102	Deer Island, Harrison County, Mississippi	Taylor
3103	Jackson, Mississippi	Thompson, B.
3104	Pearl River Basin, Mississippi	Lott
3104	Pearl River Basin, Mississippi	Cochran
3104	Pearl River Basin, Mississippi	Pickering
3105	Festus and Crystal City, Missouri	Carnahan
3106	L-15 Levee, Missouri	Bond
3106	L-15 Levee, Missouri	Akin
3106	L-15 Levee, Missouri	McCaskill
3107	Monarch-Chesterfield, Missouri	Akin
3108	River Des Peres, Missouri	Carnahan
3109	Lower Yellowstone Project, Montana	Tester
3109	Lower Yellowstone Project, Montana	Baucus
3110	Yellowstone River and Tributaries, Montana and North Dakota	Baucus
3110	Yellowstone River and Tributaries, Montana and North Dakota	Tester
3111	Antelope Creek, Nebraska	Nelson
3111	Antelope Creek, Nebraska	Fortenberry
3111	Antelope Creek, Nebraska	Hagel
3112	Sand Creek Watershed, Wahoo, Nebraska	Hagel
3112	Sand Creek Watershed, Wahoo, Nebraska	Fortenberry
3112	Sand Creek Watershed, Wahoo, Nebraska	Nelson
3113	Western Sarpy and Clear Creek, Nebraska	Hagel
3113	Western Sarpy and Clear Creek, Nebraska	Nelson
3113	Western Sarpy and Clear Creek, Nebraska	Fortenberry
3114	Lower Truckee River, McCarran Ranch, Nevada	Ensign
3115	Lower Cape May Meadows, Cape May Point, New Jersey	LoBiondo
3116	Passaic River Basin Flood Management, New Jersey	Frelinghuysen
3117	Cooperative Agreements, New Mexico	Udall, T.
3117	Cooperative Agreements, New Mexico	Domenici
3117	Cooperative Agreements, New Mexico	Bingaman
3118	Middle Rio Grande Restoration, New Mexico	Domenici
3118	Middle Rio Grande Restoration, New Mexico	Bingaman
3119	Buffalo Harbor, New York	Higgins

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3120	Long Island Sound Oyster Restoration, New York and Connecticut	Lieberman
3120	Long Island Sound Oyster Restoration, New York and Connecticut	Dodd
3120	Long Island Sound Oyster Restoration, New York and Connecticut	Clinton
3121	Mamaroneck and Sheldrake Rivers Watershed Management, New York	Schumer
3121	Mamaroneck and Sheldrake Rivers Watershed Management, New York	Clinton
3121(a)(09)	Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts	Delahunt
3121(a)(09)	Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts	Kerry
3121(a)(09)	Aunt Lydia's Cove, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
3122	Orchard Beach, Bronx	Serrano
3122	Orchard Beach, Bronx	Crowley
3122	Orchard Beach, Bronx	Clinton
3123	Port of New York and New Jersey, New York and New Jersey	Sires
3123	Arkansas	Inhofe
3124	New York State Canal System	Slaughter
3124	New York State Canal System	Clinton
3124	New York State Canal System	Higgins
3125	Susquehanna River and Upper Delaware River Watershed Management, New York	Clinton
3126	Missouri River Restoration, North Dakota	Conrad
3127	Wahepton, North Dakota	Conrad
3128	Ohio	Voinovich
3129	Lower Girard Lake Dam, Ohio	Ryan, T.
3129	Lower Girard Lake Dam, Ohio	Voinovich
3130	Mahoning River, Ohio	Ryan, T.
3131	Arcadia Lake, Oklahoma	Fallin
3131	Arcadia Lake, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3132	Arkansas River Corridor, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3133	Lake Eufaula, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3134	Oklahoma Lakes Demonstration Program, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3135	Ottawa County, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3136	Red River Chloride Control, Oklahoma and Texas	Inhofe
3137	Waurika Lake, Oklahoma	Cole
3137	Waurika Lake, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3138	Upper Willamette River Watershed Ecosystem Restoration, Oregon	Wyden
3138	Upper Willamette River Watershed Ecosystem Restoration, Oregon	Smith
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Schwartz

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Castle
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Saxton
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	Andrews, R.
3139	Delaware River, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware	LoBiondo
3140	Raystown Lake, Pennsylvania	Shuster
3141	Sheraden Park Stream & Chartiers Creek, Allegheny County	Doyle
3142	Solomon's Creek, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania	Specter
3142	Solomon's Creek, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania	Casey
3142	Solomon's Creek, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania	Kanjorski
3143	South Central Pennsylvania	Murtha
3143	South Central Pennsylvania	Shuster
3144	Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania	Specter
3144	Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania	Casey
3144	Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania	Kanjorski
3145	Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island	Whitehouse
3145	Missouri River Restoration, South Dakota	Thune
3145	Narragansett Bay, Rhode Island	Reed
3147	Cedar Bayou, Texas	Cornyn
3147	Cedar Bayou, Texas	Hutchison
3147	Cedar Bayou, Texas	Paul
3148	Freeport Harbor, Texas	Hutchison
3148	Freeport Harbor, Texas	Cornyn
3148	Freeport Harbor, Texas	Paul
3149	Lake Kemp, Texas	Cornyn
3149	Lake Kemp, Texas	Hutchison
3149	Lake Kemp, Texas	Thornberry
3150	Lower Rio Grande Basin, Texas	Hinojosa
3151	North Padre Island, Texas	Ortiz
3152	Pat Mayse Lake, Texas	Hall, R.
3153	Proctor Lake, Texas	Conaway
3154	San Antonio Channel, Texas	Gonzalez
3155	Connecticut River Restoration, Vermont	Sanders
3156	Dam Remediation, Vermont	Sanders
3157	Lake Champlain Eurasian Milfoil, Water Chestnut, and Other Nonnative Plant Control, Vermont	Sanders
3158	Upper Connecticut River Basin Wetland Restoration, Vermont and New Hampshire	Sanders
3159	Upper Connecticut River Basin Ecosystem Restoration, Vermont and New Hampshire	Sanders
3160	Lake Champlain Watershed, Vermont and New York	Sanders
3160	Lake Champlain Watershed, Vermont and New York	Clinton
3161	Sandbridge Beach, Virginia Beach, Virginia	Warner

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3162	Tangier Island Seawall, Virginia	Drake
3162	Tangier Island Seawall, Virginia	Warner
3162	Tangier Island Seawall, Virginia	Webb
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Craig
3163	Duwamish/Green, Washington	Reichert
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Cantwell
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Crapo
3163	McNary Lock and Dam, McNary National Wildlife Refuge, Washington and Idaho	Murray
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Murray
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Craig
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Crapo
3164	Snake River Project, Washington and Idaho	Cantwell
3166	Yakima River, Port of Sunnyside, Washington	Hastings, D.
3167	Bluestone Lake, Ohio River Basin, West Virginia	Rahall
3168	Greenbrier River Basin, West Virginia	Rahall
3169	Lesage/Greenbottom Swamp, West Virginia	Rahall
3170	Lower Mud River, Milton, West Virginia	Byrd
3170	Lower Mud River, Milton, West Virginia	Rahall
3171	McDowell County, West Virginia	Byrd
3172	Parkersburg, West Virginia	Mollohan
3173	Green Bay Harbor, Green Bay, Wisconsin	Kohl
3174	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Petri
3174	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
3175	Mississippi River Headwaters Reservoirs	Klobuchar
3175	Mississippi River Headwaters Reservoirs	Oberstar
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Hagel
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Nelson
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Thune
3176	Upper Basin of the Missouri River	Baucus
3177	Upper Mississippi River System Environmental Management Program	LaHood
3177	Upper Mississippi River System Environmental Management Program	Harkin
3178	Upper Ohio River and Tributaries Navigation System New Technology Pilot Program	Specter
3178	Upper Ohio River and Tributaries Navigation System New Technology Pilot Program	Casey
3179(a)(01)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	Thompson
3179(a)(02)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	Bordallo

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3179(a)(03)	Baltimore Harbor and Channel, Maryland and Virginia	Mikulski
3179(a)(03)	Baltimore Harbor and Channel, Maryland and Virginia	Cardin
3179(a)(03)	Baltimore Harbor and Channel, Maryland and Virginia	Cummings
3179(a)(04)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	Frank
3179(a)(04)	Continuation of Project Authorizations	McGovern
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Dingell
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Conyers
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	McCotter
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Stabenow
3179(a)(05)	Ecorse Creek, Wayne County, Michigan	Levin, C.
3180(01)	Menominee Harbor and River, Michigan and Wisconsin	Stupak
3180(02)	Hearding Island Inlet, Duluth Harbor, Minnesota	Oberstar
3180(03)	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
3180(03)	Manitowoc Harbor, Wisconsin	Petri
3181(a)(01)	Bridgeport Harbor, Connecticut	Shays
3181(a)(02)	Mystic River, Connecticut	Courtney
3181(a)(03)	Norwalk Harbor, Connecticut	Lieberman
3181(a)(03)	Norwalk Harbor, Connecticut	Biden
3181(a)(04)	Rockland Harbor, Maine	Snowe
3181(a)(04)	Rockland Harbor, Maine	Allen
3181(a)(05)	Rockport Harbor, Maine	Snowe
3181(a)(06)	Falmouth Harbor, Massachusetts	Delahunt
3181(a)(07)	Island End River, Massachusetts	Capuano
3181(a)(08)	City Waterway, Tacoma, Washington	Dicks
3181(a)(10)	Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bellingham, Washington	Cantwell
3181(a)(10)	Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bellingham, Washington	Larsen
3181(a)(10)	Whatcom Creek Waterway, Bellingham, Washington	Byrd
3181(a)(11)	Oconto Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
3181(b)	Anchorage Area, New London Harbor, Connecticut	Courtney
3181(b)	Anchorage Area, New London Harbor, Connecticut	Lieberman
3181(b)	Anchorage Area, New London Harbor, Connecticut	Dodd
3181(c)	Southport Harbor, Fairfield, Connecticut	Shays
3181(d)	Saco River, Maine	Allen
3181(d)	Saco River, Maine	Snowe
3181(e)	Union River, Maine	Snowe
3181(e)	Union River, Maine	Michaud
3181(f)	Mystic River, Massachusetts	Markey
3181(g)	Rivercenter, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	Brady
3182(a)(01)	St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Berry
3182(a)(01)	St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Pryor
3182(a)(01)	St. Francis Basin, Arkansas and Missouri	Lincoln

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
3182(b)	Oakland Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, California	Stark
3182(b)	Oakland Inner Harbor Tidal Canal, California	Boxer
3182(c)	Milford, Kansas	Boyda
3182(c)	Milford, Kansas	Brownback
3182(c)	Milford, Kansas	Roberts
3182(d)	Strawn Cemetary, John Redmond Lake, Kansas	Roberts
3182(d)	Strawn Cemetary, John Redmond Lake, Kansas	Brownback
3182(e)	Pike County, Missouri	McCaskill
3182(e)	Pike County, Missouri	Hulshof
3182(e)	Pike County, Missouri	Bond
3182(f)	Union Lake, Missouri	Bond
3182(f)	Union Lake, Missouri	McCaskill
3182(g)	Boardman, Oregon	Walden
3182(h)	Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon	Wyden
3182(h)	Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon	Smith
3182(h)	Lookout Point Project, Lowell, Oregon	DeFazio
3182(i)	Richard B. Russell Lake, South Carolina	Graham
3182(i)	Richard B. Russell Lake, South Carolina	Barrett
3182(j)	Denison, Texas	Hall, R.
3182(j)	Denison, Texas	Cornyn
3182(j)	Denison, Texas	Hutchison
3183(a)	Idaho	Craig
3183(a)	Idaho	Simpson
3183(a)	Idaho	Crapo
3183(b)	Lake Texoma, Oklahoma	Fallin
3183(b)	Lake Taxoma, Oklahoma	Cole
3183(b)	Lake Texoma, Oklahoma	Inhofe
3183(c)	Lowell, Oregon	DeFazio
3183(d)	Old Hickory Lock and Dam, Cumberland River, Tennessee	Cooper
3183(d)	Old Hickory Lock and Dam, Cumberland River, Tennessee	Alexander
3183(e)	Lower Granite Pool, Washington	Cantwell
3183(e)	Lower Granite Pool, Washington	Murray
3183(f)	Port of Pasco, Washington	Murray
3183(f)	Port of Pasco, Washington	Cantwell
3183(f)	Port of Pasco, Washington	Hastings, D.
4001	John Glenn Great Lakes Basin Program	McCotter
4001	John Glenn Great Lakes Basin Program	Dingell
4002	Lake Erie Dredged Material Disposal Sites	Reynolds
4003	Southwestern United States Drought Study	Berkley
4004	Delaware River	Murphy, P.
4005	Eurasian Milfoil	Sanders

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
4006	Fire Island, Alaska	Young, D.
4007	Knik Arm, Cook Inlet, Alaska	Young, D.
4008	Kuskokwim River, Alaska	Young, D.
4009	Nome Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
4010	St. George Harbor, Alaska	Stevens
4010	St. George Harbor, Alaska	Young, D.
4011	Susitna River, Alaska	Young, D.
4012	Valdez, Alaska	Young, D.
4012	Valdez, Alaska	Stevens
4012	Valdez, Alaska	Murkowski
4013	Gila Bend, Maricopa, Arizona	Grijalva
4014	Searcy County, Arkansas	Berry
4015	Aliso Creek, California	Campbell
4016	Fresno, Kings, and Kern Counties, California	Costa
4017	Fruitville Avenue Railroad Bridge, Alameda, California	Boxer
4017	Fruitville Avenue Railroad Bridge, Alameda, California	Stark
4018	Los Angeles River Revitalization Study, California	Roybal-Allard
4018	Los Angeles River Revitalization Study, California	Boxer
4019	Lytle Creek, Rialto, California	Baca
4020	Mokelumne River, San Joaquin County, California	McNerney
4021	Orick, California	Thompson
4022	Shoreline Study, Oceanside, California	Boxer
4023	Rialto, Fontana and Colton Counties	Baca
4024	Sacramento River, California	Herger
4025	San Diego County, California	Hunter
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	Tauscher
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	Boxer
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	Miller, George
4026	San Francisco Bay, Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California	McNerney
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Honda
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Lofgren
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Eshoo
4027	South San Francisco Bay Shoreline, California	Boxer
4028	Twentynine Palms, California	Lewis, J.
4029	Yucca Valley, California	Lewis, J.
4030	Selenium Study, California	Salazar
4030	Selenium Study, California	Allard
4031	Delaware and Christina River and Shellpot Creek, Wilmington, Delaware	Castle
4032	Delaware Inland Bays and Tributaries and Atlantic Coast, Delaware	Carper
4032	Delaware Inland Bays and Tributaries and Atlantic Coast,	Biden

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
	Delaware	
4033	Collier County Beaches, Florida	Mack
4034	Lower St. John's River, Florida	Brown, C.
4034	Lower St. John's River, Florida	Crenshaw
4035	Herbert Hoover Dike Supplemental Major Rehabilitation Report, Florida	Martinez
4035	Herbert Hoover Dike Supplemental Major Rehabilitation Report, Florida	Nelson
4036	Vanderbilt Beach Lagoon, Florida	Mack
4037	Meriwether County, Georgia	Westmoreland
4038	Boise River, Idaho	Crapo
4038	Boise River, Idaho	Simpson
4039	Ballard's Island Side Channel, Illinois	Weller
4040	Chicago, Illinois	Obama
4040	Chicago, Illinois	Durbin
4041	Salem, Indiana	Hill
4042	Buckhorn Lake, Kentucky	Rogers, H.
4043	Dewey Lake, Kentucky	Rogers, H.
4044	Louisville, Kentucky	Yarmouth
4045	Vidalia Port, Louisiana	Landrieu
4045	Vidalia Port, Louisiana	Vitter
4046	Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts and Rhode Island	McGovern
4046	Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts and Rhode Island	Kennedy, T.
4046	Fall River Harbor, Massachusetts and Rhode Island	Kerry
4047	Clinton River, Michigan	Knollenberg
4047	Walla Walla River Basin, Oregon	Walden
4048	Hamburg and Green Oak Townships, Michigan	Rogers, M.
4049	Lake Erie at Luna Pier, Michigan	Levin, C.
4049	Lake Erie at Luna Pier, Michigan	Stabenow
4049	Lake Erie at Luna Pier, Michigan	Dingell
4050	Duluth-Superior Harbor, Minnesota and Wisconsin	Oberstar
4051	Northeast Mississippi	Wicker
4052	Dredged Material Disposal, New Jersey	LoBiondo
4053	Bayonne, New Jersey	Sires
4054	Carteret, New Jersey	Sires
4055	Gloucester County, New Jersey	Andrews, R.
4056	Perth Amboy, New Jersey	Sires
4057	Batavia, New York	Reynolds
4058	Big Sister Creek, Evans, New York	Higgins
4059	Finger Lakes, New York	Arcuri
4060	Lake Erie Shoreline, Buffalo, New York	Higgins
4061	Newtown Creek, New York	Velazquez

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
4062	Niagara River, New York	Slaughter
4063	Shore Parkway Greenway, Brooklyn, New York	Fossella
4064	Upper Delaware River Watershed, New York	Hinchey
4065	Lincoln County, North Carolina	McHenry
4066	Wilkes County, North Carolina	Foxx
4067	Town of Yadkinville, North Carolina	Burr
4067	Town of Yadkinville, North Carolina	Foxx
4068	Flood Damage Reduction, Ohio	Voinovich
4069	Lake Erie, Ohio	Kaptur
4070	Ohio River, Ohio	Voinovich
4070	Ohio River, Ohio	Wilson, C.
4071	Toledo Harbor Dredged Material Placement, Toledo, Ohio	Voinovich
4071	Toledo Harbor Dredged Material Placement, Toledo, Ohio	Kaptur
4072	Toledo Harbor, Maumee River, And Lake Channel Project, Toledo, Ohio	Kaptur
4072	Toledo Harbor, Maumee River, And Lake Channel Project, Toledo, Ohio	Voinovich
4073	Ecosystem Restoration and Fish Passage Improvements, Oregon	DeFazio
4073	Ecosystem Restoration and Fish Passage Improvements, Oregon	Blumenauer
4075	Chartiers Creek Watershed, Pennsylvania	Murphy, T.
4076	Kinzua Dam & Alleghany Reservoir, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J.
4077	Western Pennsylvania Flood Damage Reduction	Murtha
4077	Western Pennsylvania Flood Damage Reduction	Altmire
4078	Williamsport, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J.
4079	Yardley Borough, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Schumer
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Clinton
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Fortuno
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Menendez
4080	Rio Valenciano, Juncos, Puerto Rico	Lautenberg
4081	Woonsocket Local Protection Project, Blackstone River Basin, Rhode Island	Reed
4081	Woonsocket Local Protection Project, Blackstone River Basin, Rhode Island	Whitehouse
4082	Crooked Creek, Bennettsville, South Carolina	Spratt
4083	Broad River, York County, South Carolina	Spratt
4084	Savannah River, South Carolina and Georgia	Isakson
4085	Chattanooga, Tennessee	Wamp
4086	Cleveland, Tennessee	Wamp
4087	Cumberland River, Nashville, Tennessee	Alexander

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
4087	Cumberland River, Nashville, Tennessee	Cooper
4087	Cumberland River, Nashville, Tennessee	Corker
4088	Lewis, Lawrence and Wayne Counties, Tennessee	Blackburn
4089	Wolf River and Nonconnah Creek, Memphis, Tennessee	Blackburn
4090	Abilene, Texas	Neugebauer
4091	Coastal Texas Ecosystem Protection and Restoration, Texas	Ortiz
4091	Coastal Texas Ecosystem Protection and Restoration, Texas	Paul
4092	Port of Galveston, Texas	Cornyn
4092	Port of Galveston, Texas	Hutchison
4092	Port of Galveston, Texas	Paul
4093	Grand County and Moab, Utah	Matheson
4094	Southwestern Utah	Matheson
4095	Ecosystem and Hydropower Generation Dams, Vermont	Sanders
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	McDermott
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	Larsen
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	Murray
4096	Elliot Bay Seawall, Seattle, Washington	Cantwell
4097	Monongahela River Basin, Northern West Virginia	Mollohan
4098	Kenosha Harbor, Wisconsin	Ryan, P.
4099	Johnsonville Dam, Johnsonville, Wisconsin	Kohl
4099	Johnsonville Dam, Johnsonville, Wisconsin	Petri
4100	Wauwatosa, Wisconsin	Moore, G.
4101	Debris Removal	Vitter
4101	Debris Removal	Inhofe
5001(a)(01)	Manatee Harbor Basin, Florida	Buchanan
5001(a)(01)	Manatee Harbor Basin, Florida	Castor
5001(a)(02)	Tampa Harbor, Sparkman Channel and Davis Island, Florida	Nelson
5001(a)(03)	Bayou LaFourche Channel, Port Fourchon	Melancon
5001(a)(03)	West Turning Basin, Canaveral Harbor, Florida	Weldon, D.
5001(a)(04)	Calcasieu River at Devil's Elbow, Louisiana	Boustany
5001(a)(06)	Pidgeon Industrial Harbor, Pidgeon Industrial Park, Memphis Harbor, Tennessee	Blackburn
5001(a)(07)	Houston Ship Channel, Bayport Cruise Channel and Bayport Cruise Turning Basin, Texas	Cornyn
5001(a)(07)	Houston Ship Channel, Bayport Cruise Channel and Bayport Cruise Turning Basin, Texas	Hutchison
5001(a)(08)	Pix Bayou Navigation Channel, Chambers County, Texas	Paul
5001(a)(09)	Jacintoport Channel at Houston Ship Channel, Texas	Hutchison
5001(a)(09)	Jacintoport Channel at Houston Ship Channel, Texas	Cornyn
5001(a)(10)	Racine Harbor, Wisconsin	Ryan, P.
5001(a)(10)	Racine Harbor, Wisconsin	Kohl
5002(d)(01)	Charlotte Harbor watershed, Florida	Buchanan

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5002(d)(02)	Georgia Watershed Assessment Plan	Scott
5002(d)(02)	Georgia Watershed Assessment Plan	Price
5002(d)(03)	Kinkaid Lake, Jaskson County, Illinois	Costello
5002(d)(04)	Amite River River Basin, Louisiana	Baker
5002(d)(05)	East Atchafalaya Basin, Louisiana	Baker
5002(d)(06)	Red River Watershed, Louisiana	McCrery
5002(d)(07)	Taunton River Basin, Massachusetts	Lynch
5002(d)(07)	Taunton River Basin, Massachusetts	Kerry
5002(d)(07)	Taunton River Basin, Massachusetts	Kennedy, T.
5002(d)(08)	Marlboro Township, New Jersey	Holt
5002(d)(08)	Marlboro Township, New Jersey	Pallone
5002(d)(09)	Esopus, Plattekill & Rondout Creeks, Greene, Sullivan, and Ulster Counties, New York	Hinchey
5002(d)(10)	Greenwood Lake Watershed, New York and New Jersey	Garrett
5002(d)(10)	Greenwood Lake Watershed, New York and New Jersey	Hall, J.
5002(d)(11)	Long Island Sound Watershed, New York	Bishop, T.
5002(d)(12)	Ramapo River Watershed, New York	Hall, J.
5002(d)(13)	Tuscarawas River Basin, Ohio	Sutton
5002(d)(14)	Western Lake Erie Basin, Ohio	Kaptur
5002(d)(15)	Western Pennsylvania Watershed	Altmire
5002(d)(16)	Otter Creek, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
5002(d)(17)	Unami Creek Watershed, Pennsylvania	Murphy, P.
5002(d)(18)	Sauk River Basin, Washington	Larsen
5003(a)(01)	Fish Creek Dam, Blaine County, Idaho	Simpson
5003(a)(02)	Keith Creek Dam, Rockford, Illinois	Obama
5003(a)(02)	Keith Creek Dam, Rockford, Illinois	Durbin
5003(a)(03)	Mount Zion Mill Pond Dam, Fulton County, Indiana	Lugar
5003(a)(03)	Mount Zion Mill Pond Dam, Fulton County, Indiana	Bayh
5003(a)(04)	Congers Lake Dam, Rocklan County, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(04)	Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michigan	Levin, C.
5003(a)(04)	Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michigan	Stabenow
5003(a)(04)	Hamilton Dam, Flint River, Flint, Michigan	Kildee
5003(a)(05)	Congers Lake Dam, Rockland County, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(06)	Lake Lucille Dam, New City, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(07)	Peconic River Dams, Town of Riverhead, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(08)	Pine Grove Lakes Dam, Sloatsburg, New York	Clinton
5003(a)(09)	State Dam, Auburn, New York	Arcuri
5003(a)(10)	Whaley Lake, Town of Pawling, New York	Hall, J.
5003(a)(11)	Brightwood Dam, Concord Township, Ohio	Voinovich
5003(a)(12)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(12)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Casey

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5003(a)(12)	Ingham Spring Dam, Solebury Township, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(13)	Leaser Lake Dam, Lehigh County	Dent
5003(a)(14)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(14)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Specter
5003(a)(14)	Stillwater Dam, Monroe County, Pennsylvania	Casey
5003(a)(15)	Wissahickon Dam, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5004(b)	Arkansas River Levees	Snyder
5005(a)(29)	Ascension Parish, Louisiana	Melancon
5005(a)(29)	Ascension Parish, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(30)	East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(31)	Iberville, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(32)	Livingston, Louisiana	Baker
5005(a)(33)	Pointe Coupee	Baker
5006(a)(09)	Hidalgo County	Hinojosa
5006(a)(10)	Marana	Grijalva
5006(a)(10)	Marana	Giffords
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community	Pryor
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community	Lincoln
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community, Arkansas	Everett
5006(a)(11)	East Arkansas Enterprise Community	Berry
5006(a)(12)	Desert Hot Springs	Lewis, J.
5006(a)(13)	City of Huntington Beach	Rohrabacher
5006(a)(14)	City of Inglewood	Waters
5006(a)(15)	Los Osos	Capps
5006(a)(16)	Norwalk, California	Napolitano
5006(a)(17)	Park City	Bishop, R.
5007(01)	Whittier, Alaska	Stevens
5007(01)	Whittier, Alaska	Young, D.
5007(02)	Laguna Creek, California	Boxer
5007(03)	Daytona Beach Shore Protection Project	Mica
5007(04)	Flagler Beach Shore Protection Project	Mica
5007(05)	St. Johns County Shore Protection Project	Mica
5007(06)	Chenier Plain, Louisiana	Vitter
5007(06)	Chenier Plain, Louisiana	Boustany
5007(07)	False River	Baker
5007(08)	North River, Peabody	Tierney
5007(08)	North River, Peabody	Kennedy, T.
5007(08)	North River, Peabody	Kerry
5007(09)	Fulmer Creek	Arcuri
5007(10)	Moyer Creek	Arcuri
5007(11)	Steele Creek	Arcuri

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5007(12)	Oriskany Wildlife Management Area, Rome	Arcuri
5007(13)	Whitney Point	Arcuri
5007(14)	Chenango Lake, Chenango County	Arcuri
5008(a)(01)	Little Red River Irrigation District	Pryor
5008(a)(01)	Little Red River Irrigation District	Snyder
5008(a)(01)	Little Red River Irrigation District	Lincoln
5008(a)(02)	Fountain Creek, North of Pueblo, Colorado	Salazar, K.
5008(a)(03)	Egmont Key, Florida	Young, C.W.
5008(a)(03)	Egmont Key, Florida	Castor
5008(a)(04)	Sabine-Neches Waterway	Poe
5008(a)(04)	Sabine-Neches Waterway	Hutchison
5008(a)(04)	Sabine-Neches Waterway	Cornyn
5008(a)(05)	University Lakes, Baton Rouge (City Park)	Baker
5009	Southeastern Water Resources Assessment	Duncan
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Grassley
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Bond
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Carnahan
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	McCaskill
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Graves
5010	Francis E. Walter Dam	Schwartz
5010	Missouri & Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement	Clay
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Levin
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Dingell
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	McCotter
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Clinton
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Specter
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Kohl
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Voinovich
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Bayh
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Stabenow
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Durbin
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Brown
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Casey
5011	Great Lakes Fishery And Ecosystem Restoration Program	Schumer
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Dingell
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Specter
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	McCotter
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Brown

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Levin
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Kohl
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Voinovich
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Bayh
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Schumer
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Durbin
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Clinton
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Casey
5012	Great Lakes Remedial Action Plans And Sediment Remediation	Stabenow
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Brown
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	McCotter
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Dingell
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Casey
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Durbin
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Clinton
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Stabenow
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Schumer
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Bayh
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Voinovich
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Levin
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Specter
5013	Great Lakes Tributary Models	Kohl
5014(a)	Great Lakes Navigation	Oberstar
5014(b)	Great Lakes Pilot Project	Oberstar
5015	St. Lawrence Seaway	Voinovich
5015	St. Lawrence Seaway	Oberstar
5016	Upper Mississippi River Dispersal Barrier Project	Klobuchar
5016	Upper Mississippi River Dispersal Barrier Project	Oberstar
5016	Upper Mississippi River Dispersal Barrier Project	Coleman
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Harkin
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Nelson

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Thune
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Hagel
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Conrad
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Baucus
5018	Missouri River And Tributaries, Mitigation, Recovery, And Restoration, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, And Wyoming	Tester
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Specter
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Biden
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Warner
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Hinchee
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Casey
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Carper
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Casey
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Carper
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Arcuri
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Gillibrand
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Fallin
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Holden
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Murphy, P.
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Schwartz
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Platts
5019	Susquehanna, Delaware, And Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Specter

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Biden
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Warner
5019	Delaware, Susquehanna, and Potomac River Basins, Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and Virginia	Dent
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Webb
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Specter
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Cardin
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Casey
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Mikulski
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Gilchrest
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Sarbanes
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Hoyer
5020	Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration And Protection Program, Maryland, Pennsylvania, And Virginia	Warner
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Webb
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Mikulski
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Cardin
5021	Chesapeake Bay Oyster Restoration, Virginia and Maryland	Webb
5022	Hypoxia Assessment	Pryce
5023	Potomac River Watershed Assessment and Tributary Strategy and Evaluation and Monitoring Program	Moran
5024	Lock and Dam Security	Duncan
5025	Research and Development Program for Columbia and Snake River Salmon Survival	Baird
5025	Research and Development Program for Columbia and Snake River Salmon Survival	Blumenauer
5027	Rehabilitation	Oberstar
5028	Auburn, Alabama	Rogers, Mike D.
5029	Pinhook Creek, Huntsville, Alabama	Cramer
5030	Alaska	Murkowski
5030	Alaska	Stevens
5030	Alaska	Young, D.
5031	Barrow, Alaska	Young, D.
5032	Lowell Creek Tunnel, Seward, Alaska	Young, D.

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5033	St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors, Alaska	Stevens
5033	St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors, Alaska	Murkowski
5033	St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors, Alaska	Young, D.
5034	Tanana River, Alaska	Young, D.
5035	Wrangell Harbor	Murkowski
5035	Wrangell Harbor	Young, D.
5036	Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas	Lincoln
5036	Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas	Berry
5036	Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas	Pryor
5037	Des Arc Levee Protection	Berry
5037	Des Arc Levee Protection	Lincoln
5037	Des Arc Levee Protection	Pryor
5038	Loomis Landing	Berry
5039	California	Boxer
5040	Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and Tributaries, Stockton, California	Cardoza
5040	Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and Tributaries, Stockton, California	McNerney
5040	Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and Tributaries, Stockton, California	Boxer
5041	Cambria, California	Capps
5042	Contra Costa Canal, Oakley and Knightsen, California; Mallard Slough, Pittsburg, California	Miller, George
5042	Contra Costa Canal, Oakley and Knightsen, California; Mallard Slough, Pittsburg, California	Tauscher
5042	Contra Costa Canal, Oakley and Knightsen, California; Mallard Slough, Pittsburg, California	McNerney
5043	Dana Point Harbor, California	Campbell
5043	East San Joaquin County, California	McNerney
5045	Eastern Santa Clara River Basin, California	McKeon
5046	LA-3 Dredged Material Ocean Disposal Site Designation, California	Boxer
5047	Lancaster, California	McCarthy
5048	Los Osos, California	Capps
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Nunes
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Radanovich
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Boxer
5049	Pine Flat Dam Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Costa
5050	Raymond Basin, Six Basin, Chino Basin, and San Gabriel Basin	Schiff
5050	Raymond Basin, Six Basin, Chino Basin, and San Gabriel Basin	Dreier
5051	San Francisco, California	Pelosi

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5052	San Francisco, California, Waterfront Area	Boxer
5052	San Francisco, California, Waterfront Area	Pelosi
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Miller, George
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Tauscher
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Thompson
5053	San Pablo Bay, California, Watershed and Suisun Marsh Ecosystem Restoration	Boxer
5054	St. Helena, California	Boxer
5054	St. Helena, California	Thompson
5055	Upper Calaveras River, Stockton, California	McNerney
5055	Upper Calaveras River, Stockton, California	Cardoza
5055	Upper Calaveras River, Stockton, California	Boxer
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Domenici
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Bingaman
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Salazar
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Domenici
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Udall, T.
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Hutchison
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Pearce
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Bingaman
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program	Wilson, H.
5056	Rio Grande Environmental Management Program, Colorado, New Mexico, And Texas	Cornyn
5057	Charles Hervey Townshend Breakwater, New Haven Harbor, Connecticut	Dodd
5057	Charles Hervey Townshend Breakwater, New Haven Harbor, Connecticut	Lieberman
5057	Charles Hervey Townshend Breakwater, New Haven Harbor, Connecticut	DeLauro
5058	Stamford, Connecticut	Lieberman
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Gilchrest
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Castle
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Carper
5059	Delmarva Conservation Corridor	Biden
5060	Anacostia River, District of Columbia and Maryland	Warner
5060	Anacostia River, District of Columbia and Maryland	Cardin
5060	District of Columbia and Maryland	Norton

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5060	Anacostia River, District of Columbia and Maryland	Mikuiski
5061	East Central and Northeast Florida	Mica
5062	Florida Keys Water Quality Improvement	Ros-Lehtinen
5063	Lake Worth, Florida	Klein
5064	Big Creek, Georgia, Watershed Management & Restoration Program	Price
5064	Big Creek, Georgia, Watershed Management & Restoration Program	Isakson
5064	Big Creek, Georgia, Watershed Management & Restoration Program	Chambliss
5065	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District	Isakson
5065	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District	Chambliss
5066	Savannah, Georgia	Isakson
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Crapo
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Ensign
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Bennett
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Reid
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Craig
5067	Idaho, Montana, Rural Nevada, New Mexico, Rural Utah, And Wyoming	Thomas
5068	Riley Creek Recreation Area	Simpson
5069	Floodplain Mapping, Little Calumet River	Jackson
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Shimkus
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Bond
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	McCaskill
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Obama
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Durbin
5070	Reconstruction of Illinois Flood Protection Projects	Costello
5071	Illinois River Basin Restoration	Obama
5071	Illinois River Basin Restoration	Durbin
5071	Illinois River Basin Restoration	LaHood
5072	Promontory Point Third-Party Review, Chicago Shoreline, Chicago, Illinois	Obama
5072	Promontory Point Third-Party Review, Chicago Shoreline, Chicago, Illinois	Jackson
5073	Kaskaskia River Basin, Illinois, Restoration	Costello
5073	Kaskaskia River Basin, Illinois, Restoration	Durbin
5073	Kaskaskia River Basin, Illinois, Restoration	Shimkus
5074	Southwest Illinois	Costello

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5075	Calumet Region	Visclosky
5076	Floodplain Mapping, Missouri River	King, S.
5077	Paducah, Kentucky	Whitfield
5078	Southern & Eastern Kentucky	Rogers, H.
5079	Winchester, Kentucky	Chandler
5080	Baton Rouge, Louisiana	Baker
5081	Calcasieu Ship Channel, Louisiana	Boustany
5082	East Atchafalaya Basin and Amite River Basin Region	Baker
5083	Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock Project, Louisiana	Vitter
5084	Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana	Vitter
5085	Southeast Louisiana Region, Louisiana	Vitter
5086	West Baton Rouge Parish	Baker
5087	Charlestown, Maryland	Gilchrest
5088	St. Mary's River, Maryland	Hoyer
5088	St. Mary's River, Maryland	Cardin
5089	Massachusetts Dredged Material Disposal Sites	Delahunt
5090	Ontonagon Harbor, Michigan	Stupak
5091	Crookston	Peterson, C.
5092	Garrison and Kathio Township	Klobuchar
5092	Garrison and Kathio Township	Oberstar
5093	Itasca County	Coleman
5093	Itasca County	Oberstar
5094	Minneapolis	Klobuchar
5094	Minneapolis	Ellison
5095	Northeastern Minnesota	Klobuchar
5095	Northeastern Minnesota	Oberstar
5096	Wild Rice River, Minnesota	Peterson, C.
5096	Wild Rice River, Minnesota	Coleman
5096	Wild Rice River, Minnesota	Klobuchar
5097	Mississippi	Cochran
5097	Mississippi	Lott
5098	Harrison, Hancock & Jackson Counties, Mississippi	Taylor
5099	Mississippi River, Missouri and Illinois	Costello
5100	St. Louis, Missouri	Carnahan
5101	St. Louis Regional Greenways, St. Louis, Missouri	Bond
5102	Missoula, Montana	Baucus
5103	St. Mary Project, Glacier County, Montana	Tester
5103	St. Mary Project, Glacier County, Montana	Baucus
5104	Lower Platte River Watershed	Hagel
5104	Lower Platte River Watershed	Fortenberry
5104	Lower Platte River Watershed	Nelson

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5105	Hackensack Meadowlands Area, New Jersey	Rothman
5106	Atlantic Coast of New York	Bishop, T.
5107	College Point, New York City	Crowley
5108	Flushing Bay and Creek	Crowley
5109	Hudson River	Nadler
5110	Mount Morris Dam	Reynolds
5111	North Hempstead and Glen Cove North Shore Watershed Restoration, New York	Clinton
5112	Rochester, New York	Clinton
5113	North Carolina	Burr
5114	Stanly County, North Carolina	Burr
5114	Stanly County, North Carolina	Hayes
5115	John H. Kerr Dam and Reservoir, North Carolina	Butterfield
5116	Cincinnati, Ohio	Chabot
5116	Cincinnati, Ohio	Schmidt
5117	Ohio River Basin Environmental Management	Lugar
5117	Ohio River Basin Environmental Management	Rahall
5118	Toussaint River Navigation Project, Carroll Township, Ohio	Kaptur
5118	Toussaint River Navigation Project, Carroll Township, Ohio	Voinovich
5119	Statewide Comprehensive Water Planning, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5120	Fern Ridge Dam, Oregon	DeFazio
5121	Allegheny County, Pennsylvania	Doyle
5122	Clinton County, Pennsylvania	Peterson, J
5123	Kehly Run Dams, Pennsylvania	Holden
5124	Lehigh River, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania	Dent
5125	Northeastern Pennsylvania	Carney
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Arcuri
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Hinchey
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Clinton
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Casey
5126	Upper Susquehanna River Basin, Pennsylvania and New York	Specter
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Menendez
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Lautenberg
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Schumer
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Clinton
5127	Cano Martin Pena, San Juan, Puerto Rico	Fortuno
5128	Lake Marion and Moultrie, South Carolina	Clyburn
5129	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, and Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration, South Dakota	Herseth
5129	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, and Terrestrial Wildlife Habitat Restoration, South Dakota	Thune
5130	East Tennessee	Duncan

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5131	Fritz Landing, Tennessee	Tanner
5132	J. Percy Priest Dam and Reservoir, Tennessee	Gordon
5133	Nashville, Tennessee	Alexander
5133	Nashville, Tennessee	Corker
5134	Nonconnah Weir, Memphis, Tennessee	Alexander
5134	Nonconnah Weir, Memphis, Tennessee	Blackburn
5135	Tennessee River Partnership	Duncan
5136	Town Creek, Lenoir City, Tennessee	Duncan
5137	Upper Mississippi Embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi	Berry
5137	Upper Mississippi Embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi	Cohen
5137	Upper Mississippi Embayment, Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi	Blackburn
5138	Texas	Hutchison
5138	Texas	Cornyn
5139	Bosque River Watershed, Texas	Edwards
5140	Dallas County Region	Johnson, E. B.
5141	Dallas Floodway	Hutchison
5141	Dallas Floodway	Cornyn
5141	Dallas Floodway	Johnson, E. B.
5141	Dallas Floodway	Sessions
5142	Harris County	Cornyn
5142	Harris County	Hutchison
5142	Harris County	Culberson
5143	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Barton
5143	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Cornyn
5143	Johnson Creek, Arlington, Texas	Hutchison
5144	Onion Creek, Texas	Doggett
5144	Onion Creek, Texas	Cornyn
5145	Connecticut River Dams, Vermont	Sanders
5146	Lake Champlain Canal, Vermont and New York	Sanders
5146	Lake Champlain Canal, Vermont and New York	Clinton
5147	Dyke Marsh, Fairfax County, Virginia	Moran
5147	Dyke Marsh, Fairfax County, Virginia	Webb
5148	Eastern Shore and Southwest Virginia	Webb
5148	Eastern Shore and Southwest Virginia	Boucher
5149	James River, Virginia	Warner
5149	James River, Virginia	Webb
5150	Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington	Murray
5150	Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington	Cantwell
5150	Baker Bay and Ilwaco Harbor, Washington	Baird

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5151	Hamilton Island Campground, Washington	Baird
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Murray
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Cantwell
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Wyden
5152	Erosion Control, Puget Island, Wahkiakum County, Washington	Baird
5153	Willapa Bay, Washington	Baird
5154	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control	Murtha
5154	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control	Mollohan
5154	West Virginia and Pennsylvania Flood Control	Doyle
5155	Central West Virginia	Capito
5155	Central West Virginia	Capito
5156	Southern West Virginia	Rahall
5157(12)	Perris, California	Issa
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Jackson
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Obama
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Durbin
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Kirk
5157(13)	Thornton Reservoir, Cook County, Illinois	Rush
5157(14)	Larose to Golden Meadow, Louisiana	Melancon
5157(15)	Buffalo Bayou, Texas	Hutchison
5157(15)	Buffalo Bayou, Texas	Cornyn
5157(15)	Buffalo Bayou, Texas	Culberson
5157(16)	Halls Bayou, Texas	Cornyn
5157(16)	Halls Bayou, Texas	Hutchison
5157(16)	Halls Bayou, Texas	Green, G.
5157(17)	Menominee Rive Watershed, Wisconsin	Kohl
5158(001)	Jackson County, Mississippi	Cochran
5158(001)	Jackson County, Mississippi	Lott
5158(072)	Charleston, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(078)	St. Clair County	Bachus
5158(079)	Crawford County, Arkansas	Boozman
5158(080)	Alameda and Contra Costa Counties	Tauscher
5158(080)	Alameda and Contra Costa Counties	Miller
5158(081)	Aliso Creek, California	Campbell
5158(082)	Amador County, California	Boxer
5158(083)	Arcadia, Sierra Madre and Upland	Dreier
5158(084)	Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency	Lewis, J.
5158(085)	Brawley Colonia	Filner
5158(086)	Calaveras County, California	Boxer
5158(087)	Contra Costa Water District	Tauscher
5158(087)	Contra Costa Water District	Miller, George

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(087)	Contra Costa Water District	McNerney
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Eshoo
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Tauscher
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Miller, George
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Lofgren
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	McNerney
5158(088)	East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara Areas	Honda
5158(089)	East Palo Alto, California	Boxer
5158(090)	Imperial County	Filner
5158(091)	La Habra, California	Miller, Gary
5158(092)	La Mirada, California	Boxer
5158(093)	Los Angeles County, California	Miller, Gary
5158(093)	Los Angeles County, California	Waxman
5158(093)	Los Angeles County, California	Boxer
5158(095)	Malibu, California	Boxer
5158(095)	Malibu, California	Waxman
5158(096)	Montebello, California	Boxer
5158(097)	New River, California	Hunter
5158(098)	Orange County, California	Miller, Gary
5158(099)	Port Of Stockton, Stockton, California	Boxer
5158(100)	Perris, California	Boxer
5158(101)	San Bernadino County, California	Miller, Gary
5158(102)	Santa Clara	McNerney
5158(102)	Santa Clara	Lofgren
5158(102)	Santa Clara	Honda
5158(102)	Santa Clara	Eshoo
5158(103)	Santa Monica, California	Boxer
5158(103)	Santa Monica, California	Waxman
5158(104)	Southern Los Angeles County, California	[Millender-McDonald]
5158(105)	Stockton, California	Cardoza
5158(105)	Stockton, California	McNerney
5158(106)	Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, California	Filner
5158(107)	Whittier, California	Miller, Gary
5158(108)	Arkansas Valley Conduit, Colorado	Salazar, J.
5158(108)	Arkansas Valley Conduit, Colorado	Salazar, K.
5158(109)	Boulder County, Colorado	Salazar, K.
5158(110)	Montezuma and La Plata Counties, Colorado	Salazar, J.
5158(111)	Otero, Bent, Crowley, Kiowa, and Prowers Counties, Colorado	Salazar, J.
5158(112)	Pueblo and Otero Counties, Colorado	Musgrave
5158(113)	Enfield, Connecticut	Lieberman

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(114)	Ledyard and Montville, Connecticut	Courtney
5158(115)	New Haven, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(116)	Norwalk, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(117)	Plainville, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(118)	Southington, Connecticut	Larson
5158(118)	Southington, Connecticut	Lieberman
5158(119)	Anacostia River	Hoyer
5158(119)	Anacostia River	Norton
5158(120)	Washington, District	Norton
5158(121)	Charlotte County	Mack
5158(122)	Charlotte, Lee & Collier Counties	Buchanan
5158(122)	Charlotte, Lee & Collier Counties	Mack
5158(123)	Collier County	Mack
5158(124)	Hillsborough County, Florida	Castor
5158(124)	Hillsborough County, Florida	Nelson
5158(125)	Jacksonville, Florida	Brown, C.
5158(125)	Jacksonville, Florida	Martinez
5158(126)	Sarasota County, Florida	Nelson
5158(127)	South Seminole and North Orange Counties	Mica
5158(128)	Miami-Dade County, Florida	Nelson
5158(129)	Palm Beach County, Florida	Nelson
5158(129)	Palm Beach County, Florida	Hastings, A.
5158(130)	Albany, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(130)	Albany, Georgia	Isakson
5158(131)	Banks County, Georgia	Isakson
5158(131)	Banks County, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(132)	Berrien County, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(132)	Berrien County, Georgia	Isakson
5158(133)	Chattooga County, Georgia	Isakson
5158(133)	Chattooga County, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(134)	Chattooga, Floyd, Gordon, Walker, And Whitfield Counties, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(134)	Chattooga, Floyd, Gordon, Walker, And Whitfield Counties, Georgia	Isakson
5158(135)	Dahlonega, Georgia	Isakson
5158(135)	Dahlonega, Georgia	Deal
5158(135)	Dahlonega, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(136)	East Point, Georgia	Isakson
5158(136)	East Point, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(137)	Fayetteville, Grantville, LaGrange, Pine Mountain (Harris County), Douglasville and Carrollton	Westmoreland
5158(138)	Meriwether and Spalding Counties, Georgia	Westmoreland

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(139)	Moultrie, Georgia	Isakson
5158(139)	Moultrie, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(140)	Stephens County/City Of Toccoa, Georgia	Chambliss
5158(140)	Stephens County/City Of Toccoa, Georgia	Isakson
5158(141)	North Vernon and Butlerville	Hill
5158(142)	Salem, Washington County	Hill
5158(143)	Atchison, Kansas	Roberts
5158(143)	Surfside, South Carolina	Graham
5158(143)	Central Kentucky	Chandler
5158(145)	Lafayette, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(145)	Lafayette, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(146)	Lafourche Parish, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(146)	Lafourche Parish, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(147)	Lake Charles, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(147)	Lake Charles, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(148)	Northwest Louisiana Council Of Governments, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(148)	Northwest Louisiana Council Of Governments, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(149)	Ouachita Parish, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(149)	Ouachita Parish, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(150)	Plaquemine, Louisiana	Baker
5158(151)	Rapides Area Planning Commission, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(151)	Rapides Area Planning Commission, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(152)	Shreveport, Louisiana	McCrery
5158(153)	South Central Planning And Development Commission, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(153)	South Central Planning And Development Commission, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(154)	Union-Lincoln Regional Water Supply Project, Louisiana	Vitter
5158(154)	Union-Lincoln Regional Water Supply Project, Louisiana	Landrieu
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Warner
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Webb
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Cardin
5158(155)	Chesapeake Bay Improvements, Maryland, Virginia and District of Columbia	Mikulski
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Warner
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Mikulski
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Cardin
5158(156)	Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland And Virginia	Webb
5158(157)	Michigan Combined Sewer Overflows	Levin

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(157)	Michigan Combined Sewer Overflows	Stabenow
5158(158)	Central Iron Range Sanitary Sewer District, Minnesota	Oberstar
5158(159)	Central Lake Region Sanitary District, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(160)	Goodview, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(161)	Grand Rapids, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(161)	Grand Rapids, Minnesota	Oberstar
5158(162)	Willmar, Minnesota	Coleman
5158(163)	Biloxi, Mississippi	Taylor
5158(164)	Corinth, Mississippi	Cochran
5158(164)	Corinth, Mississippi	Lott
5158(165)	Gulfport, Mississippi	Taylor
5158(166)	Harrison County, Mississippi	Taylor
5158(167)	Jackson County, Mississippi	Lott
5158(168)	Clark County, Nevada	Porter
5158(168)	Clark County, Nevada	Reid
5158(169)	Clean Water Coalition, Nevada	Ensign
5158(169)	Clean Water Coalition, Nevada	Reid
5158(170)	Glendale Dam Diversion Structure, Nevada	Reid
5158(170)	Glendale Dam Diversion Structure, Nevada	Ensign
5158(171)	Henderson	Porter
5158(172)	Indian Springs, Nevada	Reid
5158(173)	Reno, Nevada	Reid
5158(173)	Reno, Nevada	Ensign
5158(174)	Washoe County, Nevada	Reid
5158(174)	Washoe County, Nevada	Ensign
5158(175)	Cranford Township, New Jersey	Lautenburg
5158(175)	Cranford Township, New Jersey	Menendez
5158(176)	Middletown Township, New Jersey	Lautenburg
5158(176)	Middletown Township, New Jersey	Menendez
5158(177)	Paterson, New Jersey	Pascrell
5158(178)	Rahway Valley, New Jersey	Menendez
5158(178)	Rahway Valley, New Jersey	Lautenburg
5158(179)	Babylon, New York	Schumer
5158(179)	Babylon, New York	Clinton
5158(180)	Ellicottville, New York	Kuhl
5158(181)	Elmira, New York	Clinton
5158(181)	Elmira, New York	Schumer
5158(182)	Essex Hamlet, New York	McHugh
5158(182)	Essex Hamlet, New York	Schumer
5158(182)	Essex Hamlet, New York	Clinton
5158(183)	Fleming, New York	Schumer

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(183)	Fleming, New York	Clinton
5158(184)	Kiryas Joel, New York	Schumer
5158(184)	Kiryas Joel, New York	Clinton
5158(185)	Niagara Falls, New York	Clinton
5158(185)	Niagara Falls, New York	Schumer
5158(186)	Patchogue, New York	Schumer
5158(186)	Patchogue, New York	Clinton
5158(187)	Sennett, New York	Arcuri
5158(188)	Springport and Fleming, New York	Arcuri
5158(189)	Wellsville, New York	Kuhl
5158(190)	Yates County, New York	Clinton
5158(190)	Yates County, New York	Schumer
5158(191)	Cabarrus County, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(192)	Cary, Wake County, North Carolina	Dole
5158(192)	Cary, Wake County, North Carolina	Burr
5158(193)	Charlotte, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(193)	Charlotte, North Carolina	Dole
5158(194)	Fayetteville, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(194)	Fayetteville, North Carolina	Dole
5158(195)	Mooresville, North Carolina	McHenry
5158(195)	Mooresville, North Carolina	Dole
5158(196)	Neuse Regional Water And Sewer Authority, North Carolina	Dole
5158(196)	Neuse Regional Water And Sewer Authority, North Carolina	Burr
5158(197)	Richmond County, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(198)	Union County, North Carolina	Hayes
5158(199)	Washington County, North Carolina	Dole
5158(199)	Washington County, North Carolina	Burr
5158(200)	Winston-Salem, North Carolina	Watt
5158(200)	Winston-Salem, North Carolina	Dole
5158(201)	North Dakota	Conrad
5158(202)	Devil's Lake, North Dakota	Pomeroy
5158(202)	Devils Lake, North Dakota	Conrad
5158(203)	Saipan, Northern Mariana Islands	Young
5158(204)	Akron, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(205)	Burr Oak Regional Water District, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(206)	Cincinnati, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(207)	Cleveland, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(208)	Columbus, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(209)	Dayton, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(210)	Defiance County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(211)	Fostoria, Ohio	Voinovich

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(212)	Fremont, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(213)	Lake County, Ohio	LaTourette
5158(214)	Lawrence County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(215)	Meigs County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(216)	Mentor-on-Lake, Ohio	LaTourette
5158(217)	Vinton County, Ohio	Voinovich
5158(218)	Willowick, Ohio	LaTourette
5158(219)	Ada, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(220)	Alva, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(221)	Ardmore, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(222)	Bartlesville, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(223)	Bethany, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(224)	Chickasha, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(225)	Disney And Langley, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(226)	Durant, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(227)	Eastern Oklahoma State University, Wilberton, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(228)	Guymon, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(229)	Konawa, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(230)	Lugert-Altus Irrigation District, Altus, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(231)	Midwest City, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(232)	Mustang, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(233)	Norman, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(234)	Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Guymon, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(235)	Weatherford, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(236)	Woodward, Oklahoma	Inhofe
5158(237)	Albany, Oregon	Defazio
5158(238)	Beaver Creek Reservoir, Pennsylvania	Specter
5158(238)	Beaver Creek Reservoir, Pennsylvania	Casey
5158(239)	Hatfield Borough, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5158(240)	Lehigh County, Pennsylvania	Dent
5158(241)	North Wales Borough, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5158(242)	Pen Argyl, Pennsylvania	Dent
5158(243)	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	Schwartz
5158(244)	Stockerton Borough, Borough of Tatamy, and Palmer Township	Dent
5158(245)	Vera Cruz, Pennsylvania	Dent
5158(246)	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	Fortuno
5158(247)	Charleston, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(247)	Charleston, South Carolina	Graham
5158(247)	Charleston, South Carolina	Clyburn
5158(248)	Charleston and West Ashley, South Carolina	Graham
5158(249)	Crooked Creek, Bennettsville, South Carolina	Spratt

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
5158(250)	Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Graham
5158(250)	Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(251)	North Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Graham
5158(251)	North Myrtle Beach, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(252)	Surfside, South Carolina	Brown, H.
5158(253)	Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation (Dewey And Ziebach Counties) And Perkins And Meade Counties, South Dakota	Johnson
5158(253)	Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation (Dewey And Ziebach Counties) And Perkins And Meade Counties, South Dakota	Thune
5158(254)	Athens, Tennessee	Duncan
5158(255)	Blaine, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(256)	Clairborne County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(257)	Giles, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(257)	Giles, Tennessee	Davis, L.
5158(258)	Grainger County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(259)	Hamilton County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(260)	Harrogate, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(261)	Johnson County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(262)	Knoxville, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(263)	Nashville, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(264)	Lewis, Lawrence And Wayne, Tennessee	Davis, L.
5158(264)	Lewis, Lawrence And Wayne Counties, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(265)	Oak Ridge, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(265)	Oak Ridge, Tennessee	Corker
5158(266)	Plateau Utility District, Morgan County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(267)	Shelby County, Tennessee	Alexander
5158(268)	Central Texas	Edwards
5158(269)	El Paso County, Texas	Reyes
5158(270)	Fort Bend County	Lampson
5158(271)	Duchesne, Iron, and Uintah Counties, Utah	Matheson
5158(272)	Northern West Virginia	Mollohan
5158(273)	United States Virgin Islands	Christensen
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Martinez
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Nelson
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Hastings, A.
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Klein
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Diaz-Balart, M.
6001	Hillsboro and Okeechobee Aquifer, Florida	Mahoney
6002	Pilot Projects	Diaz-Balart, M.
6003	Maximum Costs	Hastings, A.
6003	Maximum Costs	Martinez
6003	Maximum Costs	Nelson

Water Resources Development Act of 2007		
Conference Section	Project Name	Member
6004	Credit	Mahoney
6004	Credit	Hastings, A.
6004	Credit	Diaz-Balart, M.
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Martinez
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Hastings, A.
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Mahoney
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Diaz-Balart, M.
6006	Critical Restoration Projects	Nelson
6007	Regional Engineering Model For Environmental Restoration	Oberstar
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Melancon
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Jindal
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Boustany
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Baker
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Durbin
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Obama
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Vitter
Title VII	Louisiana Coastal Area	Landrieu
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Graves
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Costello
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Harkin
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Bond
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Durbin
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	LaHood
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Hulshof
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Klobuchar
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Obama
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	McCaskill
Title VIII	Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway	Grassley

From the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for consideration of the House bill and the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

JAMES L. OBERSTAR,
 EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON,
 ELLEN O. TAUSCHER,
 BRIAN BAIRD,
 BRIAN HIGGINS,
 HARRY E. MITCHELL,
 STEVE KAGEN,
 JERRY MCNERNEY,
 JOHN L. MICA,
 JOHN J. DUNCAN, Jr.,
 VERNON J. EHLERS,
 R.H. BAKER,
 HENRY E. BROWN, Jr.,
 JOHN BOOZMAN,

From the Committee on Natural Resources, for consideration of secs. 2014, 2023, and 6009 of the House bill, and secs. 3023, 5008, and 5016 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

NICK RAHALL,
 GRACE F. NAPOLITANO,
 CATHY MCMORRIS RODGERS,

Managers on the Part of the House.

BARBARA BOXER,
 MAX BAUCUS,
 JOE LIEBERMAN,
 TOM CARPER,
 HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON,
 FRANK R. LAUTENBERG,
 JAMES M. INHOFE,
 JOHN WARNER,
 GEORGE V. VOINOVICH,
 JOHNNY ISAKSON,
 DAVID VITTER,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

