

AMENDMENT NO. _____ Calendar No. _____

Purpose: In the nature of a substitute.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES—118th Cong., 2d Sess.

S. _____

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

Referred to the Committee on _____ and
ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE intended to be proposed by Mr. CARPER (for himself and Mrs. CAPITO)

Viz:

1 Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the fol-
2 lowing:

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

4 (a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This Act may be cited as the
5 “Water Resources Development Act of 2024”.

6 (b) **TABLE OF CONTENTS.**—The table of contents for
7 this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

Sec. 2. Definition of Secretary.

TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 101. Notice to Congress regarding WRDA implementation.

Sec. 102. Prior guidance.

Sec. 103. Ability to pay.

Sec. 104. Federal interest determinations.

- Sec. 105. Annual report to Congress.
- Sec. 106. Processing timelines.
- Sec. 107. Services of volunteers.
- Sec. 108. Support of Army civil works missions.
- Sec. 109. Inland waterway projects.
- Sec. 110. Leveraging Federal infrastructure for increased water supply.
- Sec. 111. Outreach and access.
- Sec. 112. Model development.
- Sec. 113. Planning assistance for States.
- Sec. 114. Corps of Engineers Levee Owners Advisory Board.
- Sec. 115. Silver Jackets program.
- Sec. 116. Tribal partnership program.
- Sec. 117. Tribal project implementation pilot program.
- Sec. 118. Eligibility for inter-Tribal consortiums.
- Sec. 119. Sense of Congress relating to the management of recreation facilities.

TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

- Sec. 201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.
- Sec. 202. Vertical integration and acceleration of studies.
- Sec. 203. Expedited completion.
- Sec. 204. Expedited completion of other feasibility studies.
- Sec. 205. Alexandria to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, feasibility study.
- Sec. 206. Craig Harbor, Alaska.
- Sec. 207. Sussex County, Delaware.
- Sec. 208. Forecast-informed reservoir operations in the Colorado River Basin.
- Sec. 209. Beaver Lake, Arkansas, reallocation study.
- Sec. 210. Gathright Dam, Virginia, study.
- Sec. 211. Delaware Inland Bays Watershed Study.
- Sec. 212. Upper Susquehanna River Basin comprehensive flood damage reduction feasibility study.
- Sec. 213. Kanawha River Basin.
- Sec. 214. Authorization of feasibility studies for projects from CAP authorities.
- Sec. 215. Port Fourchon Belle Pass channel, Louisiana.
- Sec. 216. Studies for modification of project purposes in the Colorado River Basin in Arizona.
- Sec. 217. Non-Federal interest preparation of water reallocation studies, North Dakota.
- Sec. 218. Technical correction, Walla Walla River.
- Sec. 219. Watershed and river basin assessments.
- Sec. 220. Independent peer review.
- Sec. 221. Ice jam prevention and mitigation.
- Sec. 222. Report on hurricane and storm damage risk reduction design guidelines.
- Sec. 223. Briefing on status of certain activities on the Missouri River.
- Sec. 224. Report on material contaminated by a hazardous substance and the civil works program.
- Sec. 225. Report on efforts to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive species.
- Sec. 226. J. Strom Thurmond Lake, Georgia.
- Sec. 227. Study on land valuation procedures for the Tribal Partnership Program.
- Sec. 228. Report to Congress on levee safety guidelines.
- Sec. 229. Public-private partnership user's guide.
- Sec. 230. Review of authorities and programs for alternative project delivery.
- Sec. 231. Report to Congress on emergency response expenditures.

- Sec. 232. Excess land report for certain projects in North Dakota.
- Sec. 233. GAO studies.
- Sec. 234. Prior reports.
- Sec. 235. Briefing on status of Cape Cod Canal Bridges, Massachusetts.

TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS, MODIFICATIONS, AND RELATED
PROVISIONS

- Sec. 301. Deauthorizations.
- Sec. 302. Environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 303. Pennsylvania environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 304. Acequias irrigation systems.
- Sec. 305. Oregon environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 306. Kentucky and West Virginia environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 307. Lake Champlain Watershed, Vermont and New York.
- Sec. 308. Ohio and North Dakota.
- Sec. 309. Southern West Virginia.
- Sec. 310. Northern West Virginia.
- Sec. 311. Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.
- Sec. 312. Western rural water.
- Sec. 313. Continuing authorities programs.
- Sec. 314. Small project assistance.
- Sec. 315. Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin project, Brandon Road,
Will County, Illinois.
- Sec. 316. Mamaroneck-Sheldrake Rivers, New York.
- Sec. 317. Lowell Creek Tunnel, Alaska.
- Sec. 318. Selma flood risk management and bank stabilization.
- Sec. 319. Illinois River basin restoration.
- Sec. 320. Hawaii environmental restoration.
- Sec. 321. Connecticut River Basin invasive species partnerships.
- Sec. 322. Expenses for control of aquatic plant growths and invasive species.
- Sec. 323. Corps of Engineers Asian carp prevention pilot program.
- Sec. 324. Extension for certain invasive species programs.
- Sec. 325. Storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, riverine ero-
sion, and ice and glacial damage, Alaska.
- Sec. 326. Rehabilitation of Corps of Engineers constructed dams.
- Sec. 327. Ediz Hook Beach Erosion Control Project, Port Angeles, Wash-
ington.
- Sec. 328. Sense of Congress relating to certain Louisiana hurricane and coastal
storm damage risk reduction projects.
- Sec. 329. Chesapeake Bay Oyster Recovery Program.
- Sec. 330. Bosque wildlife restoration project.
- Sec. 331. Expansion of temporary relocation assistance pilot program.
- Sec. 332. Wilson Lock floating guide wall.
- Sec. 333. Delaware Inland Bays and Delaware Bay Coast Coastal Storm Risk
Management Study.
- Sec. 334. Upper Mississippi River Plan.
- Sec. 335. Rehabilitation of pump stations.
- Sec. 336. Navigation along the Tennessee–Tombigbee Waterway.
- Sec. 337. Garrison Dam, North Dakota.
- Sec. 338. Sense of Congress relating to Missouri River priorities.
- Sec. 339. Soil moisture and snowpack monitoring.
- Sec. 340. Contracts for water supply.
- Sec. 341. Rend Lake, Carlyle Lake, and Lake Shelbyville, Illinois.
- Sec. 342. Delaware Coastal System Program.

4

- Sec. 343. Maintenance of pile dike system.
- Sec. 344. Conveyances.
- Sec. 345. Emergency drought operations pilot program.
- Sec. 346. Rehabilitation of existing levees.
- Sec. 347. Non-Federal implementation pilot program.
- Sec. 348. Harmful algal bloom demonstration program.
- Sec. 349. Sense of Congress relating to Mobile Harbor, Alabama.
- Sec. 350. Sense of Congress relating to Port of Portland, Oregon.
- Sec. 351. Chattahoochee River Program.
- Sec. 352. Additional projects for underserved community harbors.
- Sec. 353. Winooski River tributary watershed.
- Sec. 354. Waco Lake, Texas.
- Sec. 355. Seminole Tribal claim extension.
- Sec. 356. Coastal erosion project, Barrow, Alaska.
- Sec. 357. Colebrook River Reservoir, Connecticut.
- Sec. 358. Sense of Congress relating to shallow draft dredging in the Chesapeake Bay.

TITLE IV—PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

- Sec. 401. Project authorizations.

1 **SEC. 2. DEFINITION OF SECRETARY.**

2 In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Sec-
3 retary of the Army.

4 **TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS**5 **SEC. 101. NOTICE TO CONGRESS REGARDING WRDA IMPLE-**
6 **MENTATION.**

7 (a) PLAN OF IMPLEMENTATION.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after
9 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
10 shall develop a plan for implementing this Act and
11 the amendments made by this Act.

12 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—In developing the plan
13 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—

14 (A) identify each provision of this Act (or
15 an amendment made by this Act) that will re-
16 quire—

1 (i) the development and issuance of
2 guidance, including whether that guidance
3 will be significant guidance;

4 (ii) the development and issuance of a
5 rule; or

6 (iii) appropriations;

7 (B) develop timelines for the issuance of—

8 (i) any guidance described in subpara-
9 graph (A)(i); and

10 (ii) each rule described in subpara-
11 graph (A)(ii); and

12 (C) establish a process to disseminate in-
13 formation about this Act and the amendments
14 made by this Act to each District and Division
15 Office of the Corps of Engineers.

16 (3) TRANSMITTAL.—On completion of the plan
17 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall transmit
18 the plan to—

19 (A) the Committee on Environment and
20 Public Works of the Senate; and

21 (B) the Committee on Transportation and
22 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

23 (b) IMPLEMENTATION OF PRIOR WATER RESOURCES
24 DEVELOPMENT LAWS.—

1 (1) DEFINITION OF PRIOR WATER RESOURCES
2 DEVELOPMENT LAW.—In this subsection, the term
3 “prior water resources development law” means each
4 of the following (including the amendments made by
5 any of the following):

6 (A) The Water Resources Development Act
7 of 2000 (Public Law 106–541; 114 Stat.
8 2572).

9 (B) The Water Resources Development
10 Act of 2007 (Public Law 110–114; 121 Stat.
11 1041).

12 (C) The Water Resources Reform and De-
13 velopment Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–121;
14 128 Stat. 1193).

15 (D) The Water Infrastructure Improve-
16 ments for the Nation Act (Public Law 114–
17 322; 130 Stat. 1628).

18 (E) The America’s Water Infrastructure
19 Act of 2018 (Public Law 115–270; 132 Stat.
20 3765).

21 (F) Division AA of the Consolidated Ap-
22 propriations Act, 2021 (Public Law 116–260;
23 134 Stat. 2615).

24 (G) Title LXXXI of division H of the
25 James M. Inhofe National Defense Authoriza-

1 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (Public Law
2 117–263; 136 Stat. 3691).

3 (2) NOTICE.—

4 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days
5 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
6 retary shall submit to the Committee on Envi-
7 ronment and Public Works of the Senate and
8 the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
9 structure of the House of Representatives a
10 written notice of the status of efforts by the
11 Secretary to implement the prior water re-
12 sources development laws.

13 (B) CONTENTS.—

14 (i) IN GENERAL.—As part of the no-
15 tice under subparagraph (A), the Secretary
16 shall include a list describing each provi-
17 sion of a prior water resources develop-
18 ment law that has not been fully imple-
19 mented as of the date of submission of the
20 notice.

21 (ii) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.—For
22 each provision included on the list under
23 clause (i), the Secretary shall—

24 (I) establish a timeline for imple-
25 menting the provision;

1 (II) provide a description of the
2 status of the provision in the imple-
3 mentation process; and

4 (III) provide an explanation for
5 the delay in implementing the provi-
6 sion.

7 (3) BRIEFINGS.—

8 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180
9 days after the date of enactment of this Act,
10 and every 90 days thereafter until the Chairs of
11 the Committee on Environment and Public
12 Works of the Senate and the Committee on
13 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
14 of Representatives determine that this Act, the
15 amendments made by this Act, and prior water
16 resources development laws are fully imple-
17 mented, the Secretary shall provide to relevant
18 congressional committees a briefing on the im-
19 plementation of this Act, the amendments made
20 by this Act, and prior water resources develop-
21 ment laws.

22 (B) INCLUSIONS.—A briefing under sub-
23 paragraph (A) shall include—

24 (i) updates to the implementation plan
25 under subsection (a); and

1 (ii) updates to the written notice
2 under paragraph (2).

3 (c) ADDITIONAL NOTICE PENDING ISSUANCE.—Not
4 later than 30 days before issuing any guidance, rule, no-
5 tice in the Federal Register, or other documentation re-
6 quired to implement this Act, an amendment made by this
7 Act, or a prior water resources development law (as de-
8 fined in subsection (b)(1)), the Secretary shall submit to
9 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
10 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
11 structure of the House of Representatives a written notice
12 regarding the pending issuance.

13 (d) WRDA IMPLEMENTATION TEAM.—

14 (1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

15 (A) PRIOR WATER RESOURCES DEVELOP-
16 MENT LAW.—The term “prior water resources
17 development law” has the meaning given the
18 term in subsection (b)(1).

19 (B) TEAM.—The term “team” means the
20 Water Resources Development Act implementa-
21 tion team established under paragraph (2).

22 (2) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall es-
23 tablish a Water Resources Development Act imple-
24 mentation team that shall consist of current employ-
25 ees of the Federal Government, including—

1 (A) not fewer than 2 employees in the Of-
2 fice of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for
3 Civil Works;

4 (B) not fewer than 2 employees at the
5 headquarters of the Corps of Engineers; and

6 (C) a representative of each district and
7 division of the Corps of Engineers.

8 (3) DUTIES.—The team shall be responsible for
9 assisting with the implementation of this Act, the
10 amendments made by this Act, and prior water re-
11 sources development laws, including—

12 (A) performing ongoing outreach to—

13 (i) Congress; and

14 (ii) employees and servicemembers
15 stationed in districts and divisions of the
16 Corps of Engineers to ensure that all
17 Corps of Engineers employees are aware of
18 and implementing provisions of this Act,
19 the amendments made by this Act, and
20 prior water resources development laws, in
21 a manner consistent with congressional in-
22 tent;

23 (B) identifying any issues with implemen-
24 tation of a provision of this Act, the amend-
25 ments made by this Act, and prior water re-

1 sources development laws at the district, divi-
2 sion, or national level;

3 (C) resolving the issues identified under
4 subparagraph (B), in consultation with Corps
5 of Engineers leadership and the Secretary; and

6 (D) ensuring that any interpretation devel-
7 oped as a result of the process under subpara-
8 graph (C) is consistent with congressional in-
9 tent for this Act, the amendments made by this
10 Act, and prior water resources development
11 laws.

12 **SEC. 102. PRIOR GUIDANCE.**

13 Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment
14 of this Act, the Secretary shall issue the guidance required
15 pursuant to each of the following provisions:

16 (1) Section 1043(b)(9) of the Water Resources
17 Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
18 2201 note; Public Law 113–121).

19 (2) Section 8136 of the Water Resources Devel-
20 opment Act of 2022 (10 U.S.C. 2667 note; Public
21 Law 117–263).

22 **SEC. 103. ABILITY TO PAY.**

23 (a) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary shall expedite
24 any guidance or rulemaking necessary to the implementa-
25 tion of section 103(m) of the Water Resources Develop-

1 ment Act 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m)) to address ability
2 to pay.

3 (b) ABILITY TO PAY.—Section 103(m) of the Water
4 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m))
5 is amended by adding the end the following:

6 “(5) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—

7 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
8 annually submit to the Committee on Environ-
9 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the
10 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
11 ture of the House of Representatives written
12 notification of determinations made by the Sec-
13 retary of the ability of non-Federal interests to
14 pay under this subsection.

15 “(B) CONTENTS.—In preparing the writ-
16 ten notification under subparagraph (A), the
17 Secretary shall include, for each determination
18 made by the Secretary—

19 “(i) the name of the non-Federal in-
20 terest that submitted to the Secretary a re-
21 quest for a determination under this sub-
22 section;

23 “(ii) the name and location of the
24 project; and

1 “(iii) the determination made by the
2 Secretary and the reasons for the deter-
3 mination, including the adjusted share of
4 the costs of the project of the non-Federal
5 interest, if applicable.”.

6 (c) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—Section
7 203(d) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000
8 (33 U.S.C. 2269(d)) is amended by adding at the end the
9 following:

10 “(7) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—

11 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
12 annually submit to the Committee on Environ-
13 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the
14 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
15 ture of the House of Representatives written
16 notification of determinations made by the Sec-
17 retary of the ability of non-Federal interests to
18 pay under this subsection.

19 “(B) CONTENTS.—In preparing the writ-
20 ten notification under subparagraph (A), the
21 Secretary shall include, for each determination
22 made by the Secretary—

23 “(i) the name of the non-Federal in-
24 terest that submitted to the Secretary a re-

1 quest for a determination under paragraph
2 (1)(B)(ii);

3 “ (ii) the name and location of the
4 project; and

5 “ (iii) the determination made by the
6 Secretary and the reasons for the deter-
7 mination, including the adjusted share of
8 the costs of the project of the non-Federal
9 interest, if applicable.”.

10 **SEC. 104. FEDERAL INTEREST DETERMINATIONS.**

11 Section 905(b) of the Water Resources Development
12 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282(b)) is amended—

13 (1) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the
14 following:

15 “(1) IN GENERAL.—

16 “(A) IDENTIFICATION.—As part of the
17 submission of a work plan to Congress pursu-
18 ant to the joint explanatory statement for an
19 annual appropriations Act or as part of the
20 submission of a spend plan to Congress for a
21 supplemental appropriations Act under which
22 the Corps of Engineers receives funding, the
23 Secretary shall identify the studies in the
24 plan—

1 “(i) for which the Secretary plans to
2 prepare a feasibility report under sub-
3 section (a) that will benefit—

4 “(I) an economically disadvan-
5 taged community (as defined pursuant
6 to section 160 of the Water Resources
7 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.
8 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)); or

9 “(II) a community other than a
10 community described in subclause (I);
11 and

12 “(ii) that are designated as a new
13 start under the work plan.

14 “(B) DETERMINATION.—

15 “(i) IN GENERAL.—After identifying
16 the studies under subparagraph (A) and
17 subject to subparagraph (C), the Secretary
18 shall, with the consent of the applicable
19 non-Federal interest for the study, first de-
20 termine the Federal interest in carrying
21 out the study and the projects that may be
22 proposed in the study.

23 “(ii) FEASIBILITY COST SHARE
24 AGREEMENT.—The Secretary may make a
25 determination under clause (i) prior to the

1 execution of a feasibility cost share agree-
2 ment between the Secretary and the non-
3 Federal interest.

4 “(C) LIMITATION.—For each fiscal year,
5 the Secretary may not make a determination
6 under subparagraph (B) for more than 20 stud-
7 ies identified under subparagraph (A)(i)(II).

8 “(D) APPLICATION.—

9 “(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause
10 (ii) and with the consent of the non-Fed-
11 eral interest, the Secretary may use the
12 authority provided under this subsection
13 for a study in a work plan submitted to
14 Congress prior to the date of enactment of
15 the Water Resources Development Act of
16 2024 if the study otherwise meets the re-
17 quirements described in subparagraph (A).

18 “(ii) LIMITATION.—Subparagraph (C)
19 shall apply to the use of authority under
20 clause (i).”;

21 (2) in paragraph (2)—

22 (A) in subparagraph (A), by striking
23 “and” at the end;

24 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the
25 period and inserting “; and”; and

1 (C) by adding at the end the following:

2 “(C) shall be paid from the funding pro-
3 vided for the study in the applicable work plan
4 described in that paragraph.”; and

5 (3) by adding at the end the following:

6 “(6) POST-DETERMINATION WORK.—A study
7 under this section shall continue after a determina-
8 tion under paragraph (1)(B)(i) without a new invest-
9 ment decision.”.

10 **SEC. 105. ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.**

11 Section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and De-
12 velopment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) is amended—

13 (1) by redesignating subsection (g) as sub-
14 section (i); and

15 (2) by inserting after subsection (f) the fol-
16 lowing:

17 “(g) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST NOTIFICATION.—

18 “(1) IN GENERAL.—After the publication of the
19 annual report under subsection (f), if the proposal of
20 a non-Federal interest submitted under subsection
21 (b) was included by the Secretary in the appendix
22 under subsection (c)(4), the Secretary shall provide
23 written notification to the non-Federal interest of
24 such inclusion.

25 “(2) DEBRIEF.—

1 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30
2 days after the date on which a non-Federal in-
3 terest receives the written notification under
4 paragraph (1), the non-Federal interest shall
5 notify the Secretary that the non-Federal inter-
6 est is requesting a debrief under this para-
7 graph.

8 “(B) RESPONSE.—If a non-Federal inter-
9 est requests a debrief under this paragraph, the
10 Secretary shall provide the debrief to the non-
11 Federal interest by not later than 60 days after
12 the date on which the Secretary receives the re-
13 quest for the debrief.

14 “(C) INCLUSIONS.—The debrief provided
15 by the Secretary under this paragraph shall in-
16 clude—

17 “(i) an explanation of the reasons that
18 the proposal was included in the appendix
19 under subsection (c)(4); and

20 “(ii) a description of—

21 “(I) any revisions to the proposal
22 that may allow the proposal to be in-
23 cluded in a subsequent annual report,
24 to the maximum extent practicable;

1 “(II) other existing authorities of
2 the Secretary that may be used to ad-
3 dress the need that prompted the pro-
4 posal, if applicable; and

5 “(III) any other information that
6 the Secretary determines to be appro-
7 priate.

8 “(h) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Not later
9 than 30 days after the publication of the annual report
10 under subsection (f), for each proposal included in that
11 annual report or appendix, the Secretary shall notify each
12 Member of Congress that represents the State in which
13 that proposal will be located that the proposal was in-
14 cluded the annual report or the appendix.”.

15 **SEC. 106. PROCESSING TIMELINES.**

16 Not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal
17 year, the Secretary shall ensure that the public website
18 for the “permit finder” of the Corps of Engineers accu-
19 rately reflects the current status of projects for which a
20 permit was, or is being, processed using amounts accepted
21 under section 214 of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2352).

23 **SEC. 107. SERVICES OF VOLUNTEERS.**

24 The seventeenth paragraph under the heading “GEN-
25 ERAL PROVISIONS” under the heading “CORPS OF ENGI-

1 NEERS—CIVIL” under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF
2 THE ARMY” in chapter IV of title I of the Supplemental
3 Appropriations Act, 1983 (33 U.S.C. 569c), is amended—

4 (1) in the first sentence, by striking “The
5 United States Army Chief of Engineers” and insert-
6 ing the following:

7 “SERVICES OF VOLUNTEERS

8 “SEC. 141. (a) IN GENERAL.—The Chief of Engi-
9 neers”.

10 (2) in subsection (a) (as so designated), in the
11 second sentence, by striking “Such volunteers” and
12 inserting the following:

13 “(b) TREATMENT.—Volunteers under subsection
14 (a)”;

15 (3) by adding at the end the following:

16 “(c) RECOGNITION.—

17 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2)
18 and (3), the Chief of Engineers may recognize
19 through an award or other appropriate means the
20 service of volunteers under subsection (a).

21 “(2) PROCESS.—The Chief of Engineers shall
22 establish a process to carry out paragraph (1).

23 “(3) LIMITATION.—The Chief of Engineers
24 shall ensure that the recognition provided to a volun-
25 teer under paragraph (1) shall not be in the form
26 of a cash award.”.

1 **SEC. 108. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS MISSIONS.**

2 Section 8159 of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3740) is amended—

4 (1) in paragraph (3), by striking “and” at the
5 end; and

6 (2) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the
7 following:

8 “(4) West Virginia University to conduct aca-
9 demic research on flood resilience planning and risk
10 management, water resource-related emergency
11 management, aquatic ecosystem restoration, water
12 quality, siting and risk management for open- and
13 closed-loop pumped hydropower energy storage, hy-
14 dropower, and water resource-related recreation and
15 management of resources for recreation in the State
16 of West Virginia;

17 “(5) Delaware State University to conduct aca-
18 demic research on water resource ecology, water
19 quality, aquatic ecosystem restoration, coastal res-
20 toration, and water resource-related emergency man-
21 agement in the State of Delaware, the Delaware
22 River Basin, and the Chesapeake Bay watershed;

23 “(6) the University of Notre Dame to conduct
24 academic research on hazard mitigation policies and
25 practices in coastal communities, including through
26 the incorporation of data analysis and the use of

1 risk-based analytical frameworks for reviewing flood
2 mitigation and hardening plans and for evaluating
3 the design of new infrastructure; and

4 “(7) Mississippi State University to conduct
5 academic research on technology to be used in water
6 resources development infrastructure, analyses of the
7 environment before and after a natural disaster, and
8 geospatial data collection.”.

9 **SEC. 109. INLAND WATERWAY PROJECTS.**

10 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 102(a) of the Water Re-
11 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2212(a)) is
12 amended—

13 (1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by
14 striking “65 percent of the costs” and inserting “75
15 percent of the costs”; and

16 (2) in the undesignated matter following para-
17 graph (3), in the second sentence, by striking “35
18 percent of such costs” and inserting “25 percent of
19 such costs”.

20 (b) APPLICATION.—The amendments made by sub-
21 section (a) shall apply beginning on October 1, 2024, to
22 any construction of a project for navigation on the inland
23 waterways that is new or ongoing on or after that date.

24 (c) EXCEPTION.—In the case of an inland waterways
25 project that receives funds under the heading “CONSTRUC-

1 TION” under the heading “CORPS OF ENGINEERS—
2 CIVIL” under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF THE
3 ARMY” in title III of division J of the Infrastructure In-
4 vestment and Jobs Act (135 Stat. 1359) that will not com-
5 plete construction, replacement, rehabilitation, and expan-
6 sion with such funds—

7 (1) section 102(a) of the Water Resources De-
8 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2212(a)) shall
9 not apply; and

10 (2) any remaining costs shall be paid only from
11 amounts appropriated from the general fund of the
12 Treasury.

13 **SEC. 110. LEVERAGING FEDERAL INFRASTRUCTURE FOR**
14 **INCREASED WATER SUPPLY.**

15 Section 1118(i) of Water Resources Development Act
16 of 2016 (43 U.S.C. 390b–2(i)) is amended by striking
17 paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

18 “(2) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS FOR OTHER FED-
19 ERAL RESERVOIR PROJECTS.—

20 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is au-
21 thorized to receive and expend funds from a
22 non-Federal interest or a Federal agency that
23 owns a Federal reservoir project described in
24 subparagraph (B) to formulate, review, or re-
25 vise operational documents pursuant to a pro-

1 posal submitted in accordance with subsection
2 (a).

3 “(B) FEDERAL RESERVOIR PROJECTS DE-
4 SCRIBED.—A Federal reservoir project referred
5 to in subparagraph (A) is a reservoir for which
6 the Secretary is authorized to prescribe regula-
7 tions for the use of storage allocated for flood
8 control or navigation pursuant to section 7 of
9 the Act of December 22, 1944 (commonly
10 known as the ‘Flood Control Act of 1944’) (58
11 Stat. 890, chapter 665; 33 U.S.C. 709).”.

12 **SEC. 111. OUTREACH AND ACCESS.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8117(b) of the Water Re-
14 sources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2281b(b))
15 is amended—

16 (1) in paragraph (1)—

17 (A) in subparagraph (A)(iii), by striking
18 “and” at the end;

19 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the
20 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

21 (C) by adding at the end the following:

22 “(C) ensuring that a potential non-Federal
23 interest is aware of the roles, responsibilities,
24 and financial commitments associated with a
25 completed water resources development project

1 prior to initiating a feasibility study (as defined
2 in section 105(d) of the Water Resources Devel-
3 opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2215(d)), in-
4 cluding operations, maintenance, repair, re-
5 placement, and rehabilitation responsibilities.”;

6 (2) in paragraph (2)—

7 (A) in subparagraph (D), by striking
8 “and” at the end;

9 (B) in subparagraph (E), by striking the
10 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

11 (C) by adding at the end the following:

12 “(F) to the maximum extent practicable—

13 “(i) develop and continue to make
14 publicly available, through a publicly avail-
15 able existing website, information on the
16 projects and studies within the jurisdiction
17 of each district of the Corps of Engineers;
18 and

19 “(ii) ensure that the information de-
20 scribed in clause (i) is consistent and made
21 publicly available in the same manner
22 across all districts of the Corps of Engi-
23 neers.”;

24 (3) by redesignating paragraphs (3) and (4) as
25 paragraphs (4) and (5), respectively; and

1 (4) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-
2 lowing:

3 “(3) GUIDANCE.—The Secretary shall develop
4 and issue guidance to ensure that the points of con-
5 tacts established under paragraph (2)(B) are ade-
6 quately fulfilling their obligations under that para-
7 graph.”.

8 (b) BRIEFING.—Not later than 60 days after the date
9 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide to
10 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
11 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
12 structure of the House of Representatives a briefing on
13 the status of the implementation of section 8117 of the
14 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C.
15 2281b), including the amendments made to that section
16 by subsection (a), including—

17 (1) a plan for implementing any requirements
18 under that section; and

19 (2) any potential barriers to implementing that
20 section.

21 **SEC. 112. MODEL DEVELOPMENT.**

22 Section 8230 of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3765) is amended by adding at
24 the end the following:

25 “(d) MODEL DEVELOPMENT.—

1 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may partner
2 with other Federal agencies, National Laboratories,
3 and institutions of higher education to develop, up-
4 date, and maintain hydrologic and climate-related
5 models for use in water resources planning, includ-
6 ing models to assess compound flooding that arises
7 when 2 or more flood drivers occur simultaneously
8 or in close succession, or are impacting the same re-
9 gion over time.

10 “(2) USE.—The Secretary may use models de-
11 veloped by the entities described in paragraph (1).”.

12 **SEC. 113. PLANNING ASSISTANCE FOR STATES.**

13 Section 22(a)(2)(B) of the Water Resources Develop-
14 ment Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–16(a)(2)(B)) is
15 amended by inserting “and title research for abandoned
16 structures” before the period at the end.

17 **SEC. 114. CORPS OF ENGINEERS LEVEE OWNERS ADVISORY**
18 **BOARD.**

19 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

20 (1) FEDERAL LEVEE SYSTEM OWNER-OPER-
21 ATOR.—The term “Federal levee system owner-oper-
22 ator” means a non-Federal interest that owns and
23 operates and maintains a levee system that was con-
24 structed by the Corps of Engineers.

1 (2) OWNERS BOARD.—The term “Owners
2 Board” means the Levee Owners Advisory Board es-
3 tablished under subsection (b).

4 (b) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 90 days after
5 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall es-
6 tablish a Levee Owners Advisory Board.

7 (c) MEMBERSHIP.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Owners Board—

9 (A) shall be composed of—

10 (i) 11 members, to be appointed by
11 the Secretary, who shall—

12 (I) represent various regions of
13 the country, including not less than 1
14 Federal levee system owner-operator
15 from each of the civil works divisions
16 of the Corps of Engineers; and

17 (II) have the requisite experien-
18 tial or technical knowledge to carry
19 out the duties of the Owners Board
20 described in subsection (d); and

21 (ii) a representative of the Corps of
22 Engineers, to be designated by the Sec-
23 retary, who shall serve as a nonvoting
24 member; and

1 (B) may include a representative des-
2 igned by the head of the Federal agency de-
3 scribed in section 9002(1) of the Water Re-
4 sources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
5 3301(1)), who shall serve as a nonvoting mem-
6 ber.

7 (2) TERMS OF MEMBERS.—

8 (A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subpara-
9 graphs (B) and (C), a member of the Owners
10 Board shall be appointed for a term of 3 years.

11 (B) REAPPOINTMENT.—A member of the
12 Owners Board may be reappointed to the Own-
13 ers Board, as the Secretary determines to be
14 appropriate.

15 (C) VACANCIES.—A vacancy on the Own-
16 ers Board shall be filled in the same manner as
17 the original appointment was made.

18 (3) CHAIRPERSON.—The members of the Own-
19 ers Board shall appoint a chairperson from among
20 the members of the Owners Board.

21 (d) DUTIES.—

22 (1) RECOMMENDATIONS.—The Owners Board
23 shall provide advice and recommendations to the
24 Secretary and the Chief of Engineers on—

1 (A) the activities and actions, consistent
2 with applicable statutory authorities, that
3 should be undertaken by the Corps of Engi-
4 neers and Federal levee system owner-operators
5 to improve flood risk management throughout
6 the United States; and

7 (B) how to improve cooperation and com-
8 munication between the Corps of Engineers and
9 Federal levee system owner-operators.

10 (2) MEETINGS.—The Owners Board shall meet
11 not less frequently than semiannually.

12 (3) REPORT.—The Secretary, on behalf of the
13 Owners Board, shall—

14 (A) submit to the Committee on Environ-
15 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the
16 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
17 ture of the House of Representatives a report
18 that includes the recommendations provided
19 under paragraph (1); and

20 (B) make those recommendations publicly
21 available, including on a publicly available exist-
22 ing website.

23 (e) INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT.—Any advice or rec-
24 ommendation made by the Owners Board pursuant to sub-

1 section (d)(1) shall reflect the independent judgment of
2 the Owners Board.

3 (f) ADMINISTRATION.—

4 (1) COMPENSATION.—Except as provided in
5 paragraph (2), the members of the Owners Board
6 shall serve without compensation.

7 (2) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—The members of the
8 Owners Board shall receive travel expenses, includ-
9 ing per diem in lieu of subsistence, in accordance
10 with applicable provisions under subchapter I of
11 chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code.

12 (3) TREATMENT.—The members of the Owners
13 Board shall not be considered to be Federal employ-
14 ees, and the meetings and reports of the Owners
15 Board shall not be considered a major Federal ac-
16 tion under the National Environmental Policy Act of
17 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

18 (g) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—The Owners Board shall not
19 supplant the Committee on Levee Safety established by
20 section 9003 of the Water Resources Development Act of
21 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3302).

22 **SEC. 115. SILVER JACKETS PROGRAM.**

23 The Secretary shall continue the Silver Jackets pro-
24 gram established by the Secretary pursuant to section 206
25 of the Flood Control Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 709a) and

1 section 204 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and
2 Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5134).

3 **SEC. 116. TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

4 Section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act
5 of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269) is amended—

6 (1) in subsection (b)(2)—

7 (A) in subparagraph (C)(ii), by striking
8 “and” at the end;

9 (B) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as
10 subparagraph (E); and

11 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (C)
12 the following:

13 “(D) projects that improve emergency re-
14 sponse capabilities and provide increased access
15 to infrastructure that may be utilized in the
16 event of a severe weather event or other natural
17 disaster; and”; and

18 (2) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the
19 following:

20 “(e) PILOT PROGRAM.—

21 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry
22 out a pilot program under which the Secretary shall
23 carry out not more than 5 projects described in
24 paragraph (2).

1 “(2) PROJECTS DESCRIBED.—Notwithstanding
2 subsection (b)(1)(B), a project referred to in para-
3 graph (1) is a project—

4 “(A) that is otherwise eligible and meets
5 the requirements under this section; and

6 “(B) that is located—

7 “(i) along the Mid-Columbia River,
8 Washington, Taneum Creek, Washington,
9 or Similk Bay, Washington; or

10 “(ii) at Big Bend, Lake Oahe, Fort
11 Randall, or Gavins Point Reservoirs, South
12 Dakota.

13 “(3) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary shall
14 carry out a project described in paragraph (2) in ac-
15 cordance with this section.

16 “(4) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this sub-
17 section authorizes—

18 “(A) a project for the removal of a dam
19 that otherwise is a project described in para-
20 graph (2);

21 “(B) the study of the removal of a dam; or

22 “(C) the study of any Federal dam, includ-
23 ing the study of power, flood control, or naviga-
24 tion replacement, or the implementation of any
25 functional alteration to that dam, that is lo-

1 cated along a body of water described in clause
2 (i) or (ii) of paragraph (2)(B).”.

3 **SEC. 117. TRIBAL PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PRO-**
4 **GRAM.**

5 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

6 (1) ELIGIBLE PROJECT.—The term “eligible
7 project” means a project or activity eligible to be
8 carried out under the Tribal partnership program
9 under section 203 of the Water Resources Develop-
10 ment Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

11 (2) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term “Indian Tribe”
12 has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the
13 Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance
14 Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).

15 (b) AUTHORIZATION.—Not later than 180 days after
16 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall es-
17 tablish and implement a pilot program under which Indian
18 Tribes may directly carry out eligible projects.

19 (c) PURPOSES.—The purposes of the pilot program
20 under this section are—

21 (1) to authorize Tribal contracting to advance
22 Tribal self-determination and provide economic op-
23 portunities for Indian Tribes; and

24 (2) to evaluate the technical, financial, and or-
25 ganizational efficiencies of Indian Tribes carrying

1 out the design, execution, management, and con-
2 struction of 1 or more eligible projects.

3 (d) ADMINISTRATION.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the pilot
5 program under this section, the Secretary shall—

6 (A) identify a total of not more than 5 eli-
7 gible projects that have been authorized for
8 construction;

9 (B) notify the Committee on Environment
10 and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-
11 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
12 the House of Representatives on the identifica-
13 tion of each eligible project under the pilot pro-
14 gram under this section;

15 (C) in collaboration with the Indian Tribe,
16 develop a detailed project management plan for
17 each identified eligible project that outlines the
18 scope, budget, design, and construction resource
19 requirements necessary for the Indian Tribe to
20 execute the project or a separable element of
21 the eligible project;

22 (D) on the request of the Indian Tribe and
23 in accordance with subsection (f)(2), enter into
24 a project partnership agreement with the In-
25 dian Tribe for the Indian Tribe to provide full

1 project management control for construction of
2 the eligible project, or a separable element of
3 the eligible project, in accordance with plans
4 approved by the Secretary;

5 (E) following execution of the project part-
6 nership agreement, transfer to the Indian Tribe
7 to carry out construction of the eligible project,
8 or a separable element of the eligible project—

9 (i) if applicable, the balance of the un-
10 obligated amounts appropriated for the eli-
11 gible project, except that the Secretary
12 shall retain sufficient amounts for the
13 Corps of Engineers to carry out any re-
14 sponsibilities of the Corps of Engineers re-
15 lating to the eligible project and the pilot
16 program under this section; and

17 (ii) additional amounts, as determined
18 by the Secretary, from amounts made
19 available to carry out this section, except
20 that the total amount transferred to the
21 Indian Tribe shall not exceed the updated
22 estimate of the Federal share of the cost of
23 construction, including any required de-
24 sign; and

1 (F) regularly monitor and audit each eligi-
2 ble project being constructed by an Indian
3 Tribe under this section to ensure that the con-
4 struction activities are carried out in compli-
5 ance with the plans approved by the Secretary
6 and that the construction costs are reasonable.

7 (2) DETAILED PROJECT SCHEDULE.—Not later
8 than 180 days after entering into an agreement
9 under paragraph (1)(D), each Indian Tribe, to the
10 maximum extent practicable, shall submit to the
11 Secretary a detailed project schedule, based on esti-
12 mated funding levels, that lists all deadlines for each
13 milestone in the construction of the eligible project.

14 (3) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—On the request
15 of an Indian Tribe, the Secretary may provide tech-
16 nical assistance to the Indian Tribe, if the Indian
17 Tribe contracts with and compensates the Secretary
18 for the technical assistance relating to—

19 (A) any study, engineering activity, and
20 design activity for construction carried out by
21 the Indian Tribe under this section; and

22 (B) expeditiously obtaining any permits
23 necessary for the eligible project.

24 (e) COST SHARE.—Nothing in this section affects the
25 cost-sharing requirement applicable on the day before the

1 date of enactment of this Act to an eligible project carried
2 out under this section.

3 (f) IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days
5 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
6 retary shall issue guidance for the implementation of
7 the pilot program under this section that, to the ex-
8 tent practicable, identifies—

9 (A) the metrics for measuring the success
10 of the pilot program;

11 (B) a process for identifying future eligible
12 projects to participate in the pilot program;

13 (C) measures to address the risks of an In-
14 dian Tribe constructing eligible projects under
15 the pilot program, including which entity bears
16 the risk for eligible projects that fail to meet
17 Corps of Engineers standards for design or
18 quality;

19 (D) the laws and regulations that an In-
20 dian Tribe must follow in carrying out an eligi-
21 ble project under the pilot program; and

22 (E) which entity bears the risk in the event
23 that an eligible project carried out under the
24 pilot program fails to be carried out in accord-

1 ance with the project authorization or this sec-
2 tion.

3 (2) NEW PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREE-
4 MENTS.—The Secretary may not enter into a project
5 partnership agreement under this section until the
6 date on which the Secretary issues the guidance
7 under paragraph (1).

8 (g) REPORT.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 3 years after
10 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
11 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and
12 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
13 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
14 Representatives and make publicly available a report
15 detailing the results of the pilot program under this
16 section, including—

17 (A) a description of the progress of Indian
18 Tribes in meeting milestones in detailed project
19 schedules developed pursuant to subsection
20 (d)(2); and

21 (B) any recommendations of the Secretary
22 concerning whether the pilot program or any
23 component of the pilot program should be im-
24 plemented on a national basis.

1 (2) UPDATE.—Not later than 5 years after the
2 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
3 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
4 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
5 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
6 Representatives an update to the report under para-
7 graph (1).

8 (3) FAILURE TO MEET DEADLINE.—If the Sec-
9 retary fails to submit a report by the required dead-
10 line under this subsection, the Secretary shall sub-
11 mit to the Committee on Environment and Public
12 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Trans-
13 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
14 resentatives a detailed explanation of why the dead-
15 line was missed and a projected date for submission
16 of the report.

17 (h) ADMINISTRATION.—All laws and regulations that
18 would apply to the Secretary if the Secretary were car-
19 rying out the eligible project shall apply to an Indian Tribe
20 carrying out an eligible project under this section.

21 (i) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to
22 commence an eligible project under this section terminates
23 on December 31, 2029.

24 (j) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—In addi-
25 tion to any amounts appropriated for a specific eligible

1 project, there is authorized to be appropriated to the Sec-
2 retary to carry out this section, including the costs of ad-
3 ministration of the Secretary, \$15,000,000 for each of fis-
4 cal years 2024 through 2029.

5 **SEC. 118. ELIGIBILITY FOR INTER-TRIBAL CONSORTIUMS.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 221(b)(1) of the Flood
7 Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(b)(1)) is
8 amended by inserting “and an inter-tribal consortium (as
9 defined in section 403 of the Indian Child Protection and
10 Family Violence Prevention Act (25 U.S.C. 3202))” after
11 “5304)”.

12 (b) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—Section 203
13 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33
14 U.S.C. 2269) is amended—

15 (1) in subsection (a)—

16 (A) by striking the subsection designation
17 and heading and all that follows through “the
18 term” and inserting the following:

19 “(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

20 “(1) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term”; and

21 (B) by adding at the end the following:

22 “(2) INTER-TRIBAL CONSORTIUM.—The term
23 ‘inter-tribal consortium’ has the meaning given the
24 term in section 403 of the Indian Child Protection

1 and Family Violence Prevention Act (25 U.S.C.
2 3202).

3 “(3) TRIBAL ORGANIZATION.—The term ‘Tribal
4 organization’ has the meaning given the term in sec-
5 tion 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Edu-
6 cation Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5304).”; and

7 (2) in subsection (b)—

8 (A) in paragraph (1)—

9 (i) in the matter preceding subpara-
10 graph (A), by inserting “, inter-tribal con-
11 sortiums, Tribal organizations,” after “In-
12 dian tribes”; and

13 (ii) in subparagraph (A), by inserting
14 “, inter-tribal consortiums, or Tribal orga-
15 nizations” after “Indian tribes”;

16 (B) in paragraph (2)—

17 (i) in subparagraph (A), by striking
18 “flood hurricane” and inserting “flood or
19 hurricane”;

20 (ii) in subparagraph (C), in the mat-
21 ter preceding clause (i), by inserting “, an
22 inter-tribal consortium, or a Tribal organi-
23 zation” after “Indian tribe”; and

24 (iii) in subparagraph (E) (as redesign-
25 nated by section 116(1)(B)), by inserting

1 “, inter-tribal consortiums, Tribal organi-
2 zations,” after “Indian tribes”; and

3 (C) in paragraph (3)(A), by inserting “,
4 inter-tribal consortium, or Tribal organization”
5 after “Indian tribe” each place it appears.

6 **SEC. 119. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO THE MANAGE-**
7 **MENT OF RECREATION FACILITIES.**

8 It is the sense of Congress that—

9 (1) the Corps of Engineers should have greater
10 access to the revenue collected from the use of Corps
11 of Engineers-managed facilities with recreational
12 purposes;

13 (2) revenue collected from Corps of Engineers-
14 managed facilities with recreational purposes should
15 be available to the Corps of Engineers for necessary
16 operation, maintenance, and improvement activities
17 at the facility from which the revenue was derived;

18 (3) the districts of the Corps of Engineers
19 should be provided with more authority to partner
20 with non-Federal public entities and private non-
21 profit entities for the improvement and management
22 of Corps of Engineers-managed facilities with rec-
23 reational purposes; and

1 (4) legislation to address the issues described in
2 paragraphs (1) through (3) should be considered by
3 Congress.

4 **TITLE II—STUDIES AND** 5 **REPORTS**

6 **SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF PROPOSED FEASIBILITY** 7 **STUDIES.**

8 (a) NEW PROJECTS.—The Secretary is authorized to
9 conduct a feasibility study for the following projects for
10 water resources development and conservation and other
11 purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to
12 Congress on Future Water Resources Development” sub-
13 mitted to Congress pursuant to section 7001 of the Water
14 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33
15 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise reviewed by Congress:

16 (1) YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA.—Project for
17 flood risk management, Yavapai County, Arizona.

18 (2) EASTMAN LAKE, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
19 ecosystem restoration and water supply, including
20 for conservation and recharge, Eastman Lake,
21 Merced and Madera Counties, California.

22 (3) PINE FLAT DAM, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
23 ecosystem restoration, water supply, and recreation,
24 Pine Flat Dam, Fresno County, California.

1 (4) SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood
2 risk management, including sea level rise, San
3 Diego, California.

4 (5) SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
5 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
6 including levee improvement, Sacramento River,
7 Sacramento, California.

8 (6) SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
9 flood risk management, City of San Mateo, Cali-
10 fornia.

11 (7) SACRAMENTO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
12 Project for flood risk management, ecosystem res-
13 toration, and water supply, Lower Cosumnes River,
14 Sacramento County, California.

15 (8) COLORADO SPRINGS, COLORADO.—Project
16 for ecosystem restoration and flood risk manage-
17 ment, Fountain Creek, Monument Creek, and T-
18 Gap Levee, Colorado Springs, Colorado.

19 (9) PLYMOUTH, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
20 ecosystem restoration, Plymouth, Connecticut.

21 (10) WINDHAM, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
22 ecosystem restoration and recreation, Windham,
23 Connecticut.

24 (11) ENFIELD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
25 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,

1 including restoring freshwater brook floodplain, En-
2 field, Connecticut.

3 (12) NEWINGTON, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
4 flood risk management, Newington, Connecticut.

5 (13) HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
6 hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, Hart-
7 ford, Connecticut.

8 (14) FAIRFIELD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for
9 flood risk management, Rooster River, Fairfield,
10 Connecticut.

11 (15) MILTON, DELAWARE.—Project for flood
12 risk management, Milton, Delaware.

13 (16) WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—Project for
14 coastal storm risk management, City of Wilmington,
15 Delaware.

16 (17) TYBEE ISLAND, GEORGIA.—Project for
17 flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-
18 agement, including the potential for beneficial use of
19 dredged material, Tybee Island, Georgia.

20 (18) HANAPEPE LEVEE, HAWAII.—Project for
21 ecosystem restoration, flood risk management, and
22 hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, includ-
23 ing Hanapepe Levee, Kauai County, Hawaii.

1 (19) KAUAI COUNTY, HAWAII.—Project for
2 flood risk management and coastal storm risk man-
3 agement, Kauai County, Hawaii.

4 (20) HAWAI‘I KAI, HAWAII.—Project for flood
5 risk management, Hawai‘i Kai, Hawaii.

6 (21) MAUI, HAWAII.—Project for flood risk
7 management and ecosystem restoration, Maui Coun-
8 ty, Hawaii.

9 (22) BUTTERFIELD CREEK, ILLINOIS.—Project
10 for flood risk management, Butterfield Creek, Illi-
11 nois, including the villages of Flossmoor, Matteson,
12 Park Forest, and Richton Park.

13 (23) ROCKY RIPPLE, INDIANA.—Project for
14 flood risk management, Rocky Ripple, Indiana.

15 (24) COFFEYVILLE, KANSAS.—Project for flood
16 risk management, Coffeyville, Kansas.

17 (25) FULTON COUNTY, KENTUCKY.—Project for
18 flood risk management, including bank stabilization,
19 Fulton County, Kentucky.

20 (26) CUMBERLAND RIVER, CRITTENDEN COUN-
21 TY, LYON COUNTY, AND LIVINGSTON COUNTY, KEN-
22 TUCKY.—Project for ecosystem restoration, includ-
23 ing bank stabilization, Cumberland River, Crittenden
24 County, Lyon County, and Livingston County, Ken-
25 tucky.

1 (27) SCOTT COUNTY, KENTUCKY.—Project for
2 ecosystem restoration, including water supply, Scott
3 County, Kentucky.

4 (28) BULLSKIN CREEK AND SHELBY COUNTY,
5 KENTUCKY.—Project for ecosystem restoration, in-
6 cluding bank stabilization, Bullskin Creek and
7 Shelby County, Kentucky.

8 (29) LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN BARRIER, LOU-
9 ISIANA.—Project for hurricane and storm damage
10 risk reduction, Orleans Parish, St. Tammany Par-
11 ish, and St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana.

12 (30) OCEAN CITY, MARYLAND.—Project for
13 flood risk management, Ocean City, Maryland.

14 (31) BEAVERDAM CREEK, MARYLAND.—Project
15 for flood risk management, Beaverdam Creek,
16 Prince George's County, Maryland.

17 (32) OAK BLUFFS, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project
18 for flood risk management, coastal storm risk man-
19 agement, recreation, and ecosystem restoration, in-
20 cluding shoreline stabilization along East Chop
21 Drive, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts.

22 (33) TISBURY, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project for
23 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline
24 stabilization along Beach Road Causeway, Tisbury,
25 Massachusetts.

1 (34) OAK BLUFFS HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.—
2 Project for coastal storm risk management and navi-
3 gation, Oak Bluffs Harbor north and south jetties,
4 Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts.

5 (35) CONNECTICUT RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.—
6 Project for flood risk management along the Con-
7 necticut River, Massachusetts.

8 (36) MARYSVILLE, MICHIGAN.—Project for
9 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline
10 stabilization, City of Marysville, Michigan.

11 (37) CHEBOYGAN, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood
12 risk management, Little Black River, City of Che-
13 boygan, Michigan.

14 (38) KALAMAZOO, MICHIGAN.—Project for flood
15 risk management and ecosystem restoration, Kala-
16 mazoo River Watershed and tributaries, City of
17 Kalamazoo, Michigan.

18 (39) DEARBORN AND DEARBORN HEIGHTS,
19 MICHIGAN.—Project for flood risk management,
20 Dearborn and Dearborn Heights, Michigan.

21 (40) GRAND TRAVERSE BAY, MICHIGAN.—
22 Project for navigation, Grand Traverse Bay, Michi-
23 gan.

1 (41) GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—
2 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
3 restoration, Grand Traverse County, Michigan.

4 (42) BRIGHTON MILL POND, MICHIGAN.—
5 Project for ecosystem restoration, Brighton Mill
6 Pond, Michigan.

7 (43) LUDINGTON, MICHIGAN.—Project for
8 coastal storm risk management, including feasibility
9 of emergency shoreline protection, Ludington, Michi-
10 gan.

11 (44) PAHRUMP, NEVADA.—Project for hurri-
12 cane and storm damage risk reduction and flood risk
13 management, Pahrump, Nevada.

14 (45) ALLEGHENY RIVER, NEW YORK.—Project
15 for navigation and ecosystem restoration, Allegheny
16 River, New York.

17 (46) TURTLE COVE, NEW YORK.—Project for
18 ecosystem restoration, Turtle Cove, Pelham Bay
19 Park, Bronx, New York.

20 (47) NILES, OHIO.—Project for flood risk man-
21 agement, ecosystem restoration, and recreation, City
22 of Niles, Ohio.

23 (48) GENEVA-ON-THE-LAKE, OHIO.—Project for
24 flood and coastal storm risk management, ecosystem

1 restoration, recreation, and shoreline erosion protec-
2 tion, Geneva-on-the-Lake, Ohio.

3 (49) LITTLE KILLBUCK CREEK, OHIO.—Project
4 for ecosystem restoration, including aquatic invasive
5 species management, Little Killbuck Creek, Ohio.

6 (50) DEFIANCE, OHIO.—Project for flood risk
7 management, ecosystem restoration, recreation, and
8 bank stabilization, Maumee, Auglaize, and Tiffin
9 Rivers, Defiance, Ohio.

10 (51) DILLON LAKE, MUSKINGUM COUNTY,
11 OHIO.—Project for ecosystem restoration, recreation,
12 and shoreline erosion protection, Dillon Lake,
13 Muskingum and Licking Counties, Ohio.

14 (52) JERUSALEM TOWNSHIP, OHIO.—Project
15 for flood and coastal storm risk management and
16 shoreline erosion protection, Jerusalem Township,
17 Ohio.

18 (53) NINE MILE CREEK, CLEVELAND, OHIO.—
19 Project for flood risk management, Nine Mile Creek,
20 Cleveland, Ohio.

21 (54) COLD CREEK, OHIO.—Project for eco-
22 system restoration, Cold Creek, Erie County, Ohio.

23 (55) ALLEGHENY RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.—
24 Project for navigation and ecosystem restoration, Al-
25 legheny River, Pennsylvania.

1 (56) PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—Project
2 for ecosystem restoration and recreation, including
3 shoreline stabilization, South Philadelphia Wetlands
4 Park, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

5 (57) GALVESTON BAY, TEXAS.—Project for
6 navigation, Galveston Bay, Texas.

7 (58) WINOOSKI, VERMONT.—Project for flood
8 risk management, Winooski River and tributaries,
9 Winooski, Vermont.

10 (59) MT. ST. HELENS, WASHINGTON.—Project
11 for navigation, Mt. St. Helens, Washington.

12 (60) GRAYS BAY, WASHINGTON.—Project for
13 navigation, flood risk management, and ecosystem
14 restoration, Grays Bay, Wahkiakum County, Wash-
15 ington.

16 (61) WIND, KLICKITAT, HOOD, DESCHUTES,
17 ROCK CREEK, AND JOHN DAY TRIBUTARIES, WASH-
18 INGTON.—Project for ecosystem restoration, Wind,
19 Klickitat, Hood, Deschutes, Rock Creek, and John
20 Day tributaries, Washington.

21 (62) LA CROSSE, WISCONSIN.—Project for flood
22 risk management, City of La Crosse, Wisconsin.

23 (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary is au-
24 thorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following
25 project modifications:

1 (1) LUXAPALILA CREEK, ALABAMA.—Modifica-
2 tions to the project for flood risk management,
3 Luxapalila Creek, Alabama, authorized by section
4 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1958 (72 Stat.
5 307).

6 (2) OSCEOLA HARBOR, ARKANSAS.—Modifica-
7 tions to the project for navigation, Osceola Harbor,
8 Arkansas, authorized under section 107 of the River
9 and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577), to evalu-
10 ate the expansion of the harbor.

11 (3) SAVANNAH, GEORGIA.—Modifications to the
12 project for navigation, Savannah Harbor Expansion
13 Project, Georgia, authorized by section 7002(1) of
14 the Water Resources Reform and Development Act
15 of 2014 (128 Stat. 1364) and modified by section
16 1401(6) of the America’s Water Infrastructure Act
17 of 2018 (132 Stat. 3839).

18 (4) HAGAMAN CHUTE, LOUISIANA.—Modifica-
19 tions to the project for navigation, including sedi-
20 ment management, Hagaman Chute, Louisiana.

21 (5) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,
22 OUACHITA RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Modifications to the
23 project for flood risk management, including bank
24 stabilization, Ouachita River, Monroe to Caldwell
25 Parish, Louisiana, authorized by the first section of

1 the Act of May 15, 1928 (45 Stat. 534, chapter
2 569).

3 (6) ST. MARYS RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Modifica-
4 tions to the project for navigation, St. Marys River
5 and tributaries, Michigan, for channel improve-
6 ments.

7 (7) MOSQUITO CREEK LAKE, TRUMBULL COUN-
8 TY, OHIO.—Modifications to the project for flood
9 risk management and water supply, Mosquito Creek
10 Lake, Trumbull County, Ohio.

11 (8) LITTLE CONEMAUGH, STONYCREEK, AND
12 CONEMAUGH RIVERS, PENNSYLVANIA.—Modifica-
13 tions to the project for ecosystem restoration, recre-
14 ation, and flood risk management, Little
15 Conemaugh, Stonycreek, and Conemaugh rivers,
16 Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of the Act of
17 June 22, 1936 (commonly known as the “Flood
18 Control Act of 1936”) (49 Stat. 1586, chapter 688;
19 50 Stat. 879; chapter 877).

20 (9) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Modi-
21 fications to the project for navigation, Charleston
22 Harbor, South Carolina, authorized by section
23 1401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
24 2016 (130 Stat. 1709), including improvements to
25 address potential or actual changed conditions on

1 that portion of the project that serves the North
2 Charleston Terminal.

3 (10) ADDICKS AND BARKER RESERVOIRS,
4 TEXAS.—Modifications to the project for flood risk
5 management, Addicks and Barker Reservoirs, Texas.

6 (11) MONONGAHELA RIVER, WEST VIRGINIA.—
7 Modifications to the project for recreation,
8 Monongahela River, West Virginia.

9 (c) SPECIAL RULE, ST. MARYS RIVER, MICHIGAN.—
10 The cost of the study under subsection (b)(6) shall be
11 shared in accordance with the cost share applicable to con-
12 struction of the project for navigation, Sault Sainte Marie,
13 Michigan, authorized by section 1149 of the Water Re-
14 sources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4254; 121
15 Stat. 1131).

16 **SEC. 202. VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND ACCELERATION OF**
17 **STUDIES.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1001 of the Water Re-
19 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
20 2282c) is amended—

21 (1) by redesignating subsections (d), (e), and
22 (f) as subsections (e), (f), and (g), respectively;

23 (2) by inserting after subsection (c) the fol-
24 lowing:

25 “(d) DELEGATION.—

1 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall dele-
2 gate the determination to grant an extension under
3 subsection (c) to the Commander of the relevant Di-
4 vision if—

5 “(A) the final feasibility report for the
6 study can be completed with an extension of not
7 more than 1 year beyond the time period de-
8 scribed in subsection (a)(1); or

9 “(B) the feasibility study requires an addi-
10 tional cost of not more than \$1,000,000 above
11 the amount described in subsection (a)(2).

12 “(2) GUIDANCE.—If the Secretary determines
13 that implementation guidance is necessary to imple-
14 ment this subsection, the Secretary shall issue such
15 implementation guidance not later than 180 days
16 after the date of enactment of the Water Resources
17 Development Act of 2024.”; and

18 (3) by adding at the end the following:

19 “(h) DEFINITION OF DIVISION.—In this section, the
20 term ‘Division’ means each of the following Divisions of
21 the Corps of Engineers:

22 “(1) The Great Lakes and Ohio River Division.

23 “(2) The Mississippi Valley Division.

24 “(3) The North Atlantic Division.

25 “(4) The Northwestern Division.

1 “(5) The Pacific Ocean Division.

2 “(6) The South Atlantic Division.

3 “(7) The South Pacific Division.

4 “(8) The Southwestern Division.”;

5 (b) DEADLINE.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
7 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
8 retary shall develop and issue implementation guid-
9 ance that improves the implementation of section
10 1001 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-
11 ment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c).

12 (2) STANDARDIZED FORM.—In carrying out
13 this subsection, the Secretary shall develop and pro-
14 vide to each Division (as defined in subsection (h) of
15 section 1001 of the Water Resources Reform and
16 Development of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c)) a stand-
17 ardized form to assist the Divisions in preparing a
18 written request for an exception under subsection (c)
19 of that section.

20 (3) NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall sub-
21 mit a written copy of the implementation guidance
22 developed under paragraph (1) to the Committee on
23 Environment and Public Works of the Senate and
24 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure
25 of the House of Representatives not less than 30

1 days before the date on which the Secretary makes
2 that guidance publicly available.

3 **SEC. 203. EXPEDITED COMPLETION.**

4 (a) FEASIBILITY STUDIES.—The Secretary shall ex-
5 pedite the completion of a feasibility study or general re-
6 evaluation report (as applicable) for each of the following
7 projects, and if the Secretary determines that the project
8 is justified in a completed report, may proceed directly to
9 preconstruction planning, engineering, and design of the
10 project:

11 (1) Project for food risk management, Upper
12 Guyandotte River Basin, West Virginia.

13 (2) Project for flood risk management,
14 Kanawha River Basin, West Virginia, Virginia, and
15 North Carolina.

16 (3) Project for flood risk management, Cave
17 Buttes Dam, Phoenix, Arizona.

18 (4) Project for flood risk management,
19 McMicken Dam, Maricopa County, Arizona.

20 (5) Project for ecosystem restoration, Rio Sa-
21 lado, Phoenix, Arizona.

22 (6) Project for flood risk management, Lower
23 San Joaquin River, San Joaquin Valley, California.

24 (7) Project for flood risk management, Strat-
25 ford, Connecticut.

1 (8) Project for flood risk management, Waimea
2 River, Kauai County, Hawaii.

3 (9) Modifications to the project for flood risk
4 management, Cedar River, Cedar Rapids, Iowa, au-
5 thorized by section 8201(b)(6) of the Water Re-
6 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3750).

7 (10) Project for flood risk management, Rah-
8 way River, Rahway, New Jersey.

9 (11) Northeast Levee System portion of the
10 project for flood control and other purposes, Wil-
11 liamsport, Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of
12 the Act of June 22, 1936 (commonly known as the
13 “Flood Control Act of 1936”) (49 Stat. 1573, chap-
14 ter 688).

15 (12) Project for navigation, Menominee River,
16 Menominee, Wisconsin.

17 (13) General reevaluation report for the project
18 for flood risk management and other purposes, East
19 St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois.

20 (14) General reevaluation report for project for
21 flood risk management, Green Brook, New Jersey.

22 (15) Project for ecosystem restoration, Imperial
23 Streams Salton Sea, California.

24 (16) Modification of the project for navigation,
25 Honolulu Deep Draft Harbor, Hawaii.

1 (17) Project for shoreline damage mitigation,
2 Burns Waterway Harbor, Indiana.

3 (18) Project for hurricane and coastal storm
4 risk management, Dare County Beaches, North
5 Carolina.

6 (19) Modification of the project for flood pro-
7 tection and recreation, Surry Mountain Lake, New
8 Hampshire, including for consideration of low flow
9 augmentation.

10 (20) Project for coastal storm risk manage-
11 ment, Virginia Beach and vicinity, Virginia.

12 (21) Project for secondary water source identi-
13 fication, Washington Metropolitan Area, Wash-
14 ington, DC, Maryland, and Virginia.

15 (b) STUDY REPORTS.—The Secretary shall expedite
16 the completion of a Chief's Report or Director's Report
17 (as applicable) for each of the following projects for the
18 project to be considered for authorization:

19 (1) Modification of the project for navigation,
20 Norfolk Harbors and Channels, Anchorage F seg-
21 ment, Norfolk, Virginia.

22 (2) Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,
23 Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands, Florida.

1 (3) Project for ecosystem restoration, Claiborne
2 and Millers Ferry Locks and Dam Fish Passage,
3 Lower Alabama River, Alabama.

4 (4) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
5 tion, Surf City, North Carolina.

6 (5) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
7 tion, Nassau County Back Bays, New York.

8 (6) Project for flood risk management, Tar
9 Pamlico, North Carolina.

10 (7) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central
11 and South Florida Comprehensive Everglades Res-
12 toration Program, Western Everglades Restoration
13 Project, Florida.

14 (8) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
15 tion, Ala Wai, Hawaii.

16 (9) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central
17 and South Florida Comprehensive Everglades Res-
18 toration Program, Lake Okeechobee Watershed Res-
19 toration, Florida.

20 (10) Project for flood and coastal storm dam-
21 age reduction, Miami-Dade County Back Bay, Flor-
22 ida.

23 (11) Project for navigation, Tampa Harbor,
24 Florida.

1 (12) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
2 tion, Akutan Harbor Navigational Improvements,
3 Alaska.

4 (13) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
5 tion, Amite River and tributaries, Louisiana.

6 (14) Project for flood and coastal storm risk
7 management, Puerto Rico Coastal Study, Puerto
8 Rico.

9 (15) Project for coastal storm risk manage-
10 ment, Baltimore, Maryland.

11 (16) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
12 tion and ecosystem restoration, St. Tammany Par-
13 ish, Louisiana.

14 (17) Project for flood and storm damage reduc-
15 tion, Washington, DC.

16 (18) Project for ecosystem restoration, Tres
17 Rios, Arizona.

18 (19) Project for navigation, Oakland Harbor,
19 Oakland, California.

20 (20) Project for water supply reallocation,
21 Stockton Lake Reallocation Study, Missouri.

22 (21) Project for ecosystem restoration,
23 Hatchie–Loosahatchie Mississippi River, Tennessee
24 and Arkansas.

1 (22) Project for ecosystem restoration, Biscayne
2 Bay and Southern Everglades, Florida, authorized
3 by section 601 of the Water Resources Development
4 Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680).

5 (c) PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall, to the max-
6 imum extent practicable, expedite completion of the fol-
7 lowing projects:

8 (1) Project for flood control, Lower Mud River,
9 Milton, West Virginia, authorized by section 580 of
10 the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110
11 Stat. 3790) and modified by section 340 of the
12 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114
13 Stat. 2612) and section 3170 of the Water Re-
14 sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1154).

15 (2) Project for dam safety modifications,
16 Bluestone Dam, West Virginia, authorized pursuant
17 to section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (commonly
18 known as the “Flood Control Act of 1936”) (49
19 Stat. 1586, chapter 688).

20 (3) Project for flood risk management, Tulsa
21 and West–Tulsa Levee System, Tulsa County, Okla-
22 homa, authorized by section 401(2) of the Water Re-
23 sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735).

24 (4) Project for flood risk management, Little
25 Colorado River, Navajo County, Arizona.

1 (5) Project for flood risk management, Rio de
2 Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona.

3 (6) Project for ecosystem restoration, Va
4 Shly'AY Akimel, Maricopa Indian Reservation, Ari-
5 zona.

6 (7) Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration,
7 Quincy Bay, Illinois, Upper Mississippi River Res-
8 toration Program.

9 (8) Project for navigation, Matagorda Ship
10 Channel Improvement Project, Port Lavaca, Texas,
11 authorized by section 401(1) of the Water Resources
12 Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2734).

13 (9) Major maintenance on Laupahoehoe Har-
14 bor, Hawaii County, Hawaii.

15 (10) Project for flood risk management, Green
16 Brook, New Jersey.

17 (11) Water control manual update for water
18 supply and flood control, Theodore Roosevelt Dam,
19 Globe, Arizona.

20 (12) Water control manual update for Oroville
21 Dam, Butte County, California.

22 (13) Water control manual update for New
23 Bullards Dam, Yuba County, California.

24 (14) Project for flood risk management, Mor-
25 gan City, Louisiana.

1 (15) Project for hurricane and storm risk re-
2 duction, Upper Barataria Basin, Louisiana.

3 (16) Project for ecosystem restoration, Mid-
4 Chesapeake Bay, Maryland.

5 (17) Project for navigation, Big Bay Harbor of
6 Refuge, Michigan.

7 (18) Project for George W. Kuhn Headwaters
8 Outfall, Michigan.

9 (19) The portion of the project for flood control
10 and other purposes, Williamsport, Pennsylvania, au-
11 thorized by section 5 of the Act of June 22, 1936
12 (commonly known as the “Flood Control Act of
13 1936”) (49 Stat. 1573, chapter 688), to bring the
14 Northwest Levee System into compliance with cur-
15 rent flood mitigation standards.

16 (20) Project for navigation, Seattle Harbor,
17 Washington, authorized by section 1401(1) of the
18 Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132
19 Stat. 3836), deepening the East Waterway at the
20 Port of Seattle.

21 (21) Project for shoreline stabilization, Clarks-
22 ville, Indiana.

23 (d) CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS.—The
24 Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expe-
25 dite completion of the following projects and studies:

1 (1) Projects for flood control under section 205
2 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s)
3 for the following areas:

4 (A) Ak Chin Levee, Pinal County, Arizona.

5 (B) McCormick Wash, Globe, Arizona.

6 (C) Rose and Palm Garden Washes, Doug-
7 las, Arizona.

8 (D) Lower Santa Cruz River, Arizona.

9 (2) Project for aquatic ecosystem restoration
10 under section 206 of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2330), Corazon de los
12 Tres Rios del Norte, Pima County, Arizona.

13 (3) Project for hurricane and storm damage re-
14 duction under section 3 of the Act of August 13,
15 1946 (60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33 U.S.C. 426g),
16 Stratford, Connecticut.

17 (4) Project modification for improvements to
18 the environment, Surry Mountain Lake, New Hamp-
19 shire, under section 1135 of the Water Resources
20 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a).

21 (e) TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—The Sec-
22 retary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite
23 completion of the following projects and studies under the
24 Tribal partnership program under section 203 of the

1 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C.
2 2269):

3 (1) Maricopa (Ak Chin) Indian Reservation, Ar-
4 izona.

5 (2) Gila River Indian Reservation, Arizona.

6 (3) Navajo Nation, Bird Springs, Arizona.

7 (f) WATERSHED ASSESSMENTS.—The Secretary
8 shall, to the maximum extent practicable, expedite comple-
9 tion of the watershed assessment for flood risk manage-
10 ment, Upper Mississippi and Illinois Rivers, authorized by
11 section 1206 of Water Resources Development Act of
12 2016 (130 Stat. 1686) and section 214 of the Water Re-
13 sources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2687).

14 (g) EXPEDITED PROSPECTUS.—The Secretary shall
15 prioritize the completion of the prospectus for the United
16 States Moorings Facility, Portland, Oregon, required for
17 authorization of funding from the revolving fund estab-
18 lished by the first section of the Civil Functions Appro-
19 priations Act, 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576).

20 **SEC. 204. EXPEDITED COMPLETION OF OTHER FEASIBILITY**
21 **STUDIES.**

22 (a) CEDAR PORT NAVIGATION AND IMPROVEMENT
23 DISTRICT CHANNEL DEEPENING PROJECT, BAYTOWN,
24 TEXAS.—The Secretary shall expedite the review and co-
25 ordination of the feasibility study for the project for navi-

1 gation, Cedar Port Navigation and Improvement District
2 Channel Deepening Project, Baytown, Texas, under sec-
3 tion 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of
4 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

5 (b) LAKE OKEECHOBEE WATERSHED RESTORATION
6 PROJECT, FLORIDA.—The Secretary shall expedite the re-
7 view and coordination of the feasibility study for the
8 project for ecosystem restoration, Lake Okeechobee Com-
9 ponent A Reservoir, Everglades, Florida, under section
10 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
11 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

12 (c) SABINE–NECHES WATERWAY NAVIGATION IM-
13 PROVEDMENT PROJECT, TEXAS.—The Secretary shall ex-
14 pedite the review and coordination of the feasibility study
15 for the project for navigation, Sabine–Neches Waterway,
16 Texas, under section 203(b) of the Water Resources De-
17 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

18 (d) LA QUINTA EXPANSION PROJECT, TEXAS.—The
19 Secretary shall expedite the review and coordination of the
20 feasibility study for the project for navigation, La Quinta
21 Ship Channel, Corpus Christi, Texas, under section
22 203(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
23 (33 U.S.C. 2231(b)).

1 **SEC. 205. ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOU-**
2 **ISIANA, FEASIBILITY STUDY.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to
4 conduct a feasibility study for the project for flood risk
5 management, navigation and ecosystem restoration,
6 Rapides, Avoyelles, Point Coupee, Allen, Evangeline, St.
7 Landry, Calcasieu, Jefferson Davis, Acadia, Lafayette, St.
8 Martin, Iberville, Cameron, Vermilion, Iberia, and St.
9 Mary Parishes, Louisiana.

10 (b) SPECIAL RULE.—The study authorized by sub-
11 section (a) shall be considered a continuation of the study
12 authorized by the resolution of the Committee on Trans-
13 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
14 tives with respect to the study for flood risk management,
15 Alexandria to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, dated July
16 23, 1997.

17 **SEC. 206. CRAIG HARBOR, ALASKA.**

18 The cost of completing a general reevaluation report
19 for the project for navigation, Craig Harbor, Alaska, au-
20 thorized by section 1401(1) of the Water Resources Devel-
21 opment Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1709) shall be at full Fed-
22 eral expense.

23 **SEC. 207. SUSSEX COUNTY, DELAWARE.**

24 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
25 gress that consistent nourishments of Lewes Beach, Dela-

1 ware, are important for the safety and economic prosperity
2 of Sussex County, Delaware.

3 (b) GENERAL REEVALUATION REPORT.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry
5 out a general reevaluation report for the project for
6 Delaware Bay Coastline, Roosevelt Inlet, and Lewes
7 Beach, Delaware.

8 (2) INCLUSIONS.—The general reevaluation re-
9 port under paragraph (1) shall include a determina-
10 tion of—

11 (A) the area that the project should in-
12 clude; and

13 (B) how section 111 of the River and Har-
14 bor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i) should be ap-
15 plied with respect to the project.

16 **SEC. 208. FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS**
17 **IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN.**

18 Section 1222 of the America’s Water Infrastructure
19 Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3811; 134 Stat. 2661) is amended
20 by adding at the end the following:

21 “(d) FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS
22 IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN.—

23 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
24 the date of enactment of this subsection, the Sec-
25 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-

1 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
2 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
3 lic Works of the Senate a report that assesses the
4 viability of forecast-informed reservoir operations at
5 a reservoir in the Colorado River Basin.

6 “(2) AUTHORIZATION.—If the Secretary deter-
7 mines, and includes in the report under paragraph
8 (1), that forecast-informed reservoir operations are
9 viable at a reservoir in the Colorado River Basin, the
10 Secretary is authorized to carry out forecast-in-
11 formed reservoir operations at that reservoir, subject
12 to the availability of appropriations.”.

13 **SEC. 209. BEAVER LAKE, ARKANSAS, REALLOCATION**
14 **STUDY.**

15 The Secretary shall expedite the completion of a
16 study for the reallocation of water supply storage, carried
17 out in accordance with section 301 of the Water Supply
18 Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b), for the Beaver Water Dis-
19 trict, Beaver Lake, Arkansas.

20 **SEC. 210. GATHRIGHT DAM, VIRGINIA, STUDY.**

21 The Secretary shall conduct a study on the feasibility
22 of modifying the project for flood risk management,
23 Gathright Dam, Virginia, authorized by section 10 of the
24 Flood Control Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 645, chapter 596),
25 to include downstream recreation as a project purpose.

1 **SEC. 211. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS WATERSHED STUDY.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a
3 study to restore aquatic ecosystems in the Delaware In-
4 land Bays Watershed.

5 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the study
7 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

8 (A) conduct a comprehensive analysis of
9 ecosystem restoration needs in the Delaware In-
10 land Bays Watershed, including—

11 (i) saltmarsh restoration;

12 (ii) shoreline stabilization;

13 (iii) stormwater management; and

14 (iv) an identification of sources for
15 the beneficial use of dredged materials;
16 and

17 (B) recommend feasibility studies to ad-
18 dress the needs identified under subparagraph
19 (A).

20 (2) NATURAL OR NATURE-BASED FEATURES.—

21 To the maximum extent practicable, a feasibility
22 study that is recommended under paragraph (1)(B)
23 shall consider the use of natural features or nature-
24 based features (as those terms are defined in section
25 1184(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of
26 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2289a(a))).

1 (c) CONSULTATION AND USE OF EXISTING DATA.—

2 (1) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out the study
3 under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consult
4 with applicable—

5 (A) Federal, State, and local agencies;

6 (B) Indian Tribes;

7 (C) non-Federal interests; and

8 (D) other stakeholders, as determined ap-
9 propriate by the Secretary.

10 (2) USE OF EXISTING DATA.—To the maximum
11 extent practicable, in carrying out the study under
12 subsection (a), the Secretary shall use existing data
13 provided to the Secretary by entities described in
14 paragraph (1).

15 (d) FEASIBILITY STUDIES.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may carry out
17 a feasibility study for a project recommended under
18 subsection (b)(1)(B).

19 (2) CONGRESSIONAL AUTHORIZATION.—The
20 Secretary may not begin construction for a project
21 recommended by a feasibility study described in
22 paragraph (1) unless the project has been authorized
23 by Congress.

1 (e) REPORT.—Not later than 3 years after the date
2 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
3 Congress a report that includes—

4 (1) the results of the study under subsection
5 (a); and

6 (2) a description of actions taken under this
7 section, including any feasibility studies under sub-
8 section (b)(1)(B).

9 **SEC. 212. UPPER SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COM-**
10 **PREHENSIVE FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION**
11 **FEASIBILITY STUDY.**

12 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall, at the re-
13 quest of a non-Federal interest, complete a feasibility
14 study for comprehensive flood damage reduction, Upper
15 Susquehanna River Basin, New York.

16 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out the feasibility
17 study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

18 (1) use, for purposes of meeting the require-
19 ments of a final feasibility study, information from
20 the feasibility study completion report entitled
21 “Upper Susquehanna River Basin, New York, Com-
22 prehensive Flood Damage Reduction” and dated
23 January 2020; and

24 (2) re-evaluate project benefits, as determined
25 using the framework described in the proposed rule

1 of the Corps of Engineers entitled “Corps of Engi-
2 neers Agency Specific Procedures To Implement the
3 Principles, Requirements, and Guidelines for Federal
4 Investments in Water Resources” (89 Fed. Reg.
5 12066 (February 15, 2024)), including a consider-
6 ation of economically disadvantaged communities (as
7 defined pursuant to section 160 of the Water Re-
8 sources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201
9 note; Public Law 116–260)).

10 **SEC. 213. KANAWHA RIVER BASIN.**

11 Section 1207 of the Water Resources Development
12 Act of 2016 (130 Stat. 1686) is amended—

13 (1) by striking “The Secretary shall” and in-
14 serting the following:

15 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall”; and

16 (2) by adding at the end the following:

17 “(b) PROJECTS AND SEPARABLE ELEMENTS.—Not-
18 withstanding any other provision of law, for an authorized
19 project or a separable element of an authorized project
20 that is recommended as a result of a study carried out
21 by the Secretary under subsection (a) benefitting an eco-
22 nomically disadvantaged community (as defined pursuant
23 to section 160 of the Water Resources Development Act
24 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)) in
25 the State of West Virginia, the non-Federal share of the

1 cost of the project or separable element of a project shall
2 be 10 percent.”.

3 **SEC. 214. AUTHORIZATION OF FEASIBILITY STUDIES FOR**
4 **PROJECTS FROM CAP AUTHORITIES.**

5 (a) CEDAR POINT SEAWALL, SCITUATE, MASSACHU-
6 SETTS.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct
8 a feasibility study for the project for hurricane and
9 storm damage risk reduction, Cedar Point Seawall,
10 Scituate, Massachusetts.

11 (2) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph
12 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
13 from the project described in that paragraph that
14 was carried out under section 3 of the Act of August
15 13, 1946 (60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33 U.S.C.
16 426g).

17 (b) JONES LEVEE, PIERCE COUNTY, WASH-
18 INGTON.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct
20 a feasibility study for the project for flood risk man-
21 agement, Jones Levee, Pierce County, Washington.

22 (2) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph
23 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
24 from the project described in that paragraph that

1 was carried out under section 205 of the Flood Con-
2 trol Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

3 (c) HATCH, NEW MEXICO.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct
5 a feasibility study for the project for flood risk man-
6 agement, Hatch, New Mexico.

7 (2) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph
8 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
9 from the project described in that paragraph that
10 was carried out under section 205 of the Flood Con-
11 trol Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

12 (d) FORT GEORGE INLET, JACKSONVILLE, FLOR-
13 IDA.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may conduct
15 a feasibility study to modify the project for naviga-
16 tion, Fort George Inlet, Jacksonville, Florida, to in-
17 clude navigation improvements or shoreline erosion
18 prevention or mitigation as a result of the project.

19 (2) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out paragraph
20 (1), the Secretary shall use any relevant information
21 from the project described in that paragraph that
22 was carried out under section 111 of the River and
23 Harbor Act of 1968 (33 U.S.C. 426i).

1 **SEC. 215. PORT FOURCHON BELLE PASS CHANNEL, LOU-**
2 **ISIANA.**

3 (a) FEASIBILITY STUDY.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section
5 203(a)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act
6 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(a)(1)), the non-Federal in-
7 terest for the project for navigation, Port Fourchon
8 Belle Pass Channel, Louisiana, authorized by section
9 403(a)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act
10 of 2020 (134 Stat. 2743) may, on written notifica-
11 tion to the Secretary, and at the cost of the non-
12 Federal interest, carry out a feasibility study to
13 modify the project for deepening in accordance with
14 section 203 of the Water Resources Development
15 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231).

16 (2) REQUIREMENT.—A modification rec-
17 ommended by a feasibility study under paragraph
18 (1) shall be approved by the Secretary and author-
19 ized by Congress before construction.

20 (b) PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS.—

21 (1) PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS FOR SEC-
22 TION 203.—To the maximum extent practicable, the
23 Secretary shall use the previous agreement between
24 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest for the
25 feasibility study carried about under section 203 of
26 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33

1 U.S.C. 2231) that resulted in the project described
2 in subsection (a)(1) in order to expedite the revised
3 agreement between the Secretary and the non-Fed-
4 eral interest for the feasibility study described in
5 that subsection.

6 (2) PRIOR WRITTEN AGREEMENTS FOR TECH-
7 NICAL ASSISTANCE.—On the request of the non-Fed-
8 eral interest described in subsection (a)(1), the Sec-
9 retary shall use the previous agreement for technical
10 assistance under section 203 of the Water Resources
11 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231) between
12 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest in order
13 to provide technical assistance to the non-Federal in-
14 terest for the feasibility study under subsection
15 (a)(1).

16 (c) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary
17 shall—

18 (1) review the feasibility study under subsection
19 (a)(1); and

20 (2) if the Secretary determines that the pro-
21 posed modifications are consistent with the author-
22 ized purposes of the project and the study meets the
23 same legal and regulatory requirements of a Post
24 Authorization Change Report that would be other-

1 wise undertaken by the Secretary, submit to Con-
2 gress the study for authorization of the modification.

3 **SEC. 216. STUDIES FOR MODIFICATION OF PROJECT PUR-**
4 **POSES IN THE COLORADO RIVER BASIN IN**
5 **ARIZONA.**

6 (a) STUDY.—The Secretary shall carry out a study
7 of a project of the Corps of Engineers in the Colorado
8 River Basin in the State of Arizona to determine whether
9 to include water supply as a project purpose of that
10 project if a request for such a study to modify the project
11 purpose is made to the Secretary by—

12 (1) the non-Federal interest for the project; or

13 (2) in the case of a project for which there is
14 no non-Federal interest, the Governor of the State
15 of Arizona.

16 (b) COORDINATION.—The Secretary, to the max-
17 imum extent practicable, shall coordinate with relevant
18 State and local authorities in carrying out this section.

19 (c) RECOMMENDATIONS.—If, after carrying out a
20 study under subsection (a) with respect to a project de-
21 scribed in that subsection, the Secretary determines that
22 water supply should be included as a project purpose for
23 that project, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee
24 on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the
25 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the

1 House of Representatives a recommendation for the modi-
2 fication of the project purpose of that project.

3 **SEC. 217. NON-FEDERAL INTEREST PREPARATION OF**
4 **WATER REALLOCATION STUDIES, NORTH DA-**
5 **KOTA.**

6 Section 301 of the Water Supply Act of 1958 (43
7 U.S.C. 390b) is amended by adding at the following:

8 “(f) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST PREPARATION.—

9 “(1) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with this
10 subsection, a non-Federal interest may carry out a
11 water reallocation study at a reservoir project con-
12 structed by the Corps of Engineers and located in
13 the State of North Dakota.

14 “(2) SUBMISSION.—On completion of the study
15 under paragraph (1), the non-Federal interest shall
16 submit to the Secretary the results of the study.

17 “(3) GUIDELINES.—

18 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180
19 days after the date of enactment of this sub-
20 section, the Secretary shall issue guidelines for
21 the formulation of a water reallocation study
22 carried out by a non-Federal interest under this
23 subsection.

1 “(B) REQUIREMENTS.—The guidelines
2 under subparagraph (A) shall contain provi-
3 sions that—

4 “(i) ensure that any water reallocation
5 study with respect to which the Secretary
6 submits an assessment under paragraph
7 (6) complies with all of the requirements
8 that would apply to a water reallocation
9 study undertaken by the Secretary; and

10 “(ii) provide sufficient information for
11 the formulation of the water reallocation
12 studies, including processes and procedures
13 related to reviews and assistance under
14 paragraph (7).

15 “(4) AGREEMENT.—Before carrying out a
16 water reallocation study under paragraph (1), the
17 Secretary and the non-Federal interest shall enter
18 into an agreement.

19 “(5) REVIEW BY SECRETARY.—

20 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
21 review each water reallocation study received
22 under paragraph (2) for the purpose of deter-
23 mining whether or not the study, and the proc-
24 ess under which the study was developed, com-

1 ply with Federal laws and regulations applicable
2 to water reallocation studies.

3 “(B) TIMING.—The Secretary may not
4 submit to Congress an assessment of a water
5 reallocation study under paragraph (1) until
6 such time as the Secretary—

7 “(i) determines that the study com-
8 plies with all of the requirements that
9 would apply to a water reallocation study
10 carried out by the Secretary; and

11 “(ii) completes all of the Federal anal-
12 yses, reviews, and compliance processes
13 under the National Environmental Policy
14 Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), that
15 would be required with respect to the pro-
16 posed action if the Secretary had carried
17 out the water reallocation study.

18 “(6) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later
19 than 180 days after the completion of review of a
20 water reallocation study under paragraph (5), the
21 Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Environ-
22 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the Com-
23 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
24 House of Representatives an assessment that—

25 “(A) describes—

1 “(i) the results of that review;

2 “(ii) based on the results of the water
3 allocation study, any structural or oper-
4 ations changes at the reservoir project that
5 would occur if the water reallocation is car-
6 ried out; and

7 “(iii) based on the results of the water
8 reallocation study, any effects to the au-
9 thorized purposes of the reservoir project
10 that would occur if the water reallocation
11 is carried out; and

12 “(B) includes a determination by the Sec-
13 retary of whether the modifications rec-
14 ommended under the study are those described
15 in subsection (e).

16 “(7) REVIEW AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—

17 “(A) REVIEW.—The Secretary may accept
18 and expend funds provided by non-Federal in-
19 terests to carry out the reviews and other activi-
20 ties that are the responsibility of the Secretary
21 in carrying out this subsection.

22 “(B) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—At the re-
23 quest of the non-Federal interest, the Secretary
24 shall provide to the non-Federal interest tech-
25 nical assistance relating to any aspect of a

1 water reallocation study if the non-Federal in-
2 terest contracts with the Secretary to pay all
3 costs of providing that technical assistance.

4 “(C) IMPARTIAL DECISIONMAKING.—In
5 carrying out this subsection, the Secretary shall
6 ensure that the use of funds accepted from a
7 non-Federal interest will not affect the impar-
8 tial decisionmaking of the Secretary, either sub-
9 stantively or procedurally.

10 “(D) SAVINGS PROVISION.—The provision
11 of technical assistance by the Secretary under
12 subparagraph (B)—

13 “(i) shall not be considered to be an
14 approval or endorsement of the water re-
15 allocation study; and

16 “(ii) shall not affect the responsibil-
17 ities of the Secretary under paragraphs (5)
18 and (6).”.

19 **SEC. 218. TECHNICAL CORRECTION, WALLA WALLA RIVER.**

20 Section 8201(a) of the Water Resources Development
21 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3744) is amended—

22 (1) by striking paragraph (76) and inserting
23 the following:

1 “(76) NURSERY REACH, WALLA WALLA RIVER,
2 OREGON.—Project for ecosystem restoration, Nurs-
3 ery Reach, Walla Walla River, Oregon.”;

4 (2) by redesignating paragraphs (92) through
5 (94) as paragraphs (93) through (95), respectively;
6 and

7 (3) by inserting after paragraph (91) the fol-
8 lowing:

9 “(92) MILL CREEK, WALLA WALLA RIVER
10 BASIN, WASHINGTON.—Project for ecosystem res-
11 toration, Mill Creek and Mill Creek Flood Control
12 Zone District Channel, Washington.”.

13 **SEC. 219. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.**

14 Section 729(d) of the Water Resources Development
15 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a(d)) is amended—

16 (1) in paragraph (12), by striking “and” at the
17 end;

18 (2) in paragraph (13), by striking the period at
19 the end and inserting a semicolon; and

20 (3) by adding at the end the following:

21 “(14) the Walla Walla River Basin; and

22 “(15) the San Francisco Bay Basin.”.

1 **SEC. 220. INDEPENDENT PEER REVIEW.**

2 Section 2034(h)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
3 ment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2343(h)(2)) is amended by
4 striking “17 years” and inserting “22 years”.

5 **SEC. 221. ICE JAM PREVENTION AND MITIGATION.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the
7 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit
8 to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of
9 the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and In-
10 frastructure of the House of Representatives a report on
11 efforts by the Secretary to prevent and mitigate flood
12 damages associated with ice jams.

13 (b) INCLUSION.—The Secretary shall include in the
14 report under subsection (a)—

15 (1) an assessment of the projects carried out
16 pursuant to section 1150 of the Water Resources
17 Development Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 701s note;
18 Public Law 114–322), if applicable; and

19 (2) a description of—

20 (A) the challenges associated with pre-
21 venting and mitigating ice jams;

22 (B) the potential measures that may pre-
23 vent or mitigate ice jams, including the extent
24 to which additional research and the develop-
25 ment and deployment of technologies are nec-
26 essary; and

1 (C) actions taken by the Secretary to pro-
2 vide non-Federal interests with technical assist-
3 ance, guidance, or other information relating to
4 ice jam events; and

5 (D) how the Secretary plans to conduct
6 outreach and engagement with non-Federal in-
7 terests and other relevant State and local agen-
8 cies to facilitate an understanding of the cir-
9 cumstances in which ice jams could occur and
10 the potential impacts to critical public infra-
11 structure from ice jams.

12 **SEC. 222. REPORT ON HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE**
13 **RISK REDUCTION DESIGN GUIDELINES.**

14 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

15 (1) GUIDELINES.—The term “guidelines”
16 means the Hurricane and Storm Damage Risk Re-
17 duction Design Guidelines of the Corps of Engi-
18 neers.

19 (2) LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW HURRICANE
20 PROTECTION SYSTEM.—The term “Larose to Golden
21 Meadow Hurricane Protection System” means the
22 project for hurricane-flood protection, Grand Isle
23 and Vicinity, Louisiana, authorized by section 204 of
24 the Flood Control Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 1077).

1 (b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date
2 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
3 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
4 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
5 structure of the House of Representatives a report that
6 compares—

7 (1) the guidelines; and

8 (2) the construction methods used by the South
9 Lafourche Levee District for the levees and flood
10 control structures of the Larose to Golden Meadow
11 Hurricane Protection System.

12 (c) INCLUSIONS.—The report under subsection (b)
13 shall include—

14 (1) a description of—

15 (A) the guidelines;

16 (B) the construction methods used by the
17 South Lafourche Levee District for levees and
18 flood control structures of the Larose to Golden
19 Meadow Hurricane Protection System; and

20 (C) any deviations identified between the
21 guidelines and the construction methods de-
22 scribed in subparagraph (B); and

23 (2) an analysis by the Secretary of geotechnical
24 and other relevant data from the land adjacent to
25 the levees and flood control structures constructed

1 by the South Lafourche Levee District to determine
2 the effectiveness of those structures.

3 **SEC. 223. BRIEFING ON STATUS OF CERTAIN ACTIVITIES**
4 **ON THE MISSOURI RIVER.**

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the
6 date on which the consultation under section 7 of the En-
7 dangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1536) that was
8 reinitiated by the Secretary for the operation of the Mis-
9 souri River Mainstem Reservoir System, the operation and
10 maintenance of the Bank Stabilization and Navigation
11 Project, the operation of the Kansas River Reservoir Sys-
12 tem, and the implementation of the Missouri River Recov-
13 ery Management Plan is completed, the Secretary shall
14 brief the Committee on the Environment and Public
15 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
16 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
17 on the outcomes of that consultation.

18 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—The briefing under subsection

19 (a) shall include a discussion of—

20 (1) any biological opinions that result from the
21 consultation, including any actions that the Sec-
22 retary is required to undertake pursuant to such bio-
23 logical opinions; and

1 (2) any forthcoming requests from the Sec-
2 retary to Congress to provide funding in order carry
3 out the actions described in paragraph (1).

4 **SEC. 224. REPORT ON MATERIAL CONTAMINATED BY A HAZ-**
5 **ARDOUS SUBSTANCE AND THE CIVIL WORKS**
6 **PROGRAM.**

7 (a) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date
8 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to
9 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
10 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
11 structure of the House of Representatives a report that
12 describes the impact of material contaminated by a haz-
13 ardous substance on the civil works program of the Corps
14 of Engineers.

15 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In developing the report under
16 subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

17 (1) describe—

18 (A) with respect to water resources devel-
19 opment projects—

20 (i) the applicable statutory authorities
21 that require the removal of material con-
22 taminated by a hazardous substance; and

23 (ii) the roles and responsibilities of
24 the Secretary and non-Federal interests for

1 removing material contaminated by a haz-
2 ardous substance; and

3 (B) any regulatory actions or decisions
4 made by another Federal agency that impact—

5 (i) the removal of material contami-
6 nated by a hazardous substance; and

7 (ii) the ability of the Secretary to
8 carry out the civil works program of the
9 Corps of Engineers;

10 (2) discuss the impact of material contaminated
11 by a hazardous substance on—

12 (A) the timely completion of construction
13 of water resources development projects;

14 (B) the operation and maintenance of
15 water resources development projects, including
16 dredging activities of the Corps of Engineers to
17 maintain authorized Federal depths at ports
18 and along the inland waterways; and

19 (C) costs associated with carrying out the
20 civil works program of the Corps of Engineers;

21 (3) include any other information that the Sec-
22 retary determines to be appropriate to facilitate an
23 understanding of the impact of material contami-
24 nated by a hazardous substance on the civil works
25 program of the Corps of Engineers; and

1 (4) propose any legislative recommendations to
2 address any issues identified in paragraphs (1)
3 through (3).

4 **SEC. 225. REPORT ON EFFORTS TO MONITOR, CONTROL,**
5 **AND ERADICATE INVASIVE SPECIES.**

6 (a) **DEFINITION OF INVASIVE SPECIES.**—In this sec-
7 tion, the term “invasive species” has the meaning given
8 the term in section 1 of Executive Order 13112 (42 U.S.C.
9 4321 note; relating to invasive species).

10 (b) **ASSESSMENT.**—Not later than 1 year after the
11 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall conduct,
12 and submit to the Committee on Environment and Public
13 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
14 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
15 a report on the results of, an assessment of the efforts
16 by the Secretary to monitor, control, and eradicate
17 invasive species at water resources development projects
18 across the United States.

19 (c) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The report under subsection
20 (b) shall include—

21 (1) a description of—

22 (A) the statutory authorities and programs
23 used by the Secretary to monitor, control, and
24 eradicate invasive species; and

1 (B) a geographically diverse sample of suc-
2 cessful projects and activities carried out by the
3 Secretary to monitor, control, and eradicate
4 invasive species;

5 (2) a discussion of—

6 (A) the impact of invasive species on the
7 ability of the Secretary to carry out the civil
8 works program of the Corps of Engineers, with
9 a particular emphasis on impact of invasive spe-
10 cies to the primary missions of the Corps of
11 Engineers;

12 (B) the research conducted and techniques
13 and technologies used by the Secretary con-
14 sistent with the applicable statutory authorities
15 described in paragraph (1)(A) to monitor, con-
16 trol, and eradicate invasive species; and

17 (C) the extent to which the Secretary has
18 partnered with States and units of local govern-
19 ment to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive
20 species within the boundaries of those States or
21 units of local government;

22 (3) an update on the status of the plan devel-
23 oped by the Secretary pursuant to section 1108(c) of
24 the Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (33
25 U.S.C. 2263a(c)); and

1 (4) recommendations, including legislative rec-
2 ommendations, to further the efforts of the Sec-
3 retary to monitor, control, and eradicate invasive
4 species.

5 **SEC. 226. J. STROM THURMOND LAKE, GEORGIA.**

6 (a) ENCROACHMENT RESOLUTION PLAN.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2),
8 the Secretary shall prepare, and submit to the Com-
9 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the
10 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and
11 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, an
12 encroachment resolution plan for a portion of the
13 project for flood control, recreation, and fish and
14 wildlife management, J. Strom Thurmond Lake,
15 Georgia and South Carolina, authorized by section
16 10 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (commonly
17 known as the “Flood Control Act of 1944”) (58
18 Stat. 894, chapter 665).

19 (2) LIMITATION.—The encroachment resolution
20 plan under paragraph (1) shall only apply to the
21 portion of the J. Strom Thurmond Lake that is lo-
22 cated within the State of Georgia.

23 (b) CONTENTS.—Subject to subsection (c), the en-
24 croachment resolution plan under subsection (a) shall in-
25 clude—

1 (1) a description of the nature and number of
2 encroachments;

3 (2) a description of the circumstances that con-
4 tributed to the development of the encroachments;

5 (3) an assessment of the impact of the en-
6 croachments on operation and maintenance of the
7 project described in subsection (a) for its authorized
8 purposes;

9 (4) an analysis of alternatives to the removal of
10 encroachments to mitigate any impacts identified in
11 the assessment under paragraph (3);

12 (5) a description of any actions necessary or ad-
13 visable to prevent further encroachments; and

14 (6) an estimate of the cost and timeline to
15 carry out the plan, including actions described under
16 paragraph (5).

17 (c) RESTRICTION.—To the maximum extent prac-
18 ticable, the encroachment resolution plan under subsection
19 (a) shall minimize adverse impacts to private landowners
20 while maintaining the functioning of the project described
21 in that subsection for its authorized purposes.

22 (d) NOTICE AND PUBLIC COMMENT.—

23 (1) TO OWNERS.—In preparing the encroach-
24 ment resolution plan under subsection (a), not later
25 than 30 days after the Secretary identifies an en-

1 encroachment, the Secretary shall notify the owner of
2 the encroachment.

3 (2) TO PUBLIC.—The Secretary shall provide
4 an opportunity for the public to comment on the en-
5 croachment resolution plan under subsection (a) be-
6 fore the completion of the plan.

7 (e) MORATORIUM.—The Secretary shall not take ac-
8 tion to compel removal of an encroachment covered by the
9 encroachment resolution plan under subsection (a) unless
10 Congress specifically authorizes such action.

11 (f) SAVINGS PROVISION.—This section does not—

12 (1) grant any rights to the owner of an en-
13 croachment; or

14 (2) impose any liability on the United States
15 for operation and maintenance of the project de-
16 scribed in subsection (a) for its authorized purposes.

17 **SEC. 227. STUDY ON LAND VALUATION PROCEDURES FOR**
18 **THE TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

19 (a) DEFINITION OF TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PRO-
20 GRAM.—In this section, the term “Tribal Partnership Pro-
21 gram” means the Tribal Partnership Program established
22 under section 203 of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

24 (b) STUDY REQUIRED.—Not later than 1 year after
25 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall carry

1 out, and submit to the Committee on Environment and
2 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Trans-
3 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
4 tives a report describing the results of, a study on appro-
5 priate procedures for determining the value of real estate
6 and cost-share contributions for projects under the Tribal
7 Partnership Program.

8 (c) REQUIREMENTS.—The report required under sub-
9 section (b) shall include—

10 (1) an evaluation of the procedures used for de-
11 termining the valuation of real estate and contribu-
12 tion of real estate value to cost-share for projects
13 under the Tribal Partnership Program, including
14 consideration of cultural factors that are unique to
15 the Tribal Partnership Program and land valuation;

16 (2) a description of any existing Federal au-
17 thorities that the Secretary intends to use to imple-
18 ment policy changes that result from the evaluation
19 under paragraph (1); and

20 (3) recommendations for any legislation that
21 may be needed to revise land valuation or cost-share
22 procedures for the Tribal Partnership Program pur-
23 suant to the evaluation under paragraph (1).

1 **SEC. 228. REPORT TO CONGRESS ON LEVEE SAFETY GUIDE-**
2 **LINES.**

3 (a) DEFINITION OF LEVEE SAFETY GUIDELINES.—

4 In this section, the term “levee safety guidelines” means
5 the levee safety guidelines established under section
6 9005(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007
7 (33 U.S.C. 3303a(c)).

8 (b) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date
9 of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in coordination
10 with other applicable Federal agencies, shall submit to the
11 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-
12 ate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
13 ture of the House of Representatives a report on the levee
14 safety guidelines.

15 (c) INCLUSIONS.—The report under subsection (b)
16 shall include—

17 (1) a description of—

18 (A) the levee safety guidelines;

19 (B) the process utilized to develop the
20 levee safety guidelines; and

21 (C) the extent to which the levee safety
22 guidelines are being used by Federal, State,
23 Tribal, and local agencies;

24 (2) an assessment of the requirement for the
25 levee safety guidelines to be voluntary and a descrip-
26 tion of actions taken by the Secretary and other ap-

1 applicable Federal agencies to ensure that the guide-
2 lines are voluntary; and

3 (3) any recommendations of the Secretary, in-
4 cluding the extent to which the levee safety guide-
5 lines should be revised.

6 **SEC. 229. PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP USER'S GUIDE.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the
8 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall develop
9 and make publicly available on an existing website of the
10 Corps of Engineers a guide on the use of public-private
11 partnerships for water resources development projects.

12 (b) INCLUSIONS.—In developing the guide under sub-
13 section (a), the Secretary shall include—

14 (1) a description of—

15 (A) applicable authorities and programs of
16 the Secretary that allow for the use of public-
17 private partnerships to carry out water re-
18 sources development projects; and

19 (B) opportunities across the civil works
20 program of the Corps of Engineers for the use
21 of public-private partnerships, including at rec-
22 reational facilities;

23 (2) a summary of prior public-private partner-
24 ships for water resources development projects, in-

1 including lessons learned and best practices from those
2 partnerships and projects;

3 (3) a discussion of—

4 (A) the roles and responsibilities of the
5 Corps of Engineers and non-Federal interests
6 when using a public-private partnership for a
7 water resources development project, including
8 the opportunities for risk-sharing; and

9 (B) the potential benefits associated with
10 using a public-private partnership for a water
11 resources development project, including the op-
12 portunities to accelerate funding as compared
13 to the annual appropriations process; and

14 (4) a description of the process for executing a
15 project partnership agreement for a water resources
16 development project, including any unique consider-
17 ations when using a public-private partnership.

18 (c) FLEXIBILITY.—The Secretary may satisfy the re-
19 quirements of this section by modifying an existing part-
20 nership handbook in accordance with this section.

21 **SEC. 230. REVIEW OF AUTHORITIES AND PROGRAMS FOR**
22 **ALTERNATIVE PROJECT DELIVERY.**

23 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the
24 date of enactment of this Act and subject to subsections

25 (b) and (c), the Secretary shall carry out a study of the

1 authorities and programs of the Corps of Engineers that
2 facilitate the use of alternative project delivery methods
3 for water resources development projects, including public-
4 private partnerships.

5 (b) AUTHORITIES AND PROGRAMS INCLUDED.—In
6 carrying out the study under subsection (a), the authori-
7 ties and programs that are studied shall include any pro-
8 grams and authorities under—

9 (1) section 204 of the Water Resources Devel-
10 opment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232);

11 (2) section 221 of the Flood Control Act of
12 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b); and

13 (3) section 5014 of the Water Resources Re-
14 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201
15 note; Public Law 113–121).

16 (c) REPORT.—The Secretary shall submit to the
17 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-
18 ate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
19 ture of the House of Representatives a report that—

20 (1) describes the findings of the study under
21 subsection (a); and

22 (2) includes—

23 (A) an assessment of how each authority
24 and program included in the study under sub-
25 section (a) has been used by the Secretary;

1 (B) a list of the water resources develop-
2 ment projects that have been carried out pursu-
3 ant to the authorities and programs included in
4 the study under subsection (a);

5 (C) a discussion of the implementation
6 challenges, if any, associated with the authori-
7 ties and programs included in the study under
8 subsection (a);

9 (D) a description of lessons learned and
10 best practices identified by the Secretary from
11 carrying out the authorities and programs in-
12 cluded in the study under subsection (a); and

13 (E) any recommendations, including legis-
14 lative recommendations, that result from the
15 study under subsection (a).

16 **SEC. 231. REPORT TO CONGRESS ON EMERGENCY RE-**
17 **SPONSE EXPENDITURES.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a re-
19 view of emergency response expenditures from the emer-
20 gency fund authorized by section 5(a) of the Act of August
21 18, 1941 (commonly known as the “Flood Control Act of
22 1941”) (55 Stat. 650, chapter 377; 33 U.S.C. 701n(a))
23 (referred to in this section as the “Flood Control and
24 Coastal Emergencies Account”) and from post-disaster

1 supplemental appropriations Acts during the period of fis-
2 cal years 2013 through 2023.

3 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 1 year
4 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
5 submit to the Committee on Environment and Public
6 Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transpor-
7 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
8 a report that includes the results of the review under sub-
9 section (a), including—

10 (1) for each of fiscal years 2013 through 2023,
11 a summary of—

12 (A) annual expenditures from the Flood
13 Control and Coastal Emergencies Account;

14 (B) annual budget requests for that ac-
15 count; and

16 (C) any activities, including any re-
17 programming, that may have been required to
18 cover any annual shortfall in that account;

19 (2) a description of the contributing factors
20 that resulted in any annual variability in the
21 amounts described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of
22 paragraph (1) and activities described in subpara-
23 graph (C) of that paragraph;

24 (3) an assessment and a description of future
25 budget needs of the Flood Control and Coastal

1 (D) any other recreation opportunities
2 identified by the Tribe.

3 (b) INCLUSION.—If the Secretary determines that
4 there is not any real property that may be transferred to
5 the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe as described in subsection
6 (a), the Secretary shall include in the report required
7 under that subsection—

8 (1) a list of the real property considered by the
9 Secretary;

10 (2) an explanation of why the real property
11 identified under paragraph (1) is needed to carry
12 out the authorized purposes of the project described
13 in subsection (a); and

14 (3) a description of how the Secretary has re-
15 cently utilized the real property identified under
16 paragraph (1) to carry out the authorized purpose of
17 the project described in subsection (a).

18 **SEC. 233. GAO STUDIES.**

19 (a) REVIEW OF THE ACCURACY OF PROJECT COST
20 ESTIMATES.—

21 (1) REVIEW.—

22 (A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year
23 after the date of enactment of this Act, the
24 Comptroller General of the United States (re-
25 ferred to in this section as the “Comptroller

1 General”) shall initiate a review of the accuracy
2 of the project cost estimates developed by the
3 Corps of Engineers for completed and ongoing
4 water resources development projects carried
5 out by the Secretary.

6 (B) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out sub-
7 paragraph (A), the Comptroller General shall
8 determine the factors, if any, that impact the
9 accuracy of the estimates described in that sub-
10 paragraph, including—

11 (i) applicable statutory requirements,
12 including—

13 (I) section 1001 of the Water Re-
14 sources Reform and Development Act
15 of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c); and

16 (II) section 905(b) of the Water
17 Resources Development Act of 1986
18 (33 U.S.C. 2282(b)); and

19 (ii) applicable guidance, regulations,
20 and policies of the Corps of Engineers.

21 (C) INCORPORATION OF PREVIOUS RE-
22 PORT.—In carrying out subparagraph (A), the
23 Comptroller General may incorporate applicable
24 information from the report carried out by the
25 Comptroller General under section 8236(c) of

1 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
2 (136 Stat. 3769).

3 (2) REPORT.—On completion of the review con-
4 ducted under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
5 eral shall submit to the Committee on Environment
6 and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee
7 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
8 of Representatives a report on the findings of the re-
9 view and any recommendations that result from the
10 review.

11 (b) REPORT ON PROJECT LIFESPAN AND INDEM-
12 NIFICATION CLAUSE IN PROJECT PARTNERSHIP AGREE-
13 MENTS.—

14 (1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

15 (A) INDEMNIFICATION CLAUSE.—The term
16 “indemnification clause” means the indem-
17 nification clause required in project partnership
18 agreements for water resources development
19 projects under sections 101(e)(2) and
20 103(j)(1)(A) of the Water Resources Develop-
21 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211(e)(2),
22 2213(j)(1)(A)).

23 (B) OMRR&R.—The term “OMRR&R”,
24 with respect to a water resources development

1 project, means operation, maintenance, repair,
2 replacement, and rehabilitation.

3 (2) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of
4 Congress that—

5 (A) there are significant concerns about
6 whether—

7 (i) the indemnification clause, which
8 was first applied in 1910 to flood control
9 projects, should still be included in project
10 partnership agreements prepared by the
11 Corps of Engineers for water resources de-
12 velopment projects; and

13 (ii) non-Federal interests for water re-
14 sources development projects should be re-
15 quired to assume full responsibility for
16 OMRR&R of water resources development
17 projects in perpetuity;

18 (B) non-Federal interests have reported
19 that the indemnification clause and OMRR&R
20 requirements are a barrier to entering into
21 project partnership agreements with the Corps
22 of Engineers;

23 (C) critical water resources development
24 projects are being delayed by years, or not pur-

1 sued at all, due to the barriers described in sub-
2 paragraph (B); and

3 (D) legal structures have changed since the
4 indemnification clause was first applied and
5 there may be more suitable tools available to
6 address risk and liability issues.

7 (3) ANALYSIS.—Not later than 1 year after the
8 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-
9 eral shall conduct an analysis of the implications
10 of—

11 (A) the indemnification clause; and

12 (B) the assumption of OMRR&R respon-
13 sibilities by non-Federal interests in perpetuity
14 for water resources development projects.

15 (4) INCLUSIONS.—The analysis under para-
16 graph (3) shall include—

17 (A) a review of risk for the Federal Gov-
18 ernment and non-Federal interests with respect
19 to removing requirements for the indemnifica-
20 tion clause;

21 (B) an assessment of whether the indem-
22 nification clause is still necessary given the
23 changes in engineering, legal structures, and
24 water resources development projects since

1 1910, with a focus on the quantity and types of
2 claims and takings over time;

3 (C) an identification of States with State
4 laws that prohibit those States from entering
5 into agreements that include an indemnification
6 clause;

7 (D) a comparison to other Federal agen-
8 cies with respect to how those agencies ap-
9 proach indemnification and OMRR&R require-
10 ments in projects, if applicable;

11 (E) a review of indemnification and
12 OMRR&R requirements for projects that States
13 require with respect to agreements with cities
14 and localities, if applicable;

15 (F) an analysis of the useful lifespan of
16 water resources development projects, including
17 any variations in that lifespan for different
18 types of water resources development projects
19 and how changing weather patterns and in-
20 creased extreme weather events impact that
21 lifespan;

22 (G) a review of situations in which non-
23 Federal interests have been unable to meet
24 OMRR&R requirements; and

1 (H) a review of policy alternatives to
2 OMRR&R requirements, such as allowing ex-
3 tension, reevaluation, or deauthorization of
4 water resources development projects.

5 (5) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
6 under paragraph (3), the Comptroller General shall
7 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
8 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
9 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
10 Representatives a report that includes—

11 (A) the results of the analysis; and

12 (B) any recommendations for changes
13 needed to existing law or policy of the Corps of
14 Engineers to address those results.

15 (c) REVIEW OF CERTAIN PERMITS.—

16 (1) DEFINITION OF SECTION 408 PROGRAM.—In
17 this subsection, the term “section 408 program”
18 means the program administered by the Secretary
19 pursuant to section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899
20 (commonly known as the “Rivers and Harbors Act
21 of 1899”) (30 Stat. 1152, chapter 425; 33 U.S.C.
22 408).

23 (2) REVIEW.—Not later than 1 year after the
24 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-

1 eral shall initiate a review of the section 408 pro-
2 gram.

3 (3) REQUIREMENTS.—The review by the Comp-
4 troller General under paragraph (2) shall include, at
5 a minimum—

6 (A) an identification of trends related to
7 the number and types of permits applied for
8 each year under the section 408 program;

9 (B) an evaluation of—

10 (i) the materials developed by the Sec-
11 retary to educate potential applicants
12 about—

13 (I) the section 408 program; and

14 (II) the process for applying for
15 a permit under the section 408 pro-
16 gram;

17 (ii) the public website of the Corps of
18 Engineers that tracks the status of permits
19 issued under the section 408 program, in-
20 cluding whether the information provided
21 by the website is updated in a timely man-
22 ner;

23 (iii) the ability of the districts and di-
24 visions of the Corps of Engineers to con-

1 sistently administer the section 408 pro-
2 gram; and

3 (iv) the extent to which the Secretary
4 carries out the process for issuing a permit
5 under the section 408 program concu-
6 rently with the review required under the
7 National Environmental Policy Act of
8 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), if applica-
9 ble;

10 (C) a determination of the factors, if any,
11 that impact the ability of the Secretary to ad-
12 here to the timelines required for reviewing and
13 making a decision on an application for a per-
14 mit under the section 408 program; and

15 (D) ways to expedite the review of applica-
16 tions for permits under the section 408 pro-
17 gram, including the use of categorical permis-
18 sions.

19 (4) REPORT.—On completion of the review
20 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General shall
21 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
22 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
23 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
24 Representatives a report on the findings of the re-

1 view and any recommendations that result from the
2 review.

3 (d) CORPS OF ENGINEERS MODERNIZATION
4 STUDY.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
6 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
7 General shall initiate an analysis of opportunities for
8 the Corps of Engineers to modernize the civil works
9 program through the use of technology, where ap-
10 propriate, and the best available engineering prac-
11 tices.

12 (2) INCLUSIONS.—In conducting the analysis
13 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General of the
14 United States shall include an assessment of the ex-
15 tent to which—

16 (A) existing engineering practices and
17 technologies could be better utilized by the
18 Corps of Engineers—

19 (i) to improve study, planning, and
20 design efforts of the Corps of Engineers to
21 further the benefits of water resources de-
22 velopment projects of the Corps of Engi-
23 neers;

24 (ii) to reduce delays of water re-
25 sources development projects, including

1 through the improvement of environmental
2 review and permitting processes;

3 (iii) to provide cost savings over the
4 lifecycle of a project, including through im-
5 proved design processes or a reduction of
6 operation and maintenance costs; and

7 (iv) to improve data collection and
8 data sharing capabilities; and

9 (B) the Corps of Engineers—

10 (i) currently utilizes the engineering
11 practices and technologies identified under
12 subparagraph (A), including any challenges
13 associated with acquisition and application;

14 (ii) has effective processes to share
15 best practices associated with the engineer-
16 ing practices and technologies identified
17 under subparagraph (A) among the dis-
18 tricts, divisions, and headquarters of the
19 Corps of Engineers; and

20 (iii) partners with National Labora-
21 tories, academic institutions, and other
22 Federal agencies.

23 (3) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
24 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall
25 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-

1 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
2 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
3 Representatives a report on the findings of the anal-
4 ysis and any recommendations that result from the
5 analysis.

6 (e) STUDY ON EASEMENTS RELATED TO WATER RE-
7 SOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—

8 (1) DEFINITION OF COVERED EASEMENT.—In
9 this subsection, the term “covered easement” has
10 the meaning given the term in section 8235(c) of the
11 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
12 Stat. 3768).

13 (2) STUDY ON EASEMENTS RELATED TO WATER
14 RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—Not later
15 than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act,
16 the Comptroller General shall initiate an analysis of
17 the use of covered easements that may be provided
18 to the Secretary by non-Federal interests in relation
19 to the construction, operation, or maintenance of a
20 project for flood risk management, hurricane and
21 storm damage risk reduction, or ecosystem restora-
22 tion.

23 (3) SCOPE.—In carrying out the analysis under
24 paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the
25 United States shall—

1 (A) review—

2 (i) the report submitted by the Sec-
3 retary under section 8235(b) of the Water
4 Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
5 Stat. 3768); and

6 (ii) the existing statutory, regulatory,
7 and policy requirements and procedures re-
8 lating to the use of covered easements; and

9 (B) assess—

10 (i) the minimum rights in property
11 that are necessary to construct, operate, or
12 maintain projects for flood risk manage-
13 ment, hurricane and storm damage risk re-
14 duction, or ecosystem restoration;

15 (ii) whether increased use of covered
16 easements in relation to projects described
17 in clause (i) could promote greater partici-
18 pation from cooperating landowners in ad-
19 dressing local flooding or ecosystem res-
20 toration challenges;

21 (iii) whether such increased use could
22 result in cost savings in the implementa-
23 tion of the projects described in clause (i),
24 without any reduction in project benefits;
25 and

1 (iv) the extent to which the Secretary
2 should expand what is considered by the
3 Secretary to be part of a series of estates
4 deemed standard for construction, oper-
5 ation, or maintenance of a project for flood
6 risk management, hurricane and storm
7 damage risk reduction, or ecosystem res-
8 toration.

9 (4) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
10 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the
11 United States shall submit to the Committee on En-
12 vironment and Public Works of the Senate and the
13 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
14 the House of Representatives a report on the find-
15 ings of the analysis, including any recommendations,
16 including legislative recommendations, as a result of
17 the analysis.

18 (f) MODERNIZATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL RE-
19 VIEWS.—

20 (1) DEFINITION OF PROJECT STUDY.—In this
21 subsection, the term “project study” means a feasi-
22 bility study for a project carried out pursuant to sec-
23 tion 905 of the Water Resources Development Act
24 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282).

1 (2) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the
2 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-
3 eral shall submit to the Committee on Environment
4 and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee
5 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
6 of Representatives a report that describes the efforts
7 of the Secretary to facilitate improved environmental
8 review processes for project studies, including
9 through the consideration of expanded use of cat-
10 egorical exclusions, environmental assessments, or
11 programmatic environmental impact statements.

12 (3) REQUIREMENTS.—In completing the report
13 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General of the
14 United States shall—

15 (A) describe the actions the Secretary is
16 taking or plans to take to implement the
17 amendments to the National Environmental
18 Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.)
19 made by section 321 of the Fiscal Responsi-
20 bility Act of 2023 (Public Law 118–5; 137
21 Stat. 38);

22 (B) describe the existing categorical exclu-
23 sions most frequently used by the Secretary to
24 streamline the environmental review of project
25 studies;

1 (C) consider—

2 (i) whether the adoption of additional
3 categorical exclusions, including those used
4 by other Federal agencies, would facilitate
5 the environmental review of project stud-
6 ies;

7 (ii) whether the adoption of new pro-
8 grammatic environmental impact state-
9 ments would facilitate the environmental
10 review of project studies; and

11 (iii) whether agreements with other
12 Federal agencies would facilitate a more
13 efficient process for the environmental re-
14 view of project studies; and

15 (D) identify—

16 (i) any discrepancies or conflicts, as
17 applicable, between the amendments to the
18 National Environmental Policy Act of
19 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) made by
20 section 321 of the Fiscal Responsibility
21 Act of 2023 (Public Law 118–5; 137 Stat.
22 38) and—

23 (I) section 2045 of the Water Re-
24 sources Development Act of 2007 (33
25 U.S.C. 2348); and

1 (II) section 1001 of the Water
2 Resources Reform and Development
3 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282c); and
4 (ii) other issues, as applicable, relat-
5 ing to section 2045 of the Water Resources
6 Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
7 2348) that are impeding the implementa-
8 tion of that section consistent with con-
9 gressional intent.

10 (g) STUDY ON DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL SITE
11 CONSTRUCTION.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General
13 shall conduct a study that—

14 (A) assesses the costs and limitations of
15 the construction of various types of dredged
16 material disposal sites, with a particular focus
17 on aquatic confined placement structures in the
18 Lower Columbia River; and

19 (B) includes a comparison of—

20 (i) the operation and maintenance
21 needs and costs associated with the avail-
22 ability of aquatic confined placement struc-
23 tures; and

24 (ii) the operation and maintenance
25 needs and costs associated with the lack of

1 availability of aquatic confined placement
2 structures.

3 (2) REPORT.—On completion of the study
4 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall
5 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
6 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
7 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
8 Representatives a report on the findings of the
9 study, and any recommendations that result from
10 that study.

11 (h) GAO STUDY ON DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDING
12 FROM THE HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND.—

13 (1) DEFINITION OF HARBOR MAINTENANCE
14 TRUST FUND.—In this subsection, the term “Harbor
15 Maintenance Trust Fund” means the Harbor Main-
16 tenance Trust Fund established by section 9505(a)
17 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

18 (2) ANALYSIS.—Not later than 1 year after the
19 date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller Gen-
20 eral shall initiate an analysis of the distribution of
21 funding from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund.

22 (3) REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting the anal-
23 ysis under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General
24 shall assess—

1 (A) the implementation of provisions re-
2 lated to the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund in
3 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
4 (134 Stat. 2615) and the amendments made by
5 that Act by the Corps of Engineers, including—

6 (i) changes to the budgetary treat-
7 ment of funding from the Harbor Mainte-
8 nance Trust Fund; and

9 (ii) amendments to the definitions of
10 the terms “donor ports”, “medium-sized
11 donor parts”, and “energy transfer ports”
12 under section 2106(a) of the Water Re-
13 sources Reform and Development Act of
14 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2238c(a)), including—

15 (I) the reliability of metrics, data
16 for those metrics, and sources for that
17 data used by the Corps of Engineers
18 to determine if a port satisfies the re-
19 quirements of 1 or more of those defi-
20 nitions; and

21 (II) the extent of the impact of
22 cyclical dredging cycles for operations
23 and maintenance activities and deep
24 draft navigation construction projects
25 on the ability of ports to meet the re-

1 requirements of 1 or more of those defi-
2 nitions; and

3 (B) the amount of Harbor Maintenance
4 Trust Fund funding in the annual appropria-
5 tions Acts enacted after the date of enactment
6 of the Water Resources Development Act of
7 2020 (134 Stat. 2615), including an analysis
8 of—

9 (i) the allocation of funding to donor
10 ports and energy transfer ports (as those
11 terms are defined in section 2106(a) of the
12 Water Resources Reform and Development
13 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2238c(a))) and the
14 use of that funding by those ports;

15 (ii) activities funded pursuant to sec-
16 tion 210 of the Water Resources Develop-
17 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238); and

18 (iii) challenges associated with ex-
19 pending the remaining balance of the Har-
20 bor Maintenance Trust Fund.

21 (4) REPORT.—On completion of the analysis
22 under paragraph (2), the Comptroller General shall
23 submit to the Committee on Environment and Pub-
24 lic Works of the Senate and the Committee on
25 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of

1 Representatives a report describing the findings of
2 the analysis and any recommendations that result
3 from that analysis.

4 **SEC. 234. PRIOR REPORTS.**

5 (a) REPORTS.—The Secretary shall prioritize the
6 completion of the reports required pursuant to the fol-
7 lowing provisions:

8 (1) Section 2036(b) of the Water Resources De-
9 velopment Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2283a).

10 (2) Section 1008(c) of the Water Resources Re-
11 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
12 2321b(c)).

13 (3) Section 164(c) of the Water Resources De-
14 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2668).

15 (4) Section 226(a) of the Water Resources De-
16 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2697).

17 (5) Section 503(d) of the Water Resources De-
18 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public
19 Law 116–260).

20 (6) Section 509(a)(7) of the Water Resources
21 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Pub-
22 lic Law 116–260).

23 (7) Section 8205(a) of the Water Resources De-
24 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3754).

1 (8) Section 8206(c) of the Water Resources De-
2 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3756).

3 (9) Section 8218 of the Water Resources Devel-
4 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3761).

5 (10) Section 8227(b) of the Water Resources
6 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3764).

7 (11) Section 8232(b) of the Water Resources
8 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3766).

9 (b) NOTICE.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 60 days after
11 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
12 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and
13 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
14 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
15 Representatives a written notification of the status
16 of each report described in subsection (a).

17 (2) CONTENTS.—As part of the notification
18 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall include for
19 each report described in subsection (a)—

20 (A) a description of the status of the re-
21 port; and

22 (B) if not completed, a timeline for the
23 completion of the report.

1 **SEC. 235. BRIEFING ON STATUS OF CAPE COD CANAL**
2 **BRIDGES, MASSACHUSETTS.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the
4 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall brief
5 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
6 Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
7 structure of the House of Representatives on the status
8 of the project for the replacement of the Bourne and Saga-
9 more Highway Bridges that cross the Cape Cod Canal
10 Federal Navigation Project.

11 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—The briefing under subsection
12 (a) shall include discussion of—

13 (1) the current status of environmental review
14 under the National Environmental Policy Act of
15 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and expected
16 timelines for completion;

17 (2) project timelines and relevant paths to move
18 the project described in that subsection toward com-
19 pletion; and

20 (3) any issues that are impacting the delivery
21 of the project described in that subsection.

1 **TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS,**
2 **MODIFICATIONS, AND RE-**
3 **LATED PROVISIONS**

4 **SEC. 301. DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

5 (a) TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NEVADA.—The project for
6 flood control, Truckee Meadows, Nevada, authorized by
7 section 3(a)(10) of the Water Resources Development Act
8 of 1988 (102 Stat. 4014) and section 7002(2) of the
9 Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014
10 (128 Stat. 1366) is no longer authorized beginning on the
11 date of enactment of this Act.

12 (b) SEATTLE HARBOR, WASHINGTON.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
14 actment of this Act, the portion of the project for
15 navigation, Seattle Harbor, Washington, described in
16 paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.

17 (2) PORTION DESCRIBED.—The portion of the
18 project referred to in paragraph (1) is the approxi-
19 mately 74,490 square foot area of the Federal chan-
20 nel within the East Waterway—

21 (A) starting at a point on the United
22 States pierhead line in the southwest corner of
23 block 386 of plat of Seattle Tidelands, T. 24
24 N., R. 4. E, sec.18, Willamette Meridian;

1 (B) thence running $N90^{\circ}00'00''W$ along
2 the projection of the south line of block 386,
3 206.58 feet to the centerline of the East Water-
4 way;

5 (C) thence running $N14^{\circ}30'00''E$ along
6 the centerline and parallel with the northwest-
7 erly line of block 386, 64.83 feet;

8 (D) thence running $N33^{\circ}32'59''E$, 235.85
9 feet;

10 (E) thence running $N39^{\circ}55'22''E$, 128.70
11 feet;

12 (F) thence running $N14^{\circ}30'00''E$, parallel
13 with the northwesterly line of block 386, 280.45
14 feet;

15 (G) thence running $N90^{\circ}00'00''E$, 70.00
16 feet to the pierhead line and the northwesterly
17 line of block 386; and

18 (H) thence running $S14^{\circ}30'00''W$, 650.25
19 feet along the pierhead line and northwesterly
20 line of block 386 to the point of beginning.

21 (c) CHERRYFIELD DAM, MAINE.—The project for
22 flood control, Narraguagus River, Cherryfield Dam,
23 Maine, authorized by, and constructed pursuant to, sec-
24 tion 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C.

1 701s) is no longer authorized beginning on the date of
2 enactment of this Act.

3 (d) UPPER ST. ANTHONY FALLS LOCK AND DAM.—
4 Section 2010 of the Water Resources Reform and Devel-
5 opment Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1270; 136 Stat. 3796) is
6 amended by adding at the end the following:

7 “(h) NAVIGATION.—Beginning on the date of enact-
8 ment of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024,
9 the Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam is no longer
10 authorized for navigation purposes.”.

11 (e) EAST SAN PEDRO BAY, CALIFORNIA.—The study
12 for the project for ecosystem restoration, East San Pedro
13 Bay, California, authorized by the resolution of the Com-
14 mittee on Public Works of the Senate, dated June 25,
15 1969, relating to the report of the Chief of Engineers for
16 Los Angeles and San Gabriel Rivers, Ballona Creek, is
17 no longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment
18 of this Act.

19 (f) SOURIS RIVER BASIN, NORTH DAKOTA.—The
20 Talbott’s Nursery portion, consisting of approximately
21 2,600 linear feet of levee, of stage 4 of the project for
22 flood control, Souris River Basin, North Dakota, author-
23 ized by section 1124 of the Water Resources Development
24 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4243; 101 Stat. 1329–111), is no

1 longer authorized beginning on the date of enactment of
2 this Act.

3 (g) MASARYKTOWN CANAL, FLORIDA.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the project
5 for the Four River Basins, Florida, authorized by
6 section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1962 (76
7 Stat. 1183) described in paragraph (2) is no longer
8 authorized beginning on the date of enactment of
9 this Act.

10 (2) PORTION DESCRIBED.—The portion of the
11 project referred to in paragraph (1) is the
12 Masaryktown Canal C-534, which spans approxi-
13 mately 5.5 miles from Hernando County, between
14 Ayers Road and County Line Road east of United
15 States Route 41, and continues south to Pasco
16 County, discharging into Crews Lake.

17 **SEC. 302. ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

18 (a) NEW PROJECTS.—Section 219(f) of the Water
19 Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
20 Stat. 334; 136 Stat. 3808) is amended by adding at the
21 end the following:

22 “(406) GLENDALE, ARIZONA.—\$5,200,000 for
23 environmental infrastructure, including water and
24 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater

1 management), drainage systems, and water quality
2 enhancement, Glendale, Arizona.

3 “(407) TOHONO O’ODHAM NATION, ARIZONA.—
4 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
5 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
6 ing facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribu-
7 tion), Tohono O’odham Nation, Arizona.

8 “(408) FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.—\$4,800,000 for
9 environmental infrastructure, including water and
10 wastewater infrastructure (including facilities for
11 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution), Flagstaff,
12 Arizona.

13 “(409) TUCSON, ARIZONA.—\$30,000,000 for
14 environmental infrastructure, including water and
15 wastewater infrastructure (including recycled water
16 systems), Tucson, Arizona.

17 “(410) BAY-DELTA, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000
18 for environmental infrastructure, including water
19 and wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
20 management), drainage systems, and water quality
21 enhancement, San Francisco Bay–Sacramento–San
22 Joaquin River Delta, California.

23 “(411) INDIAN WELLS VALLEY, CALIFORNIA.—
24 \$5,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-

1 ing water and wastewater infrastructure, Indian
2 Wells Valley, Kern County, California.

3 “(412) OAKLAND–ALAMEDA ESTUARY, CALI-
4 FORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for environmental infrastruc-
5 ture, including water and wastewater infrastructure
6 (including stormwater management), drainage sys-
7 tems, and water quality enhancement, Oakland–Ala-
8 meda Estuary, Oakland and Alameda Counties, Cali-
9 fornia.

10 “(413) TIJUANA RIVER VALLEY WATERSHED,
11 CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for environmental infra-
12 structure, including water and wastewater infra-
13 structure, Tijuana River Valley Watershed, San
14 Diego County, California.

15 “(414) EL PASO COUNTY, COLORADO.—
16 \$20,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
17 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure and
18 stormwater management, El Paso County, Colorado.

19 “(415) REHOBOTH BEACH, LEWES, DEWEY,
20 BETHANY, SOUTH BETHANY, FENWICK ISLAND,
21 DELAWARE.—\$25,000,000 for environmental infra-
22 structure, including water and wastewater infra-
23 structure, Rehoboth Beach, Lewes, Dewey, Bethany,
24 South Bethany, and Fenwick Island, Delaware.

1 “(416) WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—
2 \$25,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
3 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure, Wil-
4 mington, Delaware.

5 “(417) PICKERING BEACH, KITTS HUMMOCK,
6 BOWERS BEACH, SOUTH BOWERS BEACH, SLAUGH-
7 TER BEACH, PRIME HOOK BEACH, MILTON, MIL-
8 FORD, DELAWARE.—\$25,000,000 for environmental
9 infrastructure, including water and wastewater in-
10 frastructure, Pickering Beach, Kitts Hummock,
11 Bowers Beach, South Bowers Beach, Slaughter
12 Beach, Prime Hook Beach, Milton, and Milford,
13 Delaware.

14 “(418) COASTAL GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for en-
15 vironmental infrastructure, including water and
16 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
17 management), Glynn County, Chatham County,
18 Bryan County, Effingham County, McIntosh Coun-
19 ty, and Camden County, Georgia.

20 “(419) COLUMBUS, HENRY, AND CLAYTON
21 COUNTIES, GEORGIA.—\$10,000,000 for environ-
22 mental infrastructure, including water and waste-
23 water infrastructure (including stormwater manage-
24 ment), Columbus, Henry, and Clayton Counties,
25 Georgia.

1 “(420) COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000
2 for environmental infrastructure, including water
3 and wastewater infrastructure, Cobb County, Geor-
4 gia.

5 “(421) CALUMET CITY, ILLINOIS.—\$10,000,000
6 for environmental infrastructure, including water
7 and wastewater infrastructure, Calumet City, Illi-
8 nois.

9 “(422) WYANDOTTE COUNTY AND KANSAS
10 CITY, KANSAS.—\$35,000,000 for water and waste-
11 water infrastructure, including stormwater manage-
12 ment (including combined sewer overflows), Wyan-
13 dotte County and Kansas City, Kansas.

14 “(423) EASTHAMPTON, MASSACHUSETTS.—
15 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
16 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
17 ing wastewater treatment plant outfalls),
18 Easthampton, Massachusetts.

19 “(424) BYRAM, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for
20 environmental infrastructure, including water and
21 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
22 management), drainage systems, and water quality
23 enhancement, Byram, Mississippi.

24 “(425) DIAMONDHEAD, MISSISSIPPI.—
25 \$7,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-

1 ing water and wastewater infrastructure and drain-
2 age systems, Diamondhead, Mississippi.

3 “(426) HANCOCK COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—
4 \$7,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
5 ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including
6 stormwater management), drainage systems, and
7 water quality enhancement, Hancock County, Mis-
8 sissippi.

9 “(427) MADISON, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for
10 environmental infrastructure, including water and
11 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
12 management), drainage systems, and water quality
13 enhancement, Madison, Mississippi.

14 “(428) PEARL, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,000,000 for
15 environmental infrastructure, including water and
16 wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
17 management), drainage systems, and water quality
18 enhancement, Pearl, Mississippi.

19 “(429) NEW HAMPSHIRE.—\$20,000,000 for en-
20 vironmental infrastructure, including water and
21 wastewater infrastructure, New Hampshire.

22 “(430) CAPE MAY COUNTY, NEW JERSEY.—
23 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
24 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-

1 ing facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribu-
2 tion), Cape May County, New Jersey.

3 “(431) NYE COUNTY, NEVADA.—\$10,000,000
4 for environmental infrastructure, including water
5 and wastewater infrastructure (including water
6 wellfield and pipeline in the Pahrump Valley), Nye
7 County, Nevada.

8 “(432) STOREY COUNTY, NEVADA.—
9 \$10,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
10 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
11 ing facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribu-
12 tion), Storey County, Nevada.

13 “(433) NEW ROCHELLE, NEW YORK.—
14 \$20,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
15 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure (includ-
16 ing stormwater management), New Rochelle, New
17 York.

18 “(434) CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO.—\$5,000,000
19 for environmental infrastructure, including water
20 and wastewater infrastructure (including combined
21 sewer overflows), Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

22 “(435) BLOOMINGBURG, OHIO.—\$6,500,000 for
23 environmental infrastructure, including water and
24 wastewater infrastructure (including facilities for

1 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution),
2 Bloomingburg, Ohio.

3 “(436) CITY OF AKRON, OHIO.—\$5,500,000 for
4 environmental infrastructure, including water and
5 wastewater infrastructure (including drainage sys-
6 tems), City of Akron, Ohio.

7 “(437) EAST CLEVELAND, OHIO.—\$13,000,000
8 for environmental infrastructure, including water
9 and wastewater infrastructure (including stormwater
10 management), East Cleveland, Ohio.

11 “(438) ASHTABULA COUNTY, OHIO.—
12 \$1,500,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
13 ing water and wastewater infrastructure (including
14 water supply and water quality enhancement), Ash-
15 tabula County, Ohio.

16 “(439) STRUTHERS, OHIO.—\$500,000 for envi-
17 ronmental infrastructure, including water and waste-
18 water infrastructure (including wastewater infra-
19 structure, stormwater management, and sewer im-
20 provements), Struthers, Ohio.

21 “(440) STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA.—
22 \$30,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, in-
23 cluding water and wastewater infrastructure and
24 water supply infrastructure (including facilities for

1 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution), Stillwater,
2 Oklahoma.

3 “(441) PENNSYLVANIA.—\$38,600,000 for envi-
4 ronmental infrastructure, including water and waste-
5 water infrastructure, Pennsylvania.

6 “(442) CHESTERFIELD COUNTY, SOUTH CARO-
7 LINA.—\$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
8 structure and other environmental infrastructure
9 (including stormwater management), Chesterfield
10 County, South Carolina.

11 “(443) TIPTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—
12 \$35,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure and
13 water supply infrastructure, including facilities for
14 withdrawal, treatment, and distribution, Tipton
15 County, Tennessee.

16 “(444) OTHELLO, WASHINGTON.—\$14,000,000
17 for environmental infrastructure, including water
18 supply and storage treatment, Othello, Washington.

19 “(445) COLLEGE PLACE, WASHINGTON.—
20 \$5,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-
21 ing water and wastewater infrastructure, College
22 Place, Washington.”.

23 (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—

24 (1) CONSISTENCY WITH REPORTS.—Congress
25 finds that the project modifications described in this

1 subsection are in accordance with the reports sub-
2 mitted to Congress by the Secretary under section
3 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-
4 ment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d), titled “Report
5 to Congress on Future Water Resources Develop-
6 ment”, or have otherwise been reviewed by Congress.

7 (2) MODIFICATIONS.—

8 (A) ALABAMA.—Section 219(f)(274) of the
9 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
10 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat.
11 3808) is amended by striking “\$50,000,000”
12 and inserting “\$85,000,000”.

13 (B) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
14 Section 219(f)(93) of the Water Resources De-
15 velopment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
16 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1259; 136 Stat. 3816) is
17 amended by striking “Santa Clarity Valley”
18 and inserting “Santa Clarita Valley”.

19 (C) KENT, DELAWARE.—Section
20 219(f)(313) of the Water Resources Develop-
21 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
22 334; 136 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking
23 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

24 (D) NEW CASTLE, DELAWARE.—Section
25 219(f)(314) of the Water Resources Develop-

1 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
2 334; 136 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking
3 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

4 (E) SUSSEX, DELAWARE.—Section
5 219(f)(315) of the Water Resources Develop-
6 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
7 334; 136 Stat. 3810) is amended by striking
8 “\$35,000,000” and inserting “\$40,000,000”.

9 (F) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—Section
10 219(f)(136) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
12 334; 121 Stat. 1261; 136 Stat. 3817) is
13 amended by striking “\$15,000,000” and insert-
14 ing “\$20,000,000”.

15 (G) MADISON COUNTY AND ST. CLAIR
16 COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—Section 219(f)(55) of the
17 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
18 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 114 Stat.
19 2763A–221; 136 Stat. 3817) is amended—

20 (i) by striking “\$100,000,000” and
21 inserting “\$110,000,000”; and

22 (ii) by inserting “(including
23 stormwater management)” after “waste-
24 water assistance”.

1 (H) MONTGOMERY COUNTY AND CHRIS-
2 TIAN COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—Section 219(f)(333)
3 of the Water Resources Development Act of
4 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat.
5 3812) is amended—

6 (i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-
7 ing “MONTGOMERY AND CHRISTIAN COUN-
8 TIES” and inserting “MONTGOMERY,
9 CHRISTIAN, FAYETTE, SHELBY, JASPER,
10 RICHLAND, CRAWFORD, AND LAWRENCE
11 COUNTIES”; and

12 (ii) by striking “Montgomery County
13 and Christian County” and inserting
14 “Montgomery County, Christian County,
15 Fayette County, Shelby County, Jasper
16 County, Richland County, Crawford Coun-
17 ty, and Lawrence County”.

18 (I) WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—Section
19 219(f)(334) of the Water Resources Develop-
20 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
21 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended—

22 (i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-
23 ing “WILL COUNTY” and inserting “WILL
24 AND GRUNDY COUNTIES”; and

1 (ii) by striking “Will County” and in-
2 serting “Will County and Grundy County”.

3 (J) LOWELL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section
4 219(f)(339) of the Water Resources Develop-
5 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
6 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking
7 “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

8 (K) MICHIGAN.—Sec 219(f)(157) of the
9 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
10 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat.
11 1262) is amended, in the paragraph heading, by
12 striking “COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS”.

13 (L) DESOTO COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
14 tion 219(f)(30) of the Water Resources Devel-
15 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
16 336; 134 Stat. 2718) is amended by striking
17 “\$130,000,000” and inserting “\$144,000,000”.

18 (M) JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
19 219(f)(167) of the Water Resources Develop-
20 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
21 334; 121 Stat. 1263; 136 Stat. 3818) is
22 amended by striking “\$125,000,000” and in-
23 serting “\$139,000,000”.

24 (N) MADISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
25 tion 219(f)(351) of the Water Resources Devel-

1 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
2 334; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
3 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

4 (O) MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
5 219(f)(352) of the Water Resources Develop-
6 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
7 334; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
8 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

9 (P) RANKIN COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
10 tion 219(f)(354) of the Water Resources Devel-
11 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
12 334; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
13 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$24,000,000”.

14 (Q) CINCINNATI, OHIO.—Sec 219(f)(206)
15 of the Water Resources Development Act of
16 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat.
17 1265) is amended by striking “\$1,000,000”
18 and inserting “\$9,000,000”.

19 (R) MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—Section
20 219(f)(231) of the Water Resources Develop-
21 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
22 334; 121 Stat. 1266; 134 Stat. 2719) is
23 amended by striking “\$5,000,000” and insert-
24 ing “\$10,000,000”.

1 (S) PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—Sec-
2 tion 219(f)(243) of the Water Resources Devel-
3 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
4 334; 121 Stat. 1266) is amended—

5 (i) by striking “\$1,600,000” and in-
6 serting “\$3,000,000”; and

7 (ii) by inserting “water supply and”
8 before “wastewater”.

9 (T) LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE,
10 SOUTH CAROLINA.—Section 219(f)(25) of the
11 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
12 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 136 Stat.
13 3818) is amended by striking “\$165,000,000”
14 and inserting “\$232,000,000”.

15 (U) MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN.—Section
16 219(f)(405) of the Water Resources Develop-
17 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
18 334; 136 Stat. 3816) is amended by striking
19 “\$4,500,000” and inserting “\$10,500,000”.

20 (c) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—Section 219 of the
21 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
22 4835) is amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting
23 the following:

24 “(b) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

1 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise pro-
2 vided in this subsection, the non-Federal share of
3 the cost of a project for which assistance is provided
4 under this section shall be not less than 25 percent.

5 “(2) ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED COMMU-
6 NITIES.—The non-Federal share of the cost of a
7 project for which assistance is provided under this
8 section benefitting an economically disadvantaged
9 community (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
10 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33
11 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10
12 percent.

13 “(3) ABILITY TO PAY.—

14 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal
15 share of the cost of a project for which assist-
16 ance is provided under this section shall be sub-
17 ject to the ability of the non-Federal interest to
18 pay.

19 “(B) DETERMINATION.—The ability of a
20 non-Federal interest to pay shall be determined
21 by the Secretary in accordance with procedures
22 established by the Secretary.

23 “(C) DEADLINE.—Not later than 60 days
24 after the date of enactment of the Water Re-
25 sources Development Act of 2024, the Secretary

1 shall issue guidance on the procedures described
2 in subparagraph (B).

3 “(4) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—

4 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
5 annually submit to the Committee on Environ-
6 ment and Public Works of the Senate and the
7 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
8 ture of the House of Representatives a written
9 notification of determinations made by the Sec-
10 retary of the ability of non-Federal interests to
11 pay under this section.

12 “(B) CONTENTS.—In preparing the writ-
13 ten notification under subparagraph (A), the
14 Secretary shall include, for each determination
15 made by the Secretary—

16 “(i) the name of the non-Federal in-
17 terest that submitted to the Secretary a re-
18 quest for a determination under paragraph
19 (3)(B);

20 “(ii) the name and location of the
21 project; and

22 “(iii) the determination made by the
23 Secretary and the reasons for the deter-
24 mination, including the adjusted share of

1 the costs of the project of the non-Federal
2 interest, if applicable.”.

3 **SEC. 303. PENNSYLVANIA ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUC-**
4 **TURE.**

5 Section 313 of the Water Resources Development Act
6 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4845; 109 Stat. 407; 110 Stat. 3723;
7 113 Stat. 310; 117 Stat. 142; 121 Stat. 1146; 134 Stat.
8 2719; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended—

9 (1) in the section heading, by striking “**SOUTH**
10 **CENTRAL**”;

11 (2) by striking “south central” each place it ap-
12 pears;

13 (3) by striking subsections (c) and (h);

14 (4) by redesignating subsections (d), (e), (f),
15 and (g) as subsections (c), (d), (e), and (f), respec-
16 tively; and

17 (5) in paragraph (2)(A) of subsection (c) (as
18 redesignated), by striking “the SARCD Council and
19 other”.

20 **SEC. 304. ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEMS.**

21 Section 1113 of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4232; 110 Stat. 3719; 136 Stat.
23 3782) is amended—

24 (1) in subsection (d)—

1 (A) by striking “costs,” and all that fol-
2 lows through “except that” and inserting
3 “costs, shall be as described in the second sen-
4 tence of subsection (b) (as in effect on the day
5 before the date of enactment of the Water Re-
6 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat.
7 3691)), except that”; and

8 (B) by striking “measure benefitting” and
9 inserting “measure (other than a reconnais-
10 sance study) benefitting”; and

11 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “\$80,000,000”
12 and inserting “\$100,000,000”.

13 **SEC. 305. OREGON ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

14 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8359 of the Water Re-
15 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3802) is
16 amended—

17 (1) in the section heading, by striking “**SOUTH-**
18 **WESTERN**”;

19 (2) in each of subsections (a) and (b), by strik-
20 ing “southwestern” each place it appears;

21 (3) in subsection (e)(1), by striking
22 “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$90,000,000”; and

23 (4) by striking subsection (f).

24 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

1 (1) NDAA.—The table of contents in section
2 2(b) of the James M. Inhofe National Defense Au-
3 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (136 Stat.
4 2430) is amended by striking the item relating to
5 section 8359 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 8359. Oregon.”.

6 (2) WRDA.—The table of contents in section
7 8001(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of
8 2022 (136 Stat. 3694) is amended by striking the
9 item relating to section 8359 and inserting the fol-
10 lowing:

“Sec. 8359. Oregon.”.

11 **SEC. 306. KENTUCKY AND WEST VIRGINIA ENVIRON-**
12 **MENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

13 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary
14 shall establish a program to provide environmental assist-
15 ance to non-Federal interests in Kentucky and West Vir-
16 ginia.

17 (b) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided
18 under this section may be in the form of design and con-
19 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-
20 structure and resource protection and development
21 projects in Kentucky and West Virginia, including projects
22 for wastewater treatment and related facilities, water sup-
23 ply and related facilities, environmental restoration, and
24 surface water resource protection and development.

1 (c) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may
2 provide assistance for a project under this section only if
3 the project is publicly owned.

4 (d) LOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENTS.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance
6 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a
7 local cooperation agreement with a non-Federal in-
8 terest to provide for design and construction of the
9 project to be carried out with such assistance.

10 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each local cooperation
11 agreement entered into under this subsection shall
12 provide for the following:

13 (A) Development by the Secretary, in con-
14 sultation with appropriate Federal and State of-
15 ficials, of a facilities or resource protection and
16 development plan, including appropriate engi-
17 neering plans and specifications.

18 (B) Establishment of such legal and insti-
19 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure
20 the effective long-term operation of the project
21 by the non-Federal interest.

22 (3) COST SHARING.—

23 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of
24 the cost of a project carried out under this sec-
25 tion—

1 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

2 (ii) may be provided in the form of
3 grants or reimbursements of project costs.

4 (B) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a
5 delay in the funding of the Federal share of a
6 project that is the subject of a local cooperation
7 agreement under this section, the non-Federal
8 interest shall receive credit for reasonable inter-
9 est incurred in providing the non-Federal share
10 of the project cost.

11 (C) LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-
12 WAY CREDIT.—The non-Federal interest shall
13 receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-
14 way, and relocations toward the non-Federal
15 share of project costs (including all reasonable
16 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-
17 essary for the construction, operation, and
18 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or
19 controlled land), but such credit may not exceed
20 25 percent of total project costs.

21 (D) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The
22 non-Federal share of operation and mainte-
23 nance costs for projects constructed with assist-
24 ance provided under this section shall be 100
25 percent.

1 (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be
3 appropriated \$75,000,000 to carry out this section,
4 to be divided between the States described in sub-
5 section (a).

6 (2) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not
7 more than 10 percent of the amounts made available
8 to carry out this section may be used by the Corps
9 of Engineers to administer projects under this sec-
10 tion.

11 **SEC. 307. LAKE CHAMPLAIN WATERSHED, VERMONT AND**
12 **NEW YORK.**

13 Section 542(e)(1)(A) of the Water Resources Devel-
14 opment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2672) is amended by in-
15 serting “, or in the case of a critical restoration project
16 benefitting an economically disadvantaged community (as
17 defined pursuant to section 160 of the Water Resources
18 Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public
19 Law 116–260)), 10 percent of the total costs of the
20 project” after “project”.

21 **SEC. 308. OHIO AND NORTH DAKOTA.**

22 Section 594(d)(3)(A) of the Water Resources Devel-
23 opment Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 382) is amended—

24 (1) in the second sentence, by striking “The
25 Federal share may” and inserting the following:

1 “(iii) FORM.—The Federal share
2 may”;

3 (2) by striking the subparagraph designation
4 and heading and all that follows through “The Fed-
5 eral share of” in the first sentence and inserting the
6 following:

7 “(A) PROJECT COSTS.—

8 “(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as pro-
9 vided in clause (ii), the Federal share of”;
10 and

11 (3) by inserting after clause (i) (as so des-
12 ignated) the following:

13 “(ii) EXCEPTION.—The non-Federal
14 share of the cost of a project under this
15 section benefitting an economically dis-
16 advantaged community (as defined pursu-
17 ant to section 160 of the Water Resources
18 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201
19 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10
20 percent.”.

21 **SEC. 309. SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.**

22 Section 340 of the Water Resources Development Act
23 of 1992 (106 Stat. 4856; 136 Stat. 3807) is amended—

24 (1) in subsection (c)(3)—

1 (A) in the first sentence, by striking
2 “Total project costs” and inserting the fol-
3 lowing:

4 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in
5 subparagraph (B), total project costs”; and

6 (B) by adding at the end the following:

7 “(B) EXCEPTION.—In the case of a project
8 benefitting an economically disadvantaged com-
9 munity (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
10 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
11 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)),
12 the Federal share of the total project costs
13 under the applicable local cooperation agree-
14 ment entered into under this subsection shall be
15 90 percent.

16 “(C) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal
17 share of the total project costs under this para-
18 graph may be provided in the same form as de-
19 scribed in section 571(e)(3)(A) of the Water
20 Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.
21 371).”;

22 (2) by striking subsection (e);

23 (3) by redesignating subsections (f), (g), (h),
24 and (i) as subsections (e), (f), (g), and (h), respec-
25 tively; and

1 (4) in subsection (f) (as so redesignated), in the
2 first sentence, by striking “\$140,000,000” and in-
3 serting “\$170,000,000”.

4 **SEC. 310. NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.**

5 Section 571 of the Water Resources Development Act
6 of 1999 (113 Stat. 371; 121 Stat. 1257; 136 Stat. 3807)
7 is amended—

8 (1) in subsection (e)(3)—

9 (A) in subparagraph (A), in the first sen-
10 tence, by striking “The Federal share” and in-
11 serting “Except as provided in subparagraph
12 (B), the Federal share”;

13 (B) by redesignating subparagraphs (B),
14 (C), (D), and (E) as subparagraphs (C), (D),
15 (E), and (F), respectively; and

16 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (A)
17 the following:

18 “(B) EXCEPTION.—In the case of a project
19 benefitting an economically disadvantaged com-
20 munity (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
21 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
22 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)),
23 the Federal share of the project costs under the
24 applicable local cooperation agreement entered
25 into under this subsection shall be 90 percent.”;

1 (2) by striking subsection (g);
2 (3) by redesignating subsections (h), (i), and (j)
3 as sections (g), (h), and (i), respectively; and
4 (4) in subsection (g) (as so redesignated), by
5 striking “\$120,000,000” and inserting
6 “\$150,000,000”.

7 **SEC. 311. OHIO, PENNSYLVANIA, AND WEST VIRGINIA.**

8 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

9 (1) IMPAIRED WATER.—

10 (A) IN GENERAL.—The term “impaired
11 *water*” means a stream of a watershed that is
12 not, as of the date of an application under this
13 section, achieving the designated use of the
14 stream.

15 (B) INCLUSION.—The term “impaired
16 *water*” includes any stream identified by a
17 State under section 303(d) of the Federal
18 Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C.
19 1313(d)).

20 (2) RESTORATION.—

21 (A) IN GENERAL.—The term “restora-
22 tion”, with respect to impaired water, means
23 the restoration of the impaired water to such an
24 extent that the stream could achieve its des-
25 ignated use over the greatest practical number

1 of stream-miles, as determined using, if avail-
2 able, State-designated or Tribal-designated cri-
3 teria.

4 (B) INCLUSION.—The term “restoration”
5 includes the removal of covered pollutants.

6 (b) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary
7 may establish a pilot program to provide environmental
8 assistance to non-Federal interests for the restoration of
9 impaired water impacted by acid mine drainage in Ohio,
10 Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.

11 (c) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance under this
12 section may be in the form of technical assistance and de-
13 sign and construction assistance for water-related environ-
14 mental infrastructure to address acid mine drainage, in-
15 cluding projects for centralized water treatment and re-
16 lated facilities.

17 (d) PRIORITIZATION.—The Secretary shall prioritize
18 assistance under this section to a project that—

19 (1) addresses acid mine drainage from multiple
20 sources impacting impaired waters; or

21 (2) includes a centralized water treatment sys-
22 tem to reduce the acid mine drainage load in im-
23 paired waters.

1 (e) PUBLIC OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Sec-
2 retary may provide assistance for a project under this sec-
3 tion only if the project is publicly owned.

4 (f) COORDINATION.—The Secretary shall, to the
5 maximum extent practicable, work with States, units of
6 local government, and other relevant Federal agencies to
7 secure any permits, variances, or approvals necessary to
8 facilitate the completion of projects receiving assistance
9 under this section.

10 (g) COST-SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the cost
11 of a project carried out under this section shall be 25 per-
12 cent, including provision of all land, easements, rights-of-
13 way, and necessary relocations.

14 (h) AGREEMENTS.—Construction of a project under
15 this section shall be initiated only after the non-Federal
16 interest has entered into a binding agreement with the
17 Secretary to pay—

18 (1) the non-Federal share of the costs of con-
19 struction of a project carried out under this section;
20 and

21 (2) 100 percent of any operation, maintenance,
22 and replacement and rehabilitation costs of a project
23 carried out under this section.

24 (i) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—The Secretary, with the
25 consent of the non-Federal interest for a project carried

1 out under this section, may receive or expend funds con-
2 tributed by a nonprofit entity for the project.

3 (j) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is
4 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
5 \$50,000,000, to remain available until expended.

6 **SEC. 312. WESTERN RURAL WATER.**

7 Section 595(a) of the Water Resources Development
8 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 1836) is amend-
9 ed—

10 (1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as
11 paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively; and

12 (2) by inserting before paragraph (2) (as so re-
13 designated) the following:

14 “(1) NON-FEDERAL INTEREST.—The term
15 ‘non-Federal interest’ includes an entity declared to
16 be a political subdivision of the State of New Mex-
17 ico.”.

18 **SEC. 313. CONTINUING AUTHORITIES PROGRAMS.**

19 (a) REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIONS; CLEARING CHAN-
20 NELS.—Section 2 of the Act of August 28, 1937 (50 Stat.
21 877, chapter 877; 33 U.S.C. 701g), is amended—

22 (1) by striking “\$7,500,000” and inserting
23 “\$15,000,000”;

1 (2) by inserting “for preventing and mitigating
2 flood damages associated with ice jams,” after
3 “other debris,”; and

4 (3) by striking “\$500,000” and inserting
5 “\$1,000,000”.

6 (b) EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE
7 PROTECTION.—Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of
8 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r) is amended—

9 (1) by striking “\$25,000,000” and inserting
10 “\$40,000,000”; and

11 (2) by striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting
12 “\$15,000,000”.

13 (c) STORM AND HURRICANE RESTORATION AND IM-
14 PACT MINIMIZATION PROGRAM.—Section 3(c) of the Act
15 of August 13, 1946 (60 Stat. 1056, chapter 960; 33
16 U.S.C. 426g(c)), is amended—

17 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking
18 “\$37,500,000” and inserting “\$45,000,000”; and

19 (2) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking
20 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

21 (d) SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.—Section
22 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s)
23 is amended—

24 (1) in the first sentence, by striking
25 “\$68,750,000” and inserting “\$85,000,000”; and

1 (2) in the third sentence, by striking
2 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

3 (e) AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—Section
4 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33
5 U.S.C. 2330) is amended—

6 (1) in subsection (a), by adding at the end the
7 following:

8 “(4) DROUGHT RESILIENCE.—A project under
9 this section may include measures that enhance
10 drought resilience through the restoration of wet-
11 lands or the removal of invasive species.”;

12 (2) in subsection (d), by striking
13 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”; and

14 (3) in subsection (f), by striking “\$62,500,000”
15 and inserting “\$75,000,000”.

16 (f) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF
17 ENVIRONMENT.—Section 1135 of the Water Resources
18 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2309a) is amend-
19 ed—

20 (1) in subsection (d), in the third sentence, by
21 striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting
22 “\$15,000,000”; and

23 (2) in subsection (h), by striking
24 “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$60,000,000”.

1 (g) SHORE DAMAGE PREVENTION OR MITIGATION.—
2 Section 111(c) of the River and Harbor Act of 1968 (33
3 U.S.C. 426i(c)) is amended by striking “\$12,500,000”
4 and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

5 (h) SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT
6 PROJECTS.—Section 107(b) of the River and Harbor Act
7 of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577(b)) is amended by striking
8 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

9 (i) REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.—Section
10 204(c)(1)(C) of the Water Resources Development Act of
11 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2326(c)(1)(C)) is amended by striking
12 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

13 **SEC. 314. SMALL PROJECT ASSISTANCE.**

14 Section 165(b) of the Water Resources Development
15 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)
16 is amended by striking “2024” each place it appears and
17 inserting “2029”.

18 **SEC. 315. GREAT LAKES AND MISSISSIPPI RIVER**
19 **INTERBASIN PROJECT, BRANDON ROAD,**
20 **WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS.**

21 After completion of construction of the project for
22 ecosystem restoration, Great Lakes and Mississippi River
23 Interbasin project, Brandon Road, Will County, Illinois,
24 authorized by section 401(5) of the Water Resources De-
25 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2740) and modified by

1 section 402(a) of that Act (134 Stat. 2742) and section
2 8337 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
3 (136 Stat. 3793), the Federal share of operation and
4 maintenance costs of the project shall be 90 percent.

5 **SEC. 316. MAMARONECK-SHELDRAKE RIVERS, NEW YORK.**

6 The non-Federal share of the cost of features of the
7 project for flood risk management, Mamaroneck-
8 Sheldrake Rivers, New York, authorized by section
9 1401(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018
10 (132 Stat. 3837), benefitting an economically disadvan-
11 taged community (as defined pursuant to section 160 of
12 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.
13 2201 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10 percent.

14 **SEC. 317. LOWELL CREEK TUNNEL, ALASKA.**

15 Section 5032(a)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
16 ment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1205; 134 Stat. 2719) is
17 amended by striking “20” and inserting “25”.

18 **SEC. 318. SELMA FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND BANK**

19 **STABILIZATION.**

20 (a) EXPEDITED REVIEW.—The Secretary shall expe-
21 dite the review of, and give due consideration to, the re-
22 quest from the City of Selma, Alabama, that the Secretary
23 apply section 103(k) of the Water Resources Development
24 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(k)) to the project for flood
25 risk management, Selma Flood Risk Management and

1 Bank Stabilization, Alabama, authorized by section
2 8401(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
3 (136 Stat. 3839).

4 (b) COST-SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the cost
5 of the project for flood risk management, Selma Flood
6 Risk Management and Bank Stabilization, Alabama, au-
7 thorized by section 8401(2) of the Water Resources Devel-
8 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3839), shall be 10 percent.

9 **SEC. 319. ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION.**

10 Section 519(c)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2654; 121 Stat. 1221) is
12 amended by striking “2010” and inserting “2029”.

13 **SEC. 320. HAWAII ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.**

14 Section 444 of the Water Resources Development Act
15 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3747; 113 Stat. 286) is amended—

16 (1) by striking “and environmental restoration”
17 and inserting “environmental restoration, and coast-
18 al storm risk management”; and

19 (2) by inserting “Hawaii,” after “Guam,”.

20 **SEC. 321. CONNECTICUT RIVER BASIN INVASIVE SPECIES**
21 **PARTNERSHIPS.**

22 Section 104(g)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act
23 of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(g)(2)(A)) is amended by inserting
24 “the Connecticut River Basin,” after “the Ohio River
25 Basin,”.

1 **SEC. 322. EXPENSES FOR CONTROL OF AQUATIC PLANT**
2 **GROWTHS AND INVASIVE SPECIES.**

3 Section 104(d)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act
4 of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(d)(2)(A)) is amended by striking
5 “50 percent” and inserting “35 percent”.

6 **SEC. 323. CORPS OF ENGINEERS ASIAN CARP PREVENTION**
7 **PILOT PROGRAM.**

8 Section 509(a)(2)(C)(ii) of the Water Resources De-
9 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public Law
10 116–260) is amended by striking “2024” and inserting
11 “2029”.

12 **SEC. 324. EXTENSION FOR CERTAIN INVASIVE SPECIES**
13 **PROGRAMS.**

14 Section 104(b)(2)(A) of the River and Harbor Act
15 of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(b)(2)(A)) is amended—

16 (1) in clause (i), by striking “each of fiscal
17 years 2021 through 2024” and inserting “each of
18 fiscal years 2025 through 2029”; and

19 (2) in clause (ii), by striking “2028” and in-
20 serting “2029”.

21 **SEC. 325. STORM DAMAGE PREVENTION AND REDUCTION,**
22 **COASTAL EROSION, RIVERINE EROSION, AND**
23 **ICE AND GLACIAL DAMAGE, ALASKA.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 8315 of the Water Re-
25 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3783) is
26 amended—

1 (1) in the section heading, by inserting
2 “**RIVERINE EROSION,**” after “**COASTAL ERO-**
3 **SION,**”; and

4 (2) in subsection (a), in the matter preceding
5 paragraph (1), by inserting “riverine erosion,” after
6 “coastal erosion,”.

7 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

8 (1) The table of contents in section 2(b) of the
9 James M. Inhofe National Defense Authorization
10 Act for Fiscal Year 2023 (136 Stat. 2429) is
11 amended by striking the item relating to section
12 8315 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 8315. Storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, riverine
erosion, and ice and glacial damage, Alaska.”.

13 (2) The table of contents in section 8001(b) of
14 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
15 Stat. 3693) is amended by striking the item relating
16 to section 8315 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 8315. Storm damage prevention and reduction, coastal erosion, riverine
erosion, and ice and glacial damage, Alaska.”.

17 **SEC. 326. REHABILITATION OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS CON-**
18 **STRUCTED DAMS.**

19 Section 1177 of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 2016 (33 U.S.C. 467f–2 note; Public Law 114–
21 322) is amended—

22 (1) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the
23 following:

1 “(c) COST SHARING.—The non-Federal share of the
2 cost of a project for rehabilitation of a dam under this
3 section, including the cost of any required study, shall be
4 the same share assigned to the non-Federal interest for
5 the cost of initial construction of that dam, including pro-
6 vision of all land, easements, rights-of-way, and necessary
7 relocations.”;

8 (2) in subsection (e)—

9 (A) by striking the subsection designation
10 and heading and all that follows through “The
11 Secretary” and inserting the following:

12 “(e) COST LIMITATION.—

13 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-
14 graph (2), the Secretary”;

15 (B) by adding at the end the following:

16 “(2) CERTAIN DAMS.—The Secretary shall not
17 expend more than \$100,000,000 under this section
18 for the Waterbury Dam Spillway Project,
19 Vermont.”;

20 (3) in subsection (f), by striking “fiscal years
21 2017 through 2026” and inserting “fiscal years
22 2025 through 2029”; and

23 (4) by striking subsection (g).

1 **SEC. 327. EDIZ HOOK BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECT,**
2 **PORT ANGELES, WASHINGTON.**

3 The cost-share for operation and maintenance costs
4 for the project for beach erosion control, Ediz Hook, Port
5 Angeles, Washington, authorized by section 4 of the Water
6 Resources Development Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 15), shall
7 be in accordance with the cost-share described in section
8 101(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
9 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211(b)(1)).

10 **SEC. 328. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO CERTAIN**
11 **LOUISIANA HURRICANE AND COASTAL**
12 **STORM DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION**
13 **PROJECTS.**

14 It is the sense of Congress that all efforts should be
15 made to extend the scope of the project for hurricane and
16 storm damage risk reduction, Morganza to the Gulf, Lou-
17 isiana, authorized by section 7002(3) of the Water Re-
18 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat.
19 1368), and the project for hurricane and storm damage
20 risk reduction, Upper Barataria Basin, Louisiana, author-
21 ized by section 8401(3) of the Water Resources Develop-
22 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3841), in order to connect
23 the two projects and realize the benefits of continuous hur-
24 ricane and coastal storm damage risk reduction from west
25 of Houma in Gibson, Louisiana, to the connection with

1 the Hurricane Storm Damage Risk Reduction System
2 around New Orleans, Louisiana.

3 **SEC. 329. CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY PROGRAM.**

4 Section 704(b)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-
5 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263 note; Public Law 99-
6 662) is amended, in the second sentence, by striking
7 “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$120,000,000”.

8 **SEC. 330. BOSQUE WILDLIFE RESTORATION PROJECT.**

9 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish a
10 program to carry out appropriate planning, design, and
11 construction measures for wildfire prevention and restora-
12 tion in the Middle Rio Grande Bosque, including the re-
13 moval of jetty jacks.

14 (b) COST SHARE.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-
16 graph (2), the non-Federal share of the cost of a
17 project carried out under this section shall be in ac-
18 cordance with sections 103 and 105 of the Water
19 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
20 2213, 2215).

21 (2) EXCEPTION.—The non-Federal share of the
22 cost of a project carried out under this section bene-
23 fitting an economically disadvantaged community (as
24 defined pursuant to section 160 of the Water Re-

1 sources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201
2 note; Public Law 116–260)) shall be 10 percent.

3 (c) REPEAL.—Section 116 of the Energy and Water
4 Development Appropriations Act, 2004 (117 Stat. 1836),
5 is repealed.

6 (d) TREATMENT.—The program authorized under
7 subsection (a) shall be considered a continuation of the
8 program authorized by section 116 of the Energy and
9 Water Development Appropriations Act, 2004 (117 Stat.
10 1836) (as in effect on the day before the date of enactment
11 of this Act).

12 **SEC. 331. EXPANSION OF TEMPORARY RELOCATION ASSIST-**
13 **ANCE PILOT PROGRAM.**

14 Section 8154(g)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-
15 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3735) is amended by adding
16 at the end the following:

17 “(F) Project for hurricane and storm dam-
18 age risk reduction, Norfolk, Virginia, authorized
19 by section 401(3) of the Water Resources De-
20 velopment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738).”.

21 **SEC. 332. WILSON LOCK FLOATING GUIDE WALL.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—On the request of the relevant
23 Federal entity, the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent
24 practicable, use all relevant authorities to expeditiously
25 provide technical assistance, including engineering and de-

1 sign assistance, and cost estimation assistance to the rel-
2 evant Federal entity in order to address the impacts to
3 navigation along the Tennessee River at the Wilson Lock
4 and Dam, Alabama.

5 (b) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section au-
6 thorizes the Secretary to expend funding on the repair,
7 replacement, or removal of a capital asset owned by the
8 relevant Federal entity, including the Wilson Lock and
9 Dam.

10 **SEC. 333. DELAWARE INLAND BAYS AND DELAWARE BAY**
11 **COAST COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT**
12 **STUDY.**

13 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

14 (1) ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED COMMU-
15 NITY.—The term “economically disadvantaged com-
16 munity” has the meaning given the term pursuant
17 to section 160 of the Water Resources Development
18 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 116–
19 260)).

20 (2) STUDY.—The term “study” means the
21 Delaware Inland Bays and Delaware Bay Coast
22 Coastal Storm Risk Management Study, authorized
23 by the resolution of the Committee on Public Works
24 and Transportation of the House of Representatives
25 dated October 1, 1986, and the resolution of the

1 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
2 Senate dated June 23, 1988.

3 (b) STUDY, PROJECTS, AND SEPARABLE ELE-
4 MENTS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if
5 the Secretary determines that the study will benefit 1 or
6 more economically disadvantaged communities, the non-
7 Federal share of the costs of carrying out the study, or
8 project construction or a separable element of a project
9 authorized based on the study, shall be 10 percent.

10 (c) COST SHARING AGREEMENT.—The Secretary
11 shall seek to expedite any amendments to any existing
12 cost-share agreement for the study in accordance with this
13 section.

14 **SEC. 334. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER PLAN.**

15 Section 1103(e)(4) of the Water Resources Develop-
16 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 652(e)(4)) is amended by
17 striking “\$15,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”.

18 **SEC. 335. REHABILITATION OF PUMP STATIONS.**

19 Notwithstanding the requirements of section 133 of
20 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C.
21 2327a), for purposes of that section, each of the following
22 shall be considered to be an eligible pump station (as de-
23 fined in subsection (a) of that section) that meets the re-
24 quirements described in subsection (b) of that section:

1 (1) The flood control pump station, Hockanum
2 Road, Northampton, Massachusetts.

3 (2) Pointe Celeste Pump Station, Plaquemines
4 Parish, Louisiana.

5 **SEC. 336. NAVIGATION ALONG THE TENNESSEE-**
6 **TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY.**

7 The Secretary shall, consistent with applicable statu-
8 tory authorities—

9 (1) coordinate with the relevant stakeholders
10 and communities in the State of Alabama and the
11 State of Mississippi to address the dredging needs of
12 the Tennessee–Tombigbee Waterway in those States;
13 and

14 (2) ensure continued navigation at the locks
15 and dams owned and operated by the Corps of Engi-
16 neers located along the Tennessee–Tombigbee Wa-
17 terway.

18 **SEC. 337. GARRISON DAM, NORTH DAKOTA.**

19 The Secretary shall expedite the review of, and give
20 due consideration to, the request from the relevant Fed-
21 eral power marketing administration that the Secretary
22 apply section 1203 of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 467n) to the project for dam safe-
24 ty at Garrison Dam, North Dakota.

1 **SEC. 338. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO MISSOURI**
2 **RIVER PRIORITIES.**

3 It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary should
4 make publicly available, where appropriate, any data used
5 and any decisions made by the Corps of Engineers relating
6 to the operations of civil works projects within the Mis-
7 souri River Basin in order to ensure transparency for the
8 communities in that Basin.

9 **SEC. 339. SOIL MOISTURE AND SNOWPACK MONITORING.**

10 Section 511(a)(3) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2753) is amended by striking
12 “2025” and inserting “2029”.

13 **SEC. 340. CONTRACTS FOR WATER SUPPLY.**

14 (a) COPAN LAKE, OKLAHOMA.—Section 8358(b)(2)
15 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
16 Stat. 3802) is amended by striking “more than 110 per-
17 cent of the initial project investment cost per acre-foot of
18 storage for the acre-feet of storage space sought under an
19 agreement under paragraph (1)” and inserting “, for the
20 acre-feet of storage space being sought under an agree-
21 ment under paragraph (1), more than 110 percent of the
22 contractual rate per acre-foot of storage in the most recent
23 agreement of the City for water supply storage space at
24 the project”.

25 (b) STATE OF KANSAS.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall amend
2 the contracts described in paragraph (2) between the
3 United States and the State of Kansas, relating to
4 storage space for water supply, to change the meth-
5 od of calculation of the interest charges that began
6 accruing on February 1, 1977, on the investment
7 costs for the 198,350 acre-feet of future use storage
8 space and on April 1, 1979, on 125,000 acre-feet of
9 future use storage from compounding interest annu-
10 ally to charging simple interest annually on the prin-
11 cipal amount, until—

12 (A) the State of Kansas informs the Sec-
13 retary of the desire to convert the future use
14 storage space to present use; and

15 (B) the principal amount plus the accumu-
16 lated interest becomes payable pursuant to the
17 terms of the contracts.

18 (2) CONTRACTS DESCRIBED.—The contracts re-
19 ferred to in paragraph (1) are the following con-
20 tracts between the United States and the State of
21 Kansas:

22 (A) Contract DACW41-74-C-0081, en-
23 tered into on March 8, 1974, for the use by the
24 State of Kansas of storage space for water sup-
25 ply in Milford Lake, Kansas.

1 (B) Contract DACW41-77-C-0003, en-
2 tered into on December 10, 1976, for the use
3 by the State of Kansas for water supply in
4 Perry Lake, Kansas.

5 **SEC. 341. REND LAKE, CARLYLE LAKE, AND LAKE SHELBY-**
6 **VILLE, ILLINOIS.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the
8 date on which the Secretary receives a request from the
9 Governor of Illinois to terminate a contract described in
10 subsection (c), the Secretary shall amend the contract to
11 release to the United States all rights of the State of Illi-
12 nois to utilize water storage space in the reservoir project
13 to which the contract applies.

14 (b) RELIEF OF CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS.—On execu-
15 tion of an amendment described in subsection (a), the
16 State of Illinois shall be relieved of the obligation to pay
17 the percentage of the annual operation and maintenance
18 expense, the percentage of major replacement cost, and
19 the percentage of major rehabilitation cost allocated to the
20 water supply storage specified in the contract for the res-
21 ervoir project to which the contract applies.

22 (c) CONTRACTS.—Subsection (a) applies to the fol-
23 lowing contracts between the United States and the State
24 of Illinois:

1 (1) Contract DACW43–88–C–0088, entered
2 into on September 23, 1988, for utilization of stor-
3 age space for water supply in Rend Lake, Illinois.

4 (2) Contract DA–23–065–CIVENG–65–493,
5 entered into on April 28, 1965, for utilization of
6 storage space for water supply in Rend Lake, Illi-
7 nois.

8 (3) Contract DACW43–83–C–0008, entered
9 into on July 6, 1983, for utilization of storage space
10 in Carlyle Lake, Illinois.

11 (4) Contract DACW43–83–C–0009, entered
12 into on July 6, 1983, for utilization of storage space
13 in Lake Shelbyville, Illinois.

14 **SEC. 342. DELAWARE COASTAL SYSTEM PROGRAM.**

15 (a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to pro-
16 vide for the collective planning and implementation of
17 coastal storm risk management and hurricane and storm
18 risk reduction projects in Delaware to provide greater effi-
19 ciency and a more comprehensive approach to life safety
20 and economic growth.

21 (b) DESIGNATION.—The following projects for coast-
22 al storm risk management and hurricane and storm risk
23 reduction shall be known and designated as the “Delaware
24 Coastal System Program” (referred to in this section as
25 the “Program”):

1 (1) Delaware Bay Coastline, Roosevelt Inlet
2 and Lewes Beach, Delaware, authorized by section
3 101(a)(13) of the Water Resources Development Act
4 of 1999 (113 Stat. 276).

5 (2) Delaware Coast, Bethany Beach and South
6 Bethany, Delaware, authorized by section
7 101(a)(15) of the Water Resources Development Act
8 of 1999 (113 Stat. 276).

9 (3) Delaware Coast from Cape Henlopen to
10 Fenwick Island, Delaware, authorized by section
11 101(b)(11) of the Water Resources Development Act
12 of 2000 (114 Stat. 2577).

13 (4) Rehoboth Beach and Dewey Beach, Dela-
14 ware, authorized by section 101(b)(6) of the Water
15 Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.
16 3667).

17 (5) Indian River Inlet, Delaware.

18 (6) The project for hurricane and storm dam-
19 age risk reduction, Delaware Beneficial Use of
20 Dredged Material for the Delaware River, Delaware,
21 authorized by section 401(3) of the Water Resources
22 Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2736) and
23 modified by section 8327(a) of the Water Resources
24 Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3788) and sub-
25 section (e).

1 (c) MANAGEMENT.—The Secretary shall manage the
2 projects described in subsection (b) as components of a
3 single, comprehensive system, recognizing the inter-
4 dependence of the projects.

5 (d) COST-SHARE.—Notwithstanding any other provi-
6 sion of law, the Federal share of the cost of each of the
7 projects described in paragraphs (1) through (4) of sub-
8 section (b) shall be 80 percent.

9 (e) BROADKILL BEACH, DELAWARE.—The project
10 for hurricane and storm damage risk reduction, Delaware
11 Beneficial Use of Dredged Material for the Delaware
12 River, Delaware, authorized by section 401(3) of the
13 Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat.
14 2736) and modified by section 8327(a) of the Water Re-
15 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3788), is
16 modified to include the project for hurricane and storm
17 damage reduction, Delaware Bay coastline, Delaware and
18 New Jersey–Broadkill Beach, Delaware, authorized by
19 section 101(a)(11) of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 275).

21 **SEC. 343. MAINTENANCE OF PILE DIKE SYSTEM.**

22 The Secretary shall continue to maintain the pile dike
23 system constructed by the Corps of Engineers for the pur-
24 pose of navigation along the Lower Columbia River and
25 Willamette River, Washington, at Federal expense.

1 **SEC. 344. CONVEYANCES.**

2 (a) **GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.—**

3 (1) **SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—**

4 The exact acreage and the legal description of any
5 real property to be conveyed under this section shall
6 be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the
7 Secretary.

8 (2) **APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING**
9 **PROVISIONS.—**Section 2696 of title 10, United
10 States Code, shall not apply to any conveyance
11 under this section.

12 (3) **COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—**An entity to
13 which a conveyance is made under this section shall
14 be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,
15 including real estate transaction and environmental
16 documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.

17 (4) **LIABILITY.—**

18 (A) **HOLD HARMLESS.—**An entity to which
19 a conveyance is made under this section shall
20 hold the United States harmless from any li-
21 ability with respect to activities carried out, on
22 or after the date of the conveyance, on the real
23 property conveyed.

24 (B) **FEDERAL RESPONSIBILITY.—**The
25 United States shall remain responsible for any
26 liability with respect to activities carried out be-

1 fore the date of conveyance on the real property
2 conveyed.

3 (5) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—

4 The Secretary may require that any conveyance
5 under this section be subject to such additional
6 terms and conditions as the Secretary considers nec-
7 essary and appropriate to protect the interests of the
8 United States.

9 (b) DILLARD ROAD, INDIANA.—

10 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
11 shall convey to the State of Indiana all right, title,
12 and interest of the United States, together with any
13 improvements on the land, in and to the property
14 described in paragraph (2).

15 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
16 under this subsection is the approximately 11.85
17 acres of land and road easements associated with
18 Dillard Road, including improvements on that land,
19 located in Patoka Township, Crawford County, Indi-
20 ana.

21 (3) DEED.—The Secretary shall convey the
22 property under this subsection by quitclaim deed
23 under such terms and conditions as the Secretary
24 determines appropriate to protect the interests of
25 the United States.

1 (4) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines
2 that the property conveyed under this subsection is
3 not used for a public purpose, all right, title, and in-
4 terest in and to the property shall revert, at the dis-
5 cretion of the Secretary, to the United States.

6 (c) PORT OF SKAMANIA, WASHINGTON.—

7 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
8 shall convey to the Port of Skamania, Washington,
9 all right, title, and interest of the United States, to-
10 gether with any improvements on the land, in and
11 to the property described in paragraph (2).

12 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
13 under this subsection is the approximately 1.6 acres
14 of land designated as “Lot I-2”, including any im-
15 provements on the land, located in North Bonneville,
16 Washington, T. 2 N., R. 7 E., sec. 19, Willamette
17 Meridian.

18 (3) CONSIDERATION.—The Port of Skamania,
19 Washington, shall pay to the Secretary an amount
20 that is not less than the fair market value of the
21 property conveyed under this subsection, as deter-
22 mined by the Secretary.

1 **SEC. 345. EMERGENCY DROUGHT OPERATIONS PILOT PRO-**
2 **GRAM.**

3 (a) DEFINITION OF COVERED PROJECT.—In this sec-
4 tion, the term “covered project” means a project—

5 (1) that is located in the State of California or
6 the State of Arizona; and

7 (2)(A) of the Corps of Engineers for which
8 water supply is an authorized purpose; or

9 (B) for which the Secretary develops a water
10 control manual under section 7 of the Act of Decem-
11 ber 22, 1944 (commonly known as the “Flood Con-
12 trol Act of 1944”) (58 Stat. 890, chapter 665; 33
13 U.S.C. 709).

14 (b) EMERGENCY OPERATION DURING DROUGHT.—
15 Consistent with other authorized project purposes and in
16 coordination with the non-Federal interest, in operating
17 a covered project during a drought emergency in the
18 project area, the Secretary may carry out a pilot program
19 to operate the covered project with water supply as the
20 primary project purpose.

21 (c) UPDATES.—In carrying out this section, the Sec-
22 retary may update the water control manual for a covered
23 project to include drought operations and contingency
24 plans.

25 (d) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out subsection (b),
26 the Secretary shall ensure that—

1 (1) operations described in that subsection—

2 (A) are consistent with water management
3 deviations and drought contingency plans in the
4 water control manual for the covered project;

5 (B) impact only the flood pool managed by
6 the Secretary; and

7 (C) shall not be carried out in the event of
8 a forecast or anticipated flood or weather event
9 that would require flood risk management to
10 take precedence;

11 (2) to the maximum extent practicable, the Sec-
12 retary uses forecast-informed reservoir operations;
13 and

14 (3) the covered project returns to the operations
15 that were in place prior to the use of the authority
16 provided under that subsection at a time determined
17 by the Secretary, in coordination with the non-Fed-
18 eral interest.

19 (e) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—The Secretary may re-
20 ceive and expend funds contributed by a non-Federal in-
21 terest to carry out activities under this section.

22 (f) REPORT.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after
24 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
25 shall submit to the Committee on Environment and

1 Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on
2 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of
3 Representatives a report on the pilot program car-
4 ried out under this section.

5 (2) INCLUSIONS.—The Secretary shall include
6 in the report under paragraph (1) a description of
7 the activities of the Secretary that were carried out
8 for each covered project and any lessons learned
9 from carrying out those activities.

10 (g) LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in this section—

11 (1) affects, modifies, or changes the authorized
12 purposes of a covered project;

13 (2) affects existing Corps of Engineers authori-
14 ties, including authorities with respect to navigation,
15 flood damage reduction, and environmental protec-
16 tion and restoration;

17 (3) affects the ability of the Corps of Engineers
18 to provide for temporary deviations;

19 (4) affects the application of a cost-share re-
20 quirement under section 101, 102, or 103 of the
21 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
22 U.S.C. 2211, 2212, 2213);

23 (5) supersedes or modifies any written agree-
24 ment between the Federal Government and a non-

1 Federal interest that is in effect on the date of en-
2 actment of this Act;

3 (6) supersedes or modifies any amendment to
4 an existing multistate water control plan for the Col-
5 orado River Basin, if applicable;

6 (7) affects any water right in existence on the
7 date of enactment of this Act;

8 (8) preempts or affects any State water law or
9 interstate compact governing water;

10 (9) affects existing water supply agreements be-
11 tween the Secretary and the non-Federal interest; or

12 (10) affects any obligation to comply with the
13 provisions of any Federal or State environmental
14 law, including—

15 (A) the National Environmental Policy Act
16 of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.);

17 (B) the Federal Water Pollution Control
18 Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.); and

19 (C) the Endangered Species Act of 1973
20 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

21 **SEC. 346. REHABILITATION OF EXISTING LEVEES.**

22 Section 3017(e) of the Water Resources Reform and
23 Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3303a note; Public
24 Law 113–121) is amended by striking “2028” and insert-
25 ing “2029”.

1 **SEC. 347. NON-FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PRO-**
2 **GRAM.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1043(b) of the Water Re-
4 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
5 2201 note; Public Law 113–121) is amended—

6 (1) in paragraph (3)(A)(i)—

7 (A) in the matter preceding subclause (I),
8 by striking “20” and inserting “30”; and

9 (B) in subclause (III), by striking “5” and
10 inserting “15”; and

11 (2) in paragraph (8), by striking “each of fiscal
12 years 2019 through 2026” and inserting “each of
13 fiscal years 2025 through 2029”.

14 (b) LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA RESTORATION
15 PROJECTS.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the pilot
17 program under section 1043(b) of the Water Re-
18 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33
19 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 113–121), the Sec-
20 retary may include in the pilot program a project
21 authorized to be implemented under, or in accord-
22 ance with, title VII of the Water Resources Develop-
23 ment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1270).

24 (2) ELIGIBILITY.—In the case of a project de-
25 scribed in paragraph (1) for which the non-Federal
26 interest has initiated construction in accordance with

1 authorities governing the provision of in-kind con-
2 tributions for the project, the Secretary shall take
3 into account the value of any in-kind contributions
4 provided by the non-Federal interest for the project
5 prior to the date of execution of the project partner-
6 ship agreement under section 1043(b) of the Water
7 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33
8 U.S.C. 2201 note; Public Law 113–121) for pur-
9 poses of determining the non-Federal share of the
10 costs to complete construction of the project.

11 **SEC. 348. HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOM DEMONSTRATION PRO-**
12 **GRAM.**

13 Section 128(c) of the Water Resources Development
14 Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note; Public Law 116–260)
15 is amended—

16 (1) in paragraph (13), by striking “and” at the
17 end;

18 (2) in paragraph (14), by striking the period at
19 the end and inserting a semicolon; and

20 (3) by adding at the end the following:

21 “(15) Lake Elsinore, California; and

22 “(16) Willamette River, Oregon.”.

1 **SEC. 349. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO MOBILE HAR-**
2 **BOR, ALABAMA.**

3 It is sense of Congress that the Secretary should, con-
4 sistent with applicable statutory authorities, coordinate
5 with relevant stakeholders in the State of Alabama to ad-
6 dress the dredging and dredging material placement needs
7 associated with the project for navigation, Mobile Harbor,
8 Alabama, authorized by section 201 of the Flood Control
9 Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5) and modified by section
10 309 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
11 (134 Stat. 2704).

12 **SEC. 350. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO PORT OF**
13 **PORTLAND, OREGON.**

14 It is sense of Congress that—

15 (1) the Port of Portland, Oregon, is the sole
16 dredging operator of the federally authorized naviga-
17 tion channel in the Columbia River, which was au-
18 thorized by section 101 of the River and Harbors
19 Act of 1962 (76 Stat. 1177);

20 (2) the Corps of Engineers should continue to
21 provide operation and maintenance support for the
22 Port of Portland, Oregon, including for dredging
23 equipment;

24 (3) the pipeline dredge of the Port of Portland,
25 known as the “Dredge Oregon”, was built in 1965,

1 58 years ago, while the average age of a dredging
2 vessel in the United States is 25 years; and

3 (4) Congress commits to ensuring continued
4 dredging for the Port of Portland.

5 **SEC. 351. CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER PROGRAM.**

6 Section 8144 of the Water Resources Development
7 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3724) is amended—

8 (1) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “2 years”
9 and inserting “4 years”; and

10 (2) in subsection (j), by striking “3 years” and
11 inserting “5 years”.

12 **SEC. 352. ADDITIONAL PROJECTS FOR UNDERSERVED COM-**
13 **MUNITY HARBORS.**

14 Section 8132 of the Water Resources Development
15 Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2238e) is amended—

16 (1) in subsection (a), by inserting “and for pur-
17 poses of contributing to ecosystem restoration” be-
18 fore the period at the end; and

19 (2) in subsection (h)(1), by striking “2026”
20 and inserting “2029”.

21 **SEC. 353. WINOOSKI RIVER TRIBUTARY WATERSHED.**

22 Section 212(e)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
23 ment Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e)(2)) is amended by
24 adding at the end the following:

1 “(L) Winooski River tributary watershed,
2 Vermont.”.

3 **SEC. 354. WACO LAKE, TEXAS.**

4 The Secretary shall, to the maximum extent prac-
5 ticable, expedite the review of, and give due consideration
6 to, the request from the City of Waco, Texas, that the
7 Secretary apply section 147 of the Water Resources Devel-
8 opment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 701q–1) to the embank-
9 ment adjacent to Waco Lake in Waco, Texas.

10 **SEC. 355. SEMINOLE TRIBAL CLAIM EXTENSION.**

11 Section 349 of the Water Resources Development Act
12 of 2020 (134 Stat. 2716) is amended in the matter pre-
13 ceding paragraph (1) by striking “2022” and inserting
14 “2027”.

15 **SEC. 356. COASTAL EROSION PROJECT, BARROW, ALASKA.**

16 For purposes of implementing the coastal erosion
17 project, Barrow, Alaska, the Secretary may consider the
18 North Slope Borough to be in compliance with section
19 402(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
20 (33 U.S.C. 701b–12(a)) on adoption by the North Slope
21 Borough Assembly of a floodplain management plan to re-
22 duce the impacts of future flood events in the immediate
23 floodplain area of the project if that plan—

24 (1) is approved by the relevant Federal agency;

25 and

1 (2) was developed in consultation with the rel-
2 evant Federal agency and the Secretary.

3 **SEC. 357. COLEBROOK RIVER RESERVOIR, CONNECTICUT.**

4 (a) CONTRACT TERMINATION REQUEST.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after
6 the date on which the Secretary receives a request
7 from the Metropolitan District of Hartford County,
8 Connecticut, to terminate the contract described in
9 paragraph (2), the Secretary shall offer to amend
10 the contract to release to the United States all
11 rights of the Metropolitan District of Hartford, Con-
12 necticut, to utilize water storage space in the res-
13 ervoir project to which the contract applies.

14 (2) CONTRACT DESCRIBED.—The contract re-
15 ferred to in paragraph (1) and subsection (b) is the
16 contract between the United States and the Metro-
17 politan District of Hartford County, Connecticut,
18 numbered DA-19-016-CIVENG-65-203, with respect
19 to the Colebrook River Reservoir in Connecticut.

20 (b) RELIEF OF CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS.—On execu-
21 tion of the amendment described in subsection (a)(1), the
22 Metropolitan District of Hartford County, Connecticut,
23 shall be relieved of the obligation to pay the percentage
24 of the annual operation and maintenance expense, the per-
25 centage of major replacement cost, and the percentage of

1 major rehabilitation cost allocated to the water supply
2 storage specified in the contract described in subsection
3 (a)(2) for the reservoir project to which the contract ap-
4 plies.

5 **SEC. 358. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO SHALLOW**
6 **DRAFT DREDGING IN THE CHESAPEAKE BAY.**

7 It is the sense of Congress that—

8 (1) shallow draft dredging in the Chesapeake
9 Bay is critical for tourism, recreation, and the fish-
10 ing industry and that additional dredging is needed;
11 and

12 (2) the Secretary should, to the maximum ex-
13 tent practicable, use existing statutory authorities to
14 address the dredging needs at small harbors and
15 channels in the Chesapeake Bay.

16 **TITLE IV—PROJECT**
17 **AUTHORIZATIONS**

18 **SEC. 401. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.**

19 The following projects for water resources develop-
20 ment and conservation and other purposes, as identified
21 in the reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water
22 Resources Development” submitted to Congress pursuant
23 to section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Devel-
24 opment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise re-
25 viewed by Congress, are authorized to be carried out by

1 the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans,
 2 and subject to the conditions, described in the respective
 3 reports or decision documents designated in this section:

4 (1) NAVIGATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. MD	Baltimore Harbor Anchorages and Channels, Sea Girt Loop	June 22, 2023	Federal: \$47,956,500 Non-Federal: \$15,985,500 Total: \$63,942,000

5 (2) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. KS	Manhattan Levees	May 6, 2024	Federal: \$29,455,000 Non-Federal: \$15,860,000 Total: \$45,315,000

6 (3) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK RE-
 7 DUCATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. RI	Rhode Island Coastline Storm Risk Management	September 28, 2023	Federal: \$188,353,750 Non-Federal: \$101,421,250 Total: \$289,775,000
2. FL	St. Johns County, Ponte Vedra Beach, Coastal Storm Risk Management	April 18, 2024	Federal: \$49,223,000 Non-Federal: \$89,097,000 Total: \$138,320,000

1 (4) NAVIGATION AND HURRICANE AND STORM
 2 DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. TX	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazoria and Matagorda Counties	June 2, 2023	Federal: \$204,244,000 Inland Waterways Trust Fund: \$109,977,000 Total: \$314,221,000

3 (5) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND AQUATIC
 4 ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. MS	Memphis Metropolitan Stormwater–North DeSoto County	December 18, 2023	Federal: \$44,295,000 Non-Federal: \$23,851,000 Total: \$68,146,000

5 (6) MODIFICATIONS AND OTHER PROJECTS.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report or Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. NY	South Shore Staten Island, Fort Wadsworth to Oakwood Beach Coastal Storm Risk Management	February 6, 2024	Federal: \$1,730,973,900 Non-Federal: \$363,228,100 Total: \$2,094,202,000
2. MO	University City Branch, River Des Peres	February 9, 2024	Federal: \$9,094,000 Non-Federal: \$4,897,000 Total: \$13,990,000